

# STK3405 – Week 44

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl

Department of Mathematics  
University of Oslo, Norway

## Pure jump processes

Let  $\{S(t)\}$  be a stochastic process where  $S(t)$  denotes the state of the process at time  $t \geq 0$ .  $\{S(t)\}$  is said to be a *pure jump process* if  $S(t)$  can be written as:

$$S(t) = S(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I(T_j \leq t) J_j, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $0 = T_0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots$  is a sequence of random points of time, and  $J_1, J_2, \dots$  is a sequence of random *jumps*.

This representation implies that the state function  $S(t)$  is *piecewise constant* and *right-continuous* in  $t$ , with jumps at  $T_1 < T_2 < \dots$ .

In particular, for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , we have:

$$S(t) = S(0) + \sum_{j=1}^k J_j = S(T_k), \quad \text{for all } t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}).$$

In order to keep track of how the process evolves only the *event points* need to be considered.

# Regular pure jump processes

Let  $\{S(t)\}$  be pure jump process, and let:

$$N(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I(T_j \leq t)$$

= The number of jumps in  $[0, t]$ .

We say that  $\{S(t)\}$  is *regular* if  $P(N(t) < \infty) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ .

## NOTE

$$\begin{aligned} P(N(t) < \infty) &= P(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_k = \infty) \\ &= P(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j = \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_j = T_j - T_{j-1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

## Regular pure jump processes (cont.)

### Proposition (8.1.1)

Let  $\{S(t)\}$  be a pure jump process with jumps at:

$$T_1 < T_2 < \dots$$

Moreover, we let  $T_0 = 0$  and introduce the non-negative random variables  $\Delta_j = T_j - T_{j-1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

If the sequence  $\{\Delta_j\}$  contains an infinite subsequence  $\{\Delta_{k_j}\}$  of independent, identically distributed random variables such that  $E[\Delta_{k_j}] = d > 0$ , then  $S$  is regular.

## Regular pure jump processes (cont.)

PROOF: By the strong law of large numbers it follows that:

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{k_j} = d\right) = 1.$$

This implies that the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{k_j}$  is divergent with probability one.

Hence, since obviously  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{k_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j$ , the result follows.

## Regular pure jump processes (cont.)

### Proposition (8.1.2)

Let  $\{S(t)\}$  be a regular pure jump process with jumps at  $T_1 < T_2 < \dots$ . Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow s^-} S(t)$  exists for every  $s > 0$  with probability one.

PROOF: Let  $0 \leq t < s < \infty$ , and consider the set:

$$\mathcal{T} = \{T_j : t \leq T_j < s\} \cup \{t\}.$$

Since  $S$  is regular, the set  $\mathcal{T}$  is finite with probability one. Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}$  is non-empty since  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ . Thus, this set contains a maximal element, which we denote by  $t'$ . Moreover, since every element in  $\mathcal{T}$  is less than  $s$ , then so is  $t'$ .

From this it follows that the interval  $(t', s)$  is nonempty.

At the same time  $(t', s)$  does not contain any jumps, so  $S(t)$  is constant throughout this interval. Hence,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow s^-} S(t)$  exists. Since  $s$  was arbitrary chosen, this holds for any  $s > 0$ .

## Regular pure jump processes (cont.)

### Proposition (8.1.3)

Let  $\{S(t)\}$  be a regular pure jump process with jumps at  $T_1 < T_2 < \dots$ , and let  $0 \leq u < v < \infty$ .

Assume that  $\{T_j : u < T_j < v\} = \{T^{(1)}, \dots, T^{(k)}\}$ , where  $T^{(1)} < \dots < T^{(k)}$ .

Moreover, we define  $T^{(0)} = u$  and  $T^{(k+1)} = v$ .

Then we have:

$$\int_u^v S(t) dt = \sum_{j=0}^k S(T^{(j)}) (T^{(j+1)} - T^{(j)}).$$

## Regular pure jump processes (cont.)

NOTE: Since  $S$  is regular, the number of elements in the set  $\{T_j : u < T_j < v\}$  is finite with probability one.

Thus, this set can almost surely be written in the form  $\{T^{(1)}, \dots, T^{(k)}\}$ , for some suitable  $k < \infty$ .

Since  $S$  is right-continuous and piecewise constant, it follows that  $S(t) = S(T^{(j)})$  for all  $t \in [T^{(j)}, T^{(j+1)})$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .

Thus, we have:

$$\int_{T^{(j)}}^{T^{(j+1)}} S(t) dt = S(T^{(j)})(T^{(j+1)} - T^{(j)}), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

The result then follows by adding up the contributions to the integral from each of the  $k + 1$  intervals  $[T^{(0)}, T^{(1)}), \dots, [T^{(k)}, T^{(k+1)})$



## Regular pure jump processes (cont.)

### Proposition (8.1.4)

Let  $\{S_1(t)\}, \dots, \{S_n(t)\}$  be  $n$  regular pure jump processes, and let  $H(t) = H(\mathbf{S}(t))$ , where  $\mathbf{S}(t) = (S_1(t), \dots, S_n(t))$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

Then  $\{H(t)\}$  is a regular pure jump process as well.

That is,  $H(t) = H(\mathbf{S}(t))$  is:

- Piecewise constant
- Right-continuous in  $t$ ,
- The number of jumps in  $[0, t]$  is finite with probability one for all  $t > 0$

## Regular pure jump processes (cont.)

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{T}_i$  be the set of jump points of  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of jump points of the process  $\{H(t)\}$ .

Since the state value of  $H$  cannot change unless there is a change in the state value of at least one of the elementary processes, it follows that  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{T}_n)$ .

Thus,  $H(t)$  is piecewise constant and right-continuous in  $t$ . Moreover, for any finite interval  $[0, t]$  we also have:

$$\mathcal{T} \cap [0, t] \subseteq [(\mathcal{T}_1 \cap [0, t]) \cup \dots \cup (\mathcal{T}_n \cap [0, t])].$$

By regularity  $(\mathcal{T}_i \cap [0, t])$  is finite almost surely for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{T} \cap [0, t]$  is finite almost surely as well, implying that  $\{H(t)\}$  is regular.

## Application to reliability

Consider  $(C, \phi)$ , a binary monotone system of  $n$  *repairable* components.

**Component state processes:**  $\{X_1(t)\}, \dots, \{X_n(t)\}$ , where:

$X_i(t)$  = the state of component  $i$  at time  $t \geq 0$ ,  $i \in C$ .

**Component state vector:**  $\mathbf{X}(t) = (X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$

**System state process:**  $\{\phi(t)\}$ , where:

$\phi(t) = \phi(\mathbf{X}(t))$  = the state of the system at time  $t \geq 0$

# Repairable components

We introduce the following random variables:

- $U_{ij}$  = The  $j$ th lifetime of the  $i$ th component
- $D_{ij}$  = The  $j$ th repair time of the  $i$ th component

For  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots$ :

- $U_{ij}$  has an abs. continuous distribution with mean value  $0 < \mu_i < \infty$
- $D_{ij}$  has an abs. continuous distribution with mean value  $0 < \nu_i < \infty$

All lifetimes and repair times are assumed to be *independent*. Thus, the component processes  $\{X_1(t)\}, \dots, \{X_n(t)\}$  are independent of each other.

# Repairable components

Now we let for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$T_{i,1} = U_{i1},$$

$$T_{i,2} = U_{i1} + D_{i1}$$

$$T_{i,3} = U_{i1} + D_{i1} + U_{i2},$$

$$T_{i,4} = U_{i1} + D_{i1} + U_{i2} + D_{i2}$$

...

Moreover, let  $J_j = (-1)^j$ . We may then write:

$$X_i(t) = X(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I(T_{ij} \leq t) J_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By Proposition 8.1.1  $\{X_1(t)\}, \dots, \{X_n(t)\}$  are regular pure jump processes.

By Proposition 8.1.4  $\{\phi(t)\}$  is a regular pure jump process as well.

# Availability

Let  $A_i(t)$  be the availability of the  $i$ th component at time  $t$ . That is, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have:

$$A_i(t) = \Pr(X_i(t) = 1) = E[X_i(t)].$$

By renewal theory the corresponding stationary availabilities are given by:

$$A_i = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_i(t) = \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i + \nu_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Introduce  $\mathbf{A}(t) = (A_1(t), \dots, A_n(t))$  and  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ . The system availability at time  $t$  is given by:

$$A_\phi(t) = \Pr(\phi(\mathbf{X}(t)) = 1) = E[\phi(\mathbf{X}(t))] = h(\mathbf{A}(t)),$$

where  $h$  is the system's reliability function. The corresponding stationary availability is given by:

$$A_\phi = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_\phi(t) = h(\mathbf{A})$$

# Criticality

The component  $i$  is said to be *critical* at time  $t$  if

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{X}(t)) = \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{X}(t)) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{X}(t)) = 1.$$

$\psi_i(\mathbf{X}(t))$  is the *criticality state* of component  $i$  at time  $t$ .

The Birnbaum measure of importance of component  $i$  at time  $t$ ,  $I_B^{(i)}(t)$ , is the probability that  $i$  is critical at time  $t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I_B^{(i)}(t) &= \Pr(\psi_i(\mathbf{X}(t)) = 1) = E[\psi_i(\mathbf{X}(t))] \\ &= h(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{A}(t)) - h(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{A}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding stationary measure is given by:

$$I_B^{(i)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_B^{(i)}(t) = h(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{A}) - h(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{A}).$$