STK3405 – Lecture 14

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl

Department of Mathematics University of Oslo, Norway

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



Case study - Reliability analysis of a network for transmission of electronic pulses

э

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Multistate systems

We consider a system with component set $C = \{1, ..., n\}$ and binary component state processes $\{X_i(t)\}_{i \in C}$, where:

 $X_i(t) = I($ Component *i* is functioning at time $t \ge 0$), $i \in C$.

We also introduce $\boldsymbol{X}(t) = (X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t)).$

At any given point of time *t* the system state is a function of the components states, and we introduce the *structure function*:

 $\phi(t) = \phi(\mathbf{X}(t))$ = The state of the system at time *t*.

In this case, however, the system is a *multistate system*. Thus, the set of system states, denoted S, may contain more than two states.

Our main task is to find the distribution of $\phi(t)$ for any $t \ge 0$.

The structure function

The distribution of $\phi(t)$:

In principle we can compute the distribution of $\phi(t)$ by total state space enumeration:

$$P(\phi(t) = s) = \sum_{\mathbf{X}} I(\phi(\mathbf{X}) = s) \cdot P(\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{X}), \quad s \in S.$$

However, since the number of terms in this sum grows exponentially in *n*, this often becomes too time consuming.

State space reduction:

The computational complexity can be reduced considerably if we can find new processes $\{Y_1(X(t))\}, \ldots, \{Y_m(X(t))\}$ such that $m \ll n$, and such that:

$$\phi(t) = \phi(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{X}(t))),$$

where $Y(X(t)) = (Y_1(X(t)), ..., Y_m(X(t))).$

Assuming that the joint distribution of Y(X(t)) is easily determined, we can then find the distribution of $\phi(t)$ by using the following formula:

$$P(\phi(t) = s) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} I(\phi(\mathbf{y}) = s) \cdot P(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{X}(t)) = \mathbf{y}), \quad s \in S.$$

In general, the number of terms in this sum grows exponentially in m. However, if $m \ll n$, the number of terms may be reduced considerably.

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・ ・

A network for transmission of electronic pulses



A network for transmission of electronic pulses (cont.)

The purpose of the system shown in the previous slide is to ensure communication between a control room and 5 production units.

The system consists of:

- 6 wires beween the control room and the connection unit A
- 6 wires beween the control room and the connection unit B
- 5 identical subsystems, one for each production unit, transporting electronic pulses from the connection units, *A* and *B*, to the production unit. Each subsystem contains 8 components.

In total we have $n = 6 + 6 + 5 \cdot 8 = 52$ components.

The number of production units which can be controlled by a connection unit is limited by the number of functioning wires between the control room and the respective connection unit.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

The structure of the subsystems



Figure: A subsystem transporting electronic pulses from the connection units, *A* and *B*, to a production unit.

A I > A = A A

Assumptions

- All the 52 the components have idependent and exponentially distributed lifetimes
- The 12 wires have the same failure rate r₀.
- The 5 subsystems have identical stochastic properties.
- The failure rates of the 8 components of a subsystem are r₁,..., r₈ respectively.

For a given point of time $t \ge 0$ we introduce the survival probabilities:

$$q_i(t) = \exp(-r_i t), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 8.$$

NOTE: A survival probability at time t is the probability that the component is functioning at time t, or equivalently the reliability of the component at time t.

3

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

We define the state of the system at time $t \ge 0$ as:

 $\phi(t)$ = The number of production units which can be controlled at time t

Thus, it follows that the set of possible system states is:

 $\mathcal{S} = \{0,1,\ldots,5\}$

Since the total number of components is 52, a total state space enumeration would consist of a sum of $2^{52} \approx 4.504 \cdot 10^{15}$ terms.

This is way too many terms to handle. Thus, we must look for some simplifications.

