

(60) → The total claim amount in year i is given by

$$S_i := \sum_{j=N(i-1)+1}^{N(i)} X_j^{(i)}$$

One shows (Exerc. 9) that

S_i mutually indep. $\stackrel{=}{=} N(i) - N(i-1)$

and

$$\left(\sum_{j=N(i-1)+1}^{N(i)} X_j^{(i)} \right)_{i=1, \dots, n} \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N(i-1, i]} X_j^{(i)} \right)_{i=1, \dots, n}$$

Set $N_i = N(i) - N(i-1)$. Then

$$S_i \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} X_j^{(i)}$$

where

$$N_i \sim \text{Pois}(\mu(i-1, i])$$

⇒ S_i indep. compound Poisson sums

Denote by $S(n)$ the total claim amount up to n years, that is

Th. 10.4.1.7

$$S(n) = S_1 + \dots + S_n$$

$$S(n) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} Y_i$$

where

$$N_2 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda), \quad \lambda = \mu(0, I] + \dots + \mu(n-1, n] = \mu(n)$$

The r.v.'s Y_i are i.i.d with

$$Y_i \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} X_j^{(1)} + \dots + \sum_{j=n}^{\eta} X_j^{(n)}$$

where the r.v. $\eta \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is indep. of $X_1^{(1)}, \dots, X_1^{(n)}$

The distr. of η is given by

$$P(\eta=c) = \mu(i-1, i] / \lambda$$

⇒ $S(n)$ is compound Poisson again with i.i.d claim sizes Y_i !

Note: It was not assumed that

$X_{j_1}^{(i_1)}$ has the same distr. as $X_{j_2}^{(i_2)}$

for $i_1 \neq i_2$

61

Ex. 10.4.1.9 : Consider n indep. portfolios with total claim amounts

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} X_j^{(i)}$$

where

$$N_i \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_i)$$

and

$$N_i \text{ indep. of i.i.d. } (X_j^{(i)})$$

for each i .

For example S_i is the total claim amount in a portfolio of car insurance policies within the tariff group (or account) i .

Th 10.4.1.7

The aggregation of $S_i, i=1, \dots, n$, that is

$$S = S_1 + \dots + S_n$$

is compound Poisson again with counting variable $N \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda), \lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$

10.4.2 Space-time decomposition of the total claim amount

Now let us consider the converse problem to

Th 10.4.1.7, that is we want to study sub-portfolios of a given portfolio

More precisely, consider the total claim amount

$$S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i |_{[0, t]}(T_i)$$

where N is a Poisson proc. with mean value function $\mu(t)$ indep. of the i.i.d. claim sizes (X_i) . T_i are the claim arrivals.

Set

$$E = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$$

↑ ↑
time claim size values

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be a disjoint partition of E , that is

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = E, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$



decomposition of $S(t)$ into total claim amounts of sub-portfolios over certain periods of time and w.r.t. certain claim size layers:

$$S(t) = S_1(t) + \dots + S_n(t)$$

62

where

$$S_j(t) = \sum_{c=1}^{N(t)} X_c \cdot I_{A_j}((T_c, X_c)) \quad , j=1, \dots, n+1$$

Ex. 10.4.2.1 (Partitioning in time)

Choose $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$ and set

$$\Delta_1 = [0, t_1], \Delta_i = (t_{i-1}, t_i] \quad , i=2, \dots, n, \Delta_{n+1} = (t_n, \infty)$$

$$\rightarrow A_i := \Delta_i \times [0, \infty) \quad , i=1, \dots, n+1$$

Ex. 10.4.2.2 (Partitioning in the claim size space)

Consider the different layers of claim sizes

$$B_1 = [0, d_1], B_2 = (d_1, d_2], \dots, B_n = (d_{n-1}, d_n], B_{n+1} = (d_n, \infty)$$

for $0 < d_1 < \dots < d_n < \infty$

$$\rightarrow A_i := [0, t] \times B_i \quad , i=1, \dots, n+1$$

For example different insurance companies share the risk of a portfolio by each managing sub-portfolios $S_i(t)$ w.r.t. distinct claim size magnitudes $X_j^{(i)} \in B_i$

\rightarrow converse result to Th. 10.4.1.7

Theorem 10.4.2.3 (Space-time decomposition of $S(t)$)

Let N be a Poisson proc with $\mu(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a continuous intensity function. Further

(let A_1, \dots, A_n be a disjoint partition of $E = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$.)

Then

$$S_j(t) = \sum_{c=1}^{N(t)} X_c \cdot I_{A_j}((T_c, X_c)) \quad , j=1, \dots, n$$

are independent for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover each $S_j(t)$ is compound Poisson with

$$S_j(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{c=1}^{N(t)} X_c \cdot I_{A_j}((Y_c, X_c))$$

where (Y_c) are i.i.d r.v.'s with density $\lambda(x)/\mu(t)$, $0 \leq x \leq t$, independent of N and (X_c)

Proof: More or less a direct consequence of the order statistics property of the Poisson process (Th. 9.1.4.1) see Mikosch.

10.4.3 Panjer recursion scheme

\rightarrow Exact numerical procedure for calculating the distr. of the total claim amount

$$S(t) = \sum_{c=1}^{N(t)} X_c$$

Notation: $S = S(t)$ and $N = N(t)$ for fixed t

$$S_n = \sum_{c=1}^n X_c$$

\rightarrow Theorem 10.4.3.1 (Panjer recursion)

(63)

Assume that the claim sizes X_i take values in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and that the claim number N has distr. given by

$$q_n := P(N=n) = \left(a + \frac{b}{n}\right) q_{n-1}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots \quad (10.4.3.1)$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then the probabilities $p_n := P(S=n)$ can be calculated by

$$p_0 = \begin{cases} q_0 & \text{if } P(X_1=0) = 0 \\ \mathbb{E}[(P(X_1=0))^N] & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$p_n = \frac{1}{1 - a P(X_1=0)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{b \cdot i}{n}\right) P(X_1=i) p_{n-i}, \quad n \geq 1$$

Rem. 10.4.3.2: Condition (10.4.3.1) is called (a, b) -condition and one shows that this cond. is only satisfied by the following 3 distributions:

1. Pois(λ) $\rightarrow a=0, b=\lambda \geq 0$

2. Binomial distribution $B(n, p)$

$\rightarrow a = -p/(1-p) < 0, b = -a(n+1), n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

3. Negative binomial distribution with param. (p, r) , that is

$$P(Z=k) = \binom{r+k-1}{k} p^r (1-p)^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \in (0, 1), r > 0$$

\rightarrow

$$0 < a = 1-p < 1, b = (1-p)(r-1), a+b > 0$$

Rem. 10.4.3.3

(i) The case $X_i \in d\mathbb{N}_0 = \{d \cdot n : n \geq 0\}, d > 0$ can be reduced to the case $X_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by rewriting $S = d \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i/d)$

(ii) Every total claim amount with continuous claim size distr. can be approximated by one with $X_i \in d\mathbb{N}_0$

Proof of Th. 10.3.4.1: Proof based on the (a, b) -cond.

$$p_0 = P(N=0) + P(S=0, N>0)$$

$$\text{If } P(X_1=0) = 0 \Rightarrow p_0 = P(N=0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_0$$

$$\text{If } P(X_1=0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$