STK3405 – Exercises Chapter 2

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl

Department of Mathematics University of Oslo, Norway



< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

What is the reliability function of a series system of order *n* where the component states are assumed to be independent?

SOLUTION: A series system of order *n* is a binary monotone system (C, ϕ) which functions if and only if all the *n* components function. Thus, the structure function of the system is:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Assuming that the component state variables X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent with reliabilites p_1, \ldots, p_n respectively, we get that:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = P(\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = 1) = E[\phi(\boldsymbol{X})] = E[\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i]$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i] \text{ (using the independence)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$$



Consider the parallel structure of order 2. Assume that the component states are independent. The reliability function of this system is:

$$h(\mathbf{p}) = p_1 \coprod p_2 = 1 - (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) = p_1 + p_2 - p_1 p_2.$$

a) If you know that $p_1 = P(X_1 = 1) = 0.5$ and $p_2 = P(X_2 = 1) = 0.7$, what is the reliability of the parallel system?

SOLUTION: $h(0.5, 0.7) = 0.5 + 0.7 - 0.5 \cdot 0.7 = 0.85$



b) What is the system reliability if $p_1 = 0.9$ and $p_2 = 0.1$?

SOLUTION: $h(0.9, 0.1) = 0.9 + 0.1 - 0.9 \cdot 0.1 = 0.91$

c) Can you give an interpretation of these results?

SOLUTION: For a parallel system it is better to have *one really good* component and *one really bad* component, than to have two components with reliabilities close 0.5.

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A

Assume more generally that $P(X_1 = 1) = p$ and $P(X_2 = 1) = 1 - p$. This implies that:

$$h(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} + (1 - \mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{p}(1 - \mathbf{p}) = 1 - \mathbf{p}(1 - \mathbf{p}).$$

This is parabola with minimum at p = 0.5. Thus, the system reliability of the parallel system is smallest when the components have the same reliability.

For a series system of two components with $P(X_1 = 1) = p$ and $P(X_2 = 1) = 1 - p$ we have:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p})=p(1-p).$$

This is parabola with maximum at p = 0.5. Thus, the system reliability of the series system is largest when the components have the same reliability.

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

Consider a binary monotone system (C, ϕ), where the component set is $C = \{1, ..., 4\}$ and where ϕ is given by:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_3 \amalg x_4).$$

a) Draw a reliability block diagram of this system.

SOLUTION:



/╗▶ ∢ ⋽▶ ∢

b) Assume again that ϕ is given by:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_3 \amalg x_4).$$

and that X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 are independent with reliabilities p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 respectively. What is the corresponding reliability function?

SOLUTION:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = E[\phi(\boldsymbol{X})] = E[X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot (X_3 \amalg X_4)]$$

= $E[X_1] \cdot E[X_2] \cdot (E[X_3] \amalg E[X_4])$ using independence
= $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot (p_3 \amalg p_4)$

A (10) A (10)



a) What is the structure function of this system?

SOLUTION: The structure function of this system is:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_3 \amalg x_4) \cdot x_5.$$

b) Assume again that ϕ is given by:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_3 \amalg x_4) \cdot x_5.$$

and that X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5 are independent with reliabilities p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 respectively. What is the corresponding reliability function?

SOLUTION:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = E[\phi(\boldsymbol{X})] = E[X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot (X_3 \amalg X_4) \cdot X_5]$$

= $E[X_1] \cdot E[X_2] \cdot (E[X_3] \amalg E[X_4]) \cdot E[X_5]$ using independence
= $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot (p_3 \amalg p_4) \cdot p_5$

A (10) A (10)

There are 8 different coherent systems of order less than or equal to 3 (not counting permutations in the numbering of components). What are they?

SOLUTION: There are three coherent systems of order 1 or 2:



There are five coherent systems of order 3:



Consider a monotone system (C, ϕ) of order n, and let $A \subset C$ the set of irrelevant components.

Furthermore, let $(C \setminus A, \phi')$, be a binary monotone system of order m = n - |A|, where ϕ' is defined for all *m*-dimensional binary vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^m$ as:

$$\phi'(\mathbf{X}) = \phi(\mathbf{1}^{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{X}^{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}})$$

Show that $(C \setminus A, \phi')$ is coherent.