3

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

Intermediate variables

In order to simplify the calculations we introduce intermediate variables:

- $Y_1(t)$ = The number of functioning wires at the connection unit A at time t
- $Y_2(t)$ = The number of functioning wires at the connection unit *B* at time *t*
- $Y_3(t)$ = The number of prod.units connected to both A and B at time t
- $Y_4(t)$ = The number of prod.units connected to A only at time t
- $Y_5(t)$ = The number of prod.units connected to *B* only at time *t*

The state of the system can now be expressed as:

$$\phi(t) = W_1(t) + W_2(t) + W_3(t),$$

where:

$$W_1(t) = \min\{Y_1(t), Y_4(t)\}, \quad W_2(t) = \min\{Y_2(t), Y_5(t)\}, \\ W_3(t) = \min\{(Y_1(t) - W_1(t)) + (Y_2(t) - W_2(t)), Y_3(t)\}.$$

Intermediate variables (cont.)

We observe that:

- $W_1(t)$ is the number of production units which can only be controlled through connection unit *A*.
- $W_2(t)$ is the number of production units which can only be controlled through connection unit *B*.
- $(Y_1(t) W_1(t))$ is the left-over capacity at connection unit *A*.
- $(Y_2(t) W_2(t))$ is the left-over capacity at connection unit *B*.
- $W_3(t)$ is the number of production units which can be handled by the total left-over capacity at connection unit *A* and connection unit *B*.

Note that we may have zero left-over capacity at one or both connection units.

くロン 不通 とくほ とくほ とうほう

The distribution of $Y_1(t)$ and $Y_2(t)$

We observe that $Y_1(t)$ and $Y_2(t)$ are sums of 6 independent, identically distributed binary random variables.

Since the 12 wires have a survival probability of $q_0(t) = \exp(-r_0 t)$, it follows that:

$$P(Y_i(t) = y) = {6 \choose y} [q_0(t)]^y [1 - q_0(t)]^{6-y}, \quad y = 0, 1, \dots, 6, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The distribution of $Y_3(t)$, $Y_4(t)$ and $Y_5(t)$

We start by observing that each of the 5 subsystems can be in 4 different states:

 S_{AB} = The prod. unit can communicate with both connection units

 S_A = The prod. unit can communicate with connection unit A

 S_B = The prod. unit can communicate with connection unit B

 $\mathcal{S}_{\emptyset} =$ The prod. unit cannot communicate with any connection unit

We recall that all subsystems have identical stochastic properties. Thus, we may introduce:

 $q_{AB}(t)$ = The probability that a prod.unit is in state S_{AB} at time t

 $q_A(t)$ = The probability that a prod.unit is in state S_A at time t

 $q_B(t)$ = The probability that a prod.unit is in state S_B at time t

 $q_{\emptyset}(t) =$ The probability that a prod.unit is in state S_{\emptyset} at time t

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ

The distribution of $Y_3(t)$, $Y_4(t)$ and $Y_5(t)$ (cont.)

Note that we obviously have:

$$q_{AB}(t) + q_A(t) + q_B(t) + q_{\emptyset}(t) = 1$$

Thus, we can express $q_{\emptyset}(t)$ as $(1 - q_{AB}(t) - q_A(t) - q_B(t))$.

Since the subsystems have identical stochastic properties and are independent of each other, it follows that the vector $(Y_3(t), Y_4(t), Y_5(t))$ is multinomially distributed. That is, we have:

$$P(Y_3(t) = y_3, Y_4(t) = y_4, Y_5(t) = y_5) = \frac{5!}{y_3! y_4! y_4! (5 - y_3 - y_4 - y_5)!}$$

$$\cdot [q_{AB}(t)]^{y_3} \cdot [q_A(t)]^{y_4} \cdot [q_B(t)]^{y_5} \cdot [q_{\emptyset}(t)]^{5-y_3-y_4-y_5}$$

where $y_3 = 0, 1, \dots 5$, $y_4 = 0, 1, \dots (5 - y_3)$ and $y_5 = 0, 1, \dots (5 - y_3 - y_4)$.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほう

The probabilities $q_{AB}(t)$, $q_A(t)$ and $q_B(t)$

In order to find the the probabilities $q_{AB}(t)$, $q_A(t)$ and $q_B(t)$ we introduce the following events:

 E_A = The event that the production unit communicates with A at time t

 E_B = The event that the production unit communicates with B at time t

We may then express the desired probabilities as:

$$q_{AB}(t) = P(E_A \cap E_B)$$
$$q_A(t) = P(E_A) - P(E_A \cap E_B)$$
$$q_B(t) = P(E_B) - P(E_A \cap E_B)$$

Thus, we have reduced the problem to computing the probabilities $P(E_A)$, $P(E_B)$ and $P(E_A \cap E_B)$.

