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## The empirical Bayes approach- based on Ch 5 in [1]

■ Consider the model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y \mid \theta \sim p(y \mid \theta) \\
& \theta \mid \varphi \sim p(\theta \mid \varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

- For a full Bayesian approach, we either fix $\varphi$ based on prior knowledge (two-level model), or we give $\varphi$ a prior distribution $p(\phi)$ (more than two levels), which again can depend on parameters. At some level however, we have to stop adding parameters, and at the top level, some quantities must be fixed
$\square$ For the empirical Bayes approach, we use point estimates of $\varphi$, estimated from data
- Can in principle be used for any number of levels of the hierarchy, for example if we give $\varphi$ a prior distribution $p(\phi \mid \rho)$, then we could use empirical Bayes estimates of $\rho$
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## The empirical Bayes (EB) estimates and the estimated posterior distribution

- Remember the marginal distribution of $y$ given $\varphi$

$$
p(y \mid \varphi)=\int p(y \mid \theta) p(\theta \mid \varphi) d \theta
$$

- This is used to find the EB estimates $\hat{\varphi} \equiv \hat{\phi}(y)$, e.g. by maximising $p(y \mid \varphi)$ w.r.t $\phi$
- The estimated posterior distribution is then $p(\theta \mid y, \hat{\varphi})$
$■$ This is a parametric EB approach, non-parametric approaches also exist
- NB: Posterior probability intervals for $\theta$ must be constructed with care (see e.g. [1]), to incorporate uncertainty about $\varphi$
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## EB for a Normal model

■ Consider the two-layer Normal model

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i} \mid \theta_{i} & \sim N\left(\theta_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right), i=1, \ldots, n \\
\theta_{i} \mid \mu & \sim N\left(\mu, \tau^{2}\right), i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}$ and $\tau^{2}$ are assumed known constants, hence $\mu$ is the only random hyperparameter, for which we wish to find a EB estimate
■ Now it is quite straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(y_{i} \mid \mu\right) & =\int\left[\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(y_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \tau^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\theta_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \tau^{2}}\right)\right] d \theta_{i} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi\left(\sigma^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\left(\sigma^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)}\left(y_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right), i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$
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## EB for a Normal model

■ Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(y \mid \mu) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} p\left(y_{i} \mid \mu\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi\left(\sigma^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)\right)^{k / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\left(\sigma^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is obviously maximised for $\hat{\mu}=\bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$

- The EB estimated posterior distribution is hence given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(\theta_{i} \mid y_{i}, \hat{\mu}\right) & \propto p\left(y_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cdot p\left(\theta_{i} \mid \hat{\mu}\right) \\
& =N\left(\frac{\sigma^{2} \hat{\mu}+\tau^{2} y_{i}}{\sigma^{2}+\tau^{2}}, \frac{\sigma^{2} \tau^{2}}{\sigma^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right), i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Single-parameter model for epidemiology

- Concerns estimating a rate from Poisson data (idealized example from the textbook [2], pp 45-46)
■ Consider a survey of the causes of death in a single year for a city in the US
■ Population 200.000, $y=3$ persons died of asthma
■ Crude estimate of $3 / 200.0000=1.5$ per 100.000 persons per year
■ For epidemiological data like this, a Poisson sampling distribution is commonly used, assuming exchangeability given exposure and rate parameter

■ Let $\theta$ be the true, underlying long-term asthma mortality rate per 100.000 persons per year in the city

- The exposure is $x=2.0$ (since $\theta$ ) is defined per 100.000 persons per year)
■ Hence, the sampling distribution is $y \sim$ Poisson(2.00)