SOLUTION: Since ϕ is non-decreasing in each argument, it follows that $\phi(\mathbf{1}^A, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus A})$ is non-decreasing in x_i for all $i \in C \setminus A$.

Hence, $(C \setminus A, \phi')$ is indeed a binary monotone system.

э

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

We then let $i \in (C \setminus A)$. By assumption *i* is relevant in (C, ϕ) . That is, there exists a (\cdot_i, \mathbf{x}) such that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}.$$

This equation can also be written as:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus \mathcal{A}}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus \mathcal{A}}) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Since all the components in *A* are irrelevant in the original system, we may replace \mathbf{x}^{A} by $\mathbf{1}^{A}$ without changing the value of ϕ :

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Hence, we have shown that there exists a vector $(\cdot_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \mathbf{x}^{C \setminus A})$ such that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}^A, \boldsymbol{x}^{C \setminus A}) = 1.$$

Thus, we conclude that *i* is relevant in $(C \setminus A, \phi')$, and since this holds for all $i \in C \setminus A$, we conclude that $(C \setminus A, \phi')$ is coherent.

Let (C, ϕ) be a non-trivial binary monotone system of order *n*. Then for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we have:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Prove the right-hand inequality.

SOLUTION: If $\coprod_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1$, this inequality is trivial since $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

If on the other hand $\coprod_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$, we must have $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

Since (C, ϕ) is assumed to be non-trivial, it follows that $\phi(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. Thus, the inequality is valid in this case as well.

This completes the proof of the right-hand inequality.

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A

Let (C, ϕ) be a binary monotone system of order *n*. Show that for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we have:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}) \leq \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \phi(\boldsymbol{y})$$

Moreover, assume that (C, ϕ) is coherent. Prove that equality holds for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ if and only if (C, ϕ) is a series system.

SOLUTION: Since $x \cdot y \leq x$ and $x \cdot y \leq y$ and ϕ is non-decreasing in each argument, we have:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \leq \phi(\mathbf{x})$$
 and $\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \leq \phi(\mathbf{y})$.

Hence, we have:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \leq \min\{\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{y})\} = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{y}).$$



< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

It remains to prove that if (C, ϕ) is coherent, then $\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{y})$ if and only if (C, ϕ) is a series system.

SOLUTION: Assume first that (C, ϕ) is a series system. Then:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i \cdot y_i) = [\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i] \cdot [\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i] = \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \phi(\boldsymbol{y}).$$

Assume conversely that $\phi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{y})$, and choose $i \in C$ arbitrarily.

Since (C, ϕ) is coherent, there exists a vector (\cdot, \mathbf{x}) such that:

$$\phi(1_i, \mathbf{x}) = 1 \text{ and } \phi(0_i, \mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

For this particular (\cdot, \mathbf{x}) we have by the assumption that:

$$0 = \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \phi((\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}))$$

= $\phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}$

Hence, $\phi(0_i, \mathbf{1}) = 0$, and since obviously $\phi(1_i, \mathbf{1}) = 1$, we conclude that:

$$\phi(x_i, \mathbf{1}) = x_i$$
, for $x_i = 0, 1$.

Since $i \in C$ was chosen arbitrarily, we must have:

$$\phi(x_i, \mathbf{1}) = x_i$$
, for $x_i = 0, 1$, for all $i \in C$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

By repeated use of the assumption, we get:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi((x_1, \mathbf{1}) \cdot (x_2, \mathbf{1}) \cdots (x_n, \mathbf{1}))$$
$$= \phi(x_1, \mathbf{1}) \cdot \phi(x_2, \mathbf{1}) \cdots \phi(x_n, \mathbf{1})$$
$$= x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdots x_n = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Thus, we conclude that (C, ϕ) is a series system.

Prove that the dual system of a *k*-out-of-*n* system is an (n - k + 1)-out-of-*n* system.

SOLUTION: Assume that (C, ϕ) is a *k*-out-of-*n*-system. The structure function, ϕ , can then be written as:

$$\phi(oldsymbol{x}) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq k \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

More compactly we may write this as:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = l(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq k).$$

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

We then have:

$$\phi^{D}(\mathbf{y}) = 1 - \phi(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{y}) = 1 - l(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - y_{i}) \ge k)$$
$$= 1 - l(n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \ge k) = 1 - l(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \le n - k)$$
$$= l(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} > n - k) = l(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \ge n - k + 1)$$

Hence, (C^D, ϕ^D) is an (n - k + 1)-out-of-*n* system.

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Let *S* be a stochastic variable with values in $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$. We then define the *generating function* of *S* as:

$$G_S(y) = E[y^S] = \sum_{s=0}^n y^s P(S=s).$$

a) Explain why $G_S(y)$ is a polynomial, and give an interpretation of the coefficients of this polynomial.

SOLUTION: $G_S(y)$ is a polynomial because all the terms in the sum are of the form $a_s y^s$, s = 0, 1, ..., n.

The coefficient a_s is equal to P(S = s).

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A

NOTE: A polynomial $g(y) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} a_s y^n$ is a generating function for a random variable *S* with values in $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ if and only if:

$$a_s \geq 0, \quad s=0,1,\ldots,n$$

 $\sum_{s=0}^n a_s = 1.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

b) Let *T* be another non-negative integer valued stochastic variable with values in $\{0, 1, ..., m\}$ which is independent of *S*. Show that:

$$G_{S+T}(y) = G_S(y) \cdot G_T(y).$$

SOLUTION: By the definition of a generating function and the independence of S and T we have:

$$G_{S+T}(y) = E[y^{S+T}] = E[y^S \cdot y^T]$$

= $E[y^S] \cdot E[y^T]$ (using that *S* and *T* are independent)
= $G_S(y) \cdot G_T(y)$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

c) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent binary variables with $P(X_i = 1) = p_i$ and $P(X_i = 0) = 1 - p_i = q_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Show that:

$$G_{X_i}(y) = q_i + p_i y, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

SOLUTION: By the definition of a generating function we get:

$$egin{aligned} G_{X_i}(y) &= E[y^{X_i}] \ &= y^0 \cdot P(X_i = 0) + y^1 P(X_i = 1) \ &= q_i + p_i y, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

d) Introduce:

$$S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and assume that we have computed $G_{S_j}(y)$. Thus, all the coefficients of $G_{S_i}(y)$ are known at this stage. We then compute:

$$G_{S_{j+1}}(y) = G_{S_j}(y) \cdot G_{X_{j+1}}(y).$$

How many algebraic operations (addition and multiplication) will be needed to complete this task?

く 同 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

SOLUTION: Assume that we have computed $G_{S_i}(y)$, and that:

$$G_{\mathcal{S}_j}(y)=a_{j0}+a_{j1}y+a_{j2}y^2+\cdots+a_{jj}y^j.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{S_{j+1}}(y) &= G_{S_j}(y) \cdot G_{X_{j+1}}(y) \\ &= (a_{j0} + a_{j1}y + a_{j2}y^2 + \dots + a_{jj}y^j) \cdot (q_{i+1} + p_{i+1}y) \end{aligned}$$

In order to compute $G_{S_{j+1}}(y)$ we need to do 2(j+1) multiplications and *j* additions.

ヘロア 人間 アイヨア・

e) Explain how generating functions can be used in order to calculate the reliability of a k-out-of-n system. What can you say about the order of this algorithm.

SOLUTION: In order to compute $G_S(y) = G_{S_n}(y)$, $2 \cdot (2 + 3 + \dots + n)$ multiplications and $(1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1))$ additions are needed. Thus, the number of operations grows roughly proportionally to n^2 operations.

Having calculated $G_S(y)$, a polynomial of degree *n*, the distribution of *S* is given by the coefficients of this polynomial.

If (C, ϕ) is a *k*-out-of-*n*-system with component state variables X_1, \ldots, X_n , then the reliability of this system is given by:

$$P(\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = 1) = P(S \ge k) = \sum_{s=k}^{n} P(S = s)$$

Thus, the reliability of (C, ϕ) can be calculated in $O(n^2)$ -time.