The probabilities $q_{AB}(t)$, $q_A(t)$ and $q_B(t)$ (cont.)

In the following we simplify the notation by omitting the time *t*, and consider one of the subsystems:



We also introduce state variables for the 8 components in this system and denote these by Z_1, \ldots, Z_8 . By previous assumptions we know that the state variables are independent and that:

$$P(Z_i = 1) = q_i, \quad i = 1, ..., 8.$$

Computing $P(E_A \cap E_B)$

In order to compute the probability $P(E_A \cap E_B)$ we condition on the states of the two bridges, i.e., components 3 and 6, and get:

$$\begin{aligned} P(E_A \cap E_B) &= P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 1, Z_6 = 1) \cdot q_3 q_6 \\ &+ P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 1, Z_6 = 0) \cdot q_3 (1 - q_6) \\ &+ P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 0, Z_6 = 1) \cdot (1 - q_3) q_6 \\ &+ P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 0, Z_6 = 0) \cdot (1 - q_3) (1 - q_6) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that the conditional probabilities are:

$$P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 1, Z_6 = 1) = q_1 q_2 [q_4 + q_5 - q_4 q_5] [q_7 + q_8 - q_7 q_8]$$

$$P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 1, Z_6 = 0) = q_1 q_2 [q_4 q_7 + q_5 q_8 - q_4 q_5 q_7 q_8]$$

$$P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 0, Z_6 = 1) = q_1 q_2 q_4 q_5 [q_7 + q_8 - q_7 q_8]$$

$$P(E_A \cap E_B \mid Z_3 = 0, Z_6 = 0) = q_1 q_2 q_4 q_5 q_7 q_8$$

Computing $P(E_A)$ and $P(E_B)$

We observe that for the event E_A Component 2 is irrelevant. When this component is removed, we note that Component 3 and Component 5 are in series. From this it is easy to show that:

$$\begin{aligned} P(E_A) &= q_1 q_6 [q_4 + q_3 q_5 - q_3 q_4 q_5] [q_7 + q_8 - q_7 q_8] \\ &+ q_1 (1 - q_6) [q_4 q_7 + q_3 q_5 q_8 - q_3 q_4 q_5 q_7 q_8] \end{aligned}$$

We observe that for the event E_B Component 1 is irrelevant. When this component is removed, we note that Component 3 and Component 4 are in series. From this it is easy to show that:

$$\begin{aligned} P(E_B) &= q_2 q_6 [q_5 + q_3 q_4 - q_3 q_4 q_5] [q_7 + q_8 - q_7 q_8] \\ &+ q_2 (1 - q_6) [q_5 q_8 + q_3 q_4 q_7 - q_3 q_4 q_5 q_7 q_8] \end{aligned}$$

The distribution of $Y_3(t)$, $Y_4(t)$ and $Y_4(t)$ (cont.)

Having computed the probabilities $P(E_A)$, $P(E_B)$ and $P(E_A \cap E_B)$, we can compute the probabilities $q_{AB}(t)$, $q_A(t)$ and $q_B(t)$.

Furthermore, given $q_{AB}(t)$, $q_A(t)$ and $q_B(t)$ we have what we need to compute the distribution of the vector ($Y_3(t)$, $Y_4(t)$, $Y_5(t)$).

Since the system state $\phi(t)$ is expressed in terms of $Y_1(t)$, $Y_2(t)$, $Y_3(t)$, $Y_4(t)$, $Y_5(t)$, we can find the distribution of $\phi(t)$ by a total state space enumeration of these input variables.

- The number of states for Y₁(t) is 7
- The number of states for Y₂(t) is 7
- The number of states for the vector $(Y_3(t), Y_4(t), Y_5(t))$ is 56.

Thus, the total number of states needed in the enumeration is $7 \cdot 7 \cdot 56 = 2744$. While this is still a large number of terms, it is much smaller than $2^{52} \approx 4.504 \cdot 10^{15}$.

The computer we used



A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The results



22/22

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト