

STK4011 and STK9011

Autumn 2016

Point estimation

Covers (most of) the following material from chapters 6 and 7:

- Section 6.2.4: pages 285-286 and 288
- Section 7.3.2: pages 334-342
- Section 7.3.3: pages 342-348

Ørnulf Borgan
Department of Mathematics
University of Oslo

1

Best unbiased estimators

Definition 7.3.7

An estimator W^* is a **best unbiased estimator** of $\tau(\theta)$ if it satisfies $E_\theta W^* = \tau(\theta)$ for all θ and, for any other estimator W with $E_\theta W = \tau(\theta)$, we have $\text{Var}_\theta W^* \leq \text{Var}_\theta W$ for all θ

We also say that W^* is a **uniform minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE)** for $\tau(\theta)$

2

Information

Consider a sample $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ with joint pdf (or pmf) $f(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$

We assume that expressions of the form

$$E_\theta W(\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} W(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x} | \theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

may be differentiated with respect to θ by changing the order of differentiation and integration (summation in the case of pmf)

Then we have

$$E_\theta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta) \right) = 0$$

3

The **information number** or **Fisher information** of the sample is

$$I(\theta) = E_\theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta) \right)^2 \right] = \text{Var}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta) \right)$$

For the special case where X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with pdf (or pmf) $f(x | \theta)$ the information in the sample is given by $I(\theta) = nI_1(\theta)$, where $I_1(\theta)$ is the **information in one observation** and is given by

$$I_1(\theta) = E_\theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X | \theta) \right)^2 \right] = \text{Var}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X | \theta) \right)$$

4

If expressions of the form $\int W(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)d\mathbf{x}$ may be differentiated twice with respect to θ by changing the order of differentiation and integration (summation for pmf), the information in the sample may also be given as

$$I(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \right]$$

while in the case of iid observations, the information in one observation may be given as

$$I_1(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X|\theta) \right]$$

5

The Cramér-Rao inequality (Theorem 7.3.9)

Consider a sample $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ with joint pdf (or pmf) $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ and assume that expressions of the form

$$E_{\theta} W(\mathbf{X}) = \int_x W(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)d\mathbf{x}$$

may be differentiated with respect to θ by changing the order of differentiation and integration (summation in the case of pmf). Then for any unbiased estimator $W(\mathbf{X})$ of $\tau(\theta)$, we have

$$\text{Var}_{\theta} W(\mathbf{X}) \geq (\tau'(\theta))^2 / I(\theta)$$

where

$$I(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \right)^2 \right]$$

6

Example – Binomial UMVUE (exercise 7.40)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid Bernoulli random variables with success probability p

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x|p) &= \log(p^x(1-p)^{1-x}) \\ &= x \log p + (1-x) \log(1-p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \log f(x|p) = \frac{x}{p} - \frac{1-x}{1-p}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \log f(x|\lambda) = -\frac{x}{p^2} - \frac{1-x}{(1-p)^2}$$

7

Hence the information in one observation is

$$I_1(p) = E_p \left[\frac{X}{p^2} + \frac{1-X}{(1-p)^2} \right] = \frac{p}{p^2} + \frac{1-p}{(1-p)^2} = \frac{1}{p(1-p)}$$

and the information in the sample is $I(p) = n / [p(1-p)]$

By the Cramér-Rao inequality, we have for any unbiased estimator W for p that

$$\text{Var}_p W \geq \frac{1}{I(p)} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

Now \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator for p and

$$\text{Var}_p \bar{X} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

Hence \bar{X} is an UMVUE for p

8

Example 7.3.13 – uniform $(0, \theta)$

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid and $\text{uniform}(0, \theta)$

For this example the conditions of the Cramér-Rao inequality are not fulfilled

Example 7.3.14 – normal variance bound

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ random variables, where both parameters are unknown

Here S^2 is an unbiased estimator for σ^2 , but it does not attain the Cramér-Rao lower bound

9

Proof of the Cramér-Rao inequality

The proof of the Cramér-Rao inequality is an application of the following well-known result on correlation:

For random variables Z and Y we have

$$-1 \leq \text{corr}(Z, Y) \leq 1$$

with $|\text{corr}(Z, Y)| = 1$ if and only if there exist constants $a \neq 0$ and b such that $Y = aZ + b$

From this result it follows that

$$\text{Var} Z \geq \frac{[\text{Cov}(Z, Y)]^2}{\text{Var} Y} \quad (*)$$

with equality if and only if there exist constants $a \neq 0$ and b such that $Y = aZ + b$

10

To prove the Cramér-Rao inequality we use (*) with

$$Z = W(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta)$$

We know that

$$\text{Var}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta) \right) = E_\theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta) \right)^2 \right]$$

So we only need to prove that

$$\tau'(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} E_\theta W(\mathbf{X}) = \text{Cov}_\theta \left(W(\mathbf{X}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta) \right)$$

Note that we have equality if and only if $Y = aZ + b$

This gives the corollary on the next slide

11

Corollary 7.3.15

Consider a sample $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ with joint pdf (or pmf) $f(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$ and assume that the conditions of the Cramér-Rao inequality are fulfilled

Then an unbiased estimator $W(\mathbf{X})$ of $\tau(\theta)$ attains the Cramér-Rao lower bound if and only if there exist a function $a(\theta)$ such that

$$a(\theta) \{W(\mathbf{X}) - \tau(\theta)\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X} | \theta)$$

Example 7.3.16 – normal variance bound

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$

If μ is known, one may attain the Cramér-Rao lower bound when estimating σ^2 , otherwise not

12

Sufficiency and unbiased estimators

We will now see how sufficiency may help us to find the best unbiased estimators

We remember that a statistic $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if the conditional distribution of the sample \mathbf{X} given the value of $T(\mathbf{X})$ does not depend on θ

We will make use of the following results on conditional means and variances:

$$E X = E[E(X | Y)] \quad (\text{Theorem 4.4.3})$$

$$\text{Var} X = \text{Var}[E(X | Y)] + E[\text{Var}(X | Y)] \quad (\text{Thm 4.4.7})$$

13

Theorem 7.3.17 (Rao-Blackwell)

Let $W = W(\mathbf{X})$ be any unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ and let $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ be sufficient statistic for θ

Then $\phi(T) = E(W | T)$ is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ and $\text{Var}_\theta \phi(T) \leq \text{Var}_\theta W$ for all θ

The Rao-Blackwell theorem shows that when looking UMVUEs, we may restrict our attention to estimators that are functions of a sufficient statistic

Theorem 7.3.19

If W is a best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then W is unique

14

If W is an unbiased estimator for $\tau(\theta)$ and we have another estimator U that satisfies $E_\theta U = 0$ for all θ (i.e. U is an unbiased estimator of 0), then $\phi_a = W + aU$ is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$

Note that

$$\text{Var}_\theta \phi_a = \text{Var}_\theta W + a^2 \text{Var}_\theta U + 2a \text{Cov}_\theta(W, U)$$

If for some $\theta = \theta_0$ we have $\text{Cov}_{\theta_0}(W, U) \neq 0$, then we may find a value of a such that

$$\text{Var}_{\theta_0} \phi_a < \text{Var}_{\theta_0} W$$

It follows that an UMVUE has to be uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of 0

15

The converse is also true:

Theorem 7.3.20

Let W be an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then W is UMVUE if and only if it is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of 0

This results makes it interesting to identify situations where there exists **no unbiased estimators of 0** (except 0 itself)

Definition 6.2.21

Let $f(t | \theta)$ be the family of pdfs or pmfs of a statistic $T = T(\mathbf{X})$. The family of distributions is **complete** if $E_\theta g(T) = 0$ for all θ implies that $P_\theta(g(T) = 0) = 1$ for all θ . We also say that T is a **complete statistic**

16

Examples 6.2.23 & 7.3.22 – uniform $(0, \theta)$

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid and uniform $(0, \theta)$

Then $T = \max X_i$ is a sufficient statistic

So when looking for UMVUE for θ we may restrict attention to estimators based on $T = \max X_i$

We may show that $T = \max X_i$ is a complete statistic

It follows that there exist no unbiased estimators of 0 based on $T = \max X_i$ (except 0 itself)

Thus $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n+1}{n} \max X_i$ is an unbiased estimator of θ

that is uncorrelated with all estimators of 0

It follows that $\hat{\theta}$ is the unique UMVUE for θ

17

The argument on the previous slide holds quite generally, and gives the following result:

Theorem 7.3.23 (Lehmann-Scheffé)

Let $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ be a complete sufficient statistic for θ and let $\phi(T)$ be an estimator based only on T with $E_\theta \phi(T) = \tau(\theta)$. Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$

18

Theorem 6.2.25

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid observations from an exponential family with pdf or pmf of the form

$$f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x)\right)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ and the parameter space Θ contain an open set in \mathcal{R}^k . Then

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_1(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(X_j) \right)$$

is a complete sufficient statistic

19

Example – UMVUE for the normal distribution

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ random variables, where both parameters are unknown

The normal pdf may be written

$$f(x | \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} x^2\right)$$

Hence

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 \right)$$

is a complete sufficient statistics

It follows that \bar{X} and S^2 are UMVUE for μ and σ^2

20

If we combine the result of Lehmann-Scheffé (thm 7.3.23) with the Rao-Blackwell construction (thm 7.3.17), we obtain the following result (cf. example 7.3.24):

Let $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ be a complete sufficient statistic for θ and let W be an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$. Then $\phi(T) = E(W | T)$ is the unique UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$.