## Prior distribution

- Asthma mortality rates around the world typically are around 0.6 per 100.000, and rarely above 1.5 per 100.000 in Western countries
■ Assume exchangeability between this city and other Western cities, and this year and other years
- Know that $\operatorname{Gamma}(a, b)$ is the conjugate prior prior distribution, use that for convenience, must find suitable values of $a$ and $b$ that match the prior information
■ Book: $\theta \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(3.0,5.0)$ (mean=0.6, $97.5 \%$ of the mass lies below 1.44 , prior probability of $\theta<1.598 .0 \%$ )
- Slightly different (with more uncertainty) suggestion: $\theta \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(1.2,2.0)$ (mean=0.6, $97.5 \%$ of the mass lies below 2.05, prior probability of $\theta<1.592 .9 \%$ )

■ "rarely above 1.5 per 100.000 " is open for interpretation

## UiO : Department of Mathematics <br> University of Oslo

## Posterior distribution

- We know that the posterior distribution for $\theta$ will be Gamma( $a+y, b+x)$
- Book prior: Posterior is Gamma(6.0, 7.0)
- Alternative prior: Posterior is Gamma(4.2,4.0)
- The two different priors yields somewhat different posterior distributions and conclusions (see R-script)
■ Little data!!! Prior is influential


## Posterior distribution with additional data

■ Additional data: Suppose we now have 10 years of data, with 30 deaths caused by asthma over the 10 years. Assume the population size is constant at 200.000 over the period
$\square$ Now $y=30$ and the exposure is $x=\frac{200.000 \times 10}{100.000}=20$ (since $\theta$ is defined per 100.000 persons per year)
■ Book prior: Posterior is Gamma(33.0, 25.0)

- Alternative prior: Posterior is Gamma(31.2,22.0)
- The posterior results with the two different priors are more similar now with more data, but still slightly different (see R-script)
- More data, prior is less influential
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## Alternative specification with $n$ independent outcomes

■ Could alternatively say that $y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ is the number of deaths caused by asthma per 100.000 persons per year
■ Let $\theta$ still be the true, underlying long-term asthma mortality rate per 100.000 persons per year in the city
■ Then

$$
y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Pois}\left(x_{i} \theta\right), i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where the exposure is $x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$
■ Then we know that the likelihood is

$$
p(y \mid \theta) \propto \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}} e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}
$$

where in the example we have $y_{i}=3, x_{i}=2, i=1, \ldots, n$ for (i) $n=1$ and (ii) $n=10$
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## The normal approximation

- The normal approximation to the posterior distribution for $\theta$ based on $\log p(y \mid \theta)=C+\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \log \theta-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ can easily be found. First find the mode

$$
\frac{d \log p(y \mid \theta)}{d \theta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\theta}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

which is $=0$ for $\theta=\hat{\theta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}$
$\square$ Then the Fisher information (since we allow for different exposure-values $x_{i}$, the $y_{i}$ 's are not iid):

$$
\begin{gathered}
n \cdot J(\theta)=E\left[\left.-\frac{d^{2} \log p(y \mid \theta)}{d \theta^{2}} \right\rvert\, \theta\right]=E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\theta^{2}}\right] \\
\text { (using } \left.\bar{E}\left[y_{i}\right]=x_{i} \theta\right) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \theta}{\theta^{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{\theta}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## The normal approximation

■ Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\theta} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i} x_{i}} \\
n \cdot J(\hat{\theta}) & =\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for large $n$

$$
p(\theta \mid y) \approx N\left(\theta \mid \hat{\theta},(n \cdot J(\hat{\theta}))^{-1}\right)
$$

- For $n=1$ the Normal approximation is $N\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2^{2}}\right)=N(1.5,0.75)$ and for $n=10$ it is $N\left(\frac{30}{20}, \frac{30}{20^{2}}\right)=N(1.5,0.075)$
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## Multinomial sampling distribution with a Dirichlet prior

- Application: 2016 US presidential election poll (Sept-16)

■ $n=911$ representative, likely voters were asked which candidate they prefer in the 2016 US presidential election
■ $y_{1}=392$ preferred Clinton, $y_{2}=364$ preferred Trump, and $y_{3}=155$ preferred other candidates or had no opinion
■ Multinomial sampling distribution with

- probability $\theta_{1}$ of preferring Clinton
- probability $\theta_{2}$ of preferring Trump
- probability $\theta_{3}$ of preferring other candidates or having no opinion
$\square \sum_{i=1}^{3} \theta_{i}=1$ (hence there are in fact only two parameters)
■ A non-informative uniform Dirichlet( $1,1,1$ ) prior for $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)$
- Hence, the posterior distribution for $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)$ is Dirichlet $\left(1+y_{1}, 1+y_{2}, 1+y_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Dirichlet}(393,365,156)$
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## The posterior distribution of an estimand of interest

- Suppose we are interested in the posterior distribution of $\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}$

■ This can easily be approximated by for $i=1, \ldots, S$ doing
■ Sample $\theta^{(i)}$ from the posterior distribution of $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)$

- Compute $\frac{\theta_{1}^{(i)}}{\theta_{2}^{(i)}}$
- The $S$ values of $\frac{\theta_{1}^{(i)}}{\theta_{2}^{(i)}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, S$ are a then samples from the posterior distribution of $\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}$


## UiO: Department of Mathematics <br> University of Oslo

## The Normal approximation

- Our interest primarily lies in $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$, therefore we focus on these two parameters and replace $\theta_{3}$ by $1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$
- The likelihood for $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is

$$
p(y \mid \theta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{3} \theta_{i}^{y_{i}}=\theta_{1}^{y_{1}} \cdot \theta_{2}^{y_{2}} \cdot\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{y_{3}}
$$

- The Normal approximation to the posterior distribution for $\theta$ based on
$\log p(y \mid \theta)=C+y_{1} \log \theta_{1}+y_{2} \log \theta_{2}+y_{3} \log \left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)$ can easily be found. First find the mode

$$
\frac{d \log p(y \mid \theta)}{d \theta_{i}}=\frac{y_{i}}{\theta_{i}}-\frac{y_{3}}{1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}, i=1,2
$$

which is $=0$ for $\theta_{i}=\widehat{\theta}_{i}=\frac{y_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}}$
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## The Normal approximation

■ Then the Fisher information:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2} \log p(y \mid \theta)}{d \theta_{i}^{2}} & =-\frac{y_{i}}{\theta_{i}^{2}}-\frac{y_{3}}{\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{2}}, i=1,2 \\
\frac{d^{2} \log p(y \mid \theta)}{d \theta_{1} d \theta_{2}} & =-\frac{y_{3}}{\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \Downarrow \\
n \cdot J(\theta) & =E\left[-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{y_{1}}{\theta_{1}^{2}}-\frac{y_{3}}{\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{2}} & -\frac{y_{3}}{\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
-\frac{y_{3}}{\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{2}} & -\frac{y_{2}}{\theta_{2}^{2}}-\frac{y_{3}}{\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
& \left(\text { using } E\left[y_{i}\right]=n \theta_{i}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{n}{\theta_{1}}-\frac{n}{1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}} & -\frac{n}{1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}} \\
-\frac{n}{1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}} & -\frac{n}{\theta_{2}}-\frac{n}{1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## The Normal approximation

■ Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\theta}_{i} & =\frac{y_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}} \\
n \cdot J(\widehat{\theta}) & =n \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{1}}-\frac{1}{1-\hat{\theta}_{1}-\hat{\theta}_{2}} & -\frac{1}{1-\hat{\theta}_{1}-\hat{\theta}_{2}} \\
-\frac{1}{1-\hat{\theta_{1}-\hat{\theta}_{2}}} & -\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{2}}-\frac{1}{1-\hat{\theta_{1}}-\hat{\theta}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for large $n$

$$
p(\theta \mid y) \approx N\left(\theta \mid \hat{\theta},(n \cdot J(\hat{\theta}))^{-1}\right)
$$

- Here we know the exact posterior distribution, can compare it to the Normal approximation by for example contour-plots (see R-script)


## The application and sampling distribution

- Example from the textbook [2], section 3.7
- A bioassay experiment typically concerns giving various dose levels of a drug/chemical compound to a batch of animals and measure a binary response (alive/dead or tumor/no tumor)
■ The data for $k$ dose levels are of the form

$$
\left(x_{i}, n_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k
$$

where $x_{i}$ is the $i$ 'th dose level given to $n_{i}$ animals of which $y_{i}$ animals responded with "success" (e.g. death)
■ Reasonable to model the response of the animals within the $i$ 'th group (given dose $x_{i}$ ) as exchangeable, by modelling them as independent with equal probabilities of success $\theta_{i}$, i.e. a binomial model

$$
y_{i} \mid \theta_{i} \sim \operatorname{Bin}\left(n_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)
$$
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## Logistic regression model for the probabilities

- The parameters $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}$ should be not be modelled as exchangeable, since we have the dose levels $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$
■ Rather model the pairs $\theta_{i} \mid x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ by a logistic regression model

$$
\operatorname{logit}\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\alpha+\beta x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k
$$

where $\operatorname{logit}\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\log \frac{\theta_{i}}{1-\theta_{i}}$ is the logistic transformation
$\square$ Hence $\theta_{i}=\operatorname{logit}^{-1}\left(\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right)=\frac{e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}$ and the likelihood contribution from group $i$ for the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(y_{i} \mid \alpha, \beta\right) & \propto \theta_{i}^{y_{i}}\left(1-\theta_{i}\right)^{n_{i}-y_{i}} \\
& =\left(\frac{e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}\right)^{y_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}\right)^{n_{i}-y_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Prior and posterior distributions

- We assume an improper prior distribution for the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta: p(\alpha, \beta) \propto 1$
$\square$ Hence, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are independent apriori and marginally uniformly distributed
■ Hence the joint posterior distribution for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\alpha, \beta \mid y) & \propto p(\alpha, \beta) \prod_{i=1}^{k} p\left(y_{i} \mid \alpha, \beta, n_{i}, x_{i}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}\right)^{y_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}\right)^{n_{i}-y_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Data and graph of the model

Bioassay data from an experiment (table 3.1 from the textbook [2], see the textbook for reference)

| Dose, $x_{i}$ <br> $(\log \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Number of <br> animals $n_{i}$ | Number of <br> deaths $y_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.86 | 5 | 0 |
| -0.30 | 5 | 1 |
| -0.05 | 5 | 3 |
| 0.73 | 5 | 5 |



Figure: Graph representation of the model
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## Posterior analysis

■ The normalised posterior distribution is not available analytically
■ Hence, some numerical approximation must be performed, e.g. sampling

- Book, ch 3.7, compute the posterior density on a grid of points, then normalise by setting the total probability over the grid of points equal to1
■ Later we can do e.g. MCMC
■ Now: Normal approximation (Exercise 2 in Chapter 4)
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## The normal approximation

- The ML-estimates of $(\alpha, \beta)$ can be found by using standard software for logistic regression, the results are (from the textbook) $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})=(0.8,7.7)$
- Log-likelihood for one datapoint:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{i} & =\log p\left(y_{i} \mid \alpha, \beta\right) \\
& =C+y_{i} \log \left(\frac{e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}\right)+\left(n_{i}-y_{i}\right) \log \left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}\right) \\
& =C+y_{i}\left(\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right)-n_{i} \log \left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

■ Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d l_{i}}{d \alpha} & =y_{i}-\frac{n_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}} \\
\frac{d l_{i}}{d \beta} & =y_{i} x_{i}-\frac{n_{i} x_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## The normal approximation

- The second partial derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2} l_{i}}{d \alpha^{2}} & =-\frac{n_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)-n_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{n_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
\frac{d^{2} l_{i}}{d \beta^{2}} & =-\frac{n_{i} x_{i}^{2} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)-n_{i} x_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}} x_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{n_{i} x_{i}^{2} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
\frac{d^{2} l_{i}}{d \alpha d \beta} & =-\frac{n_{i} x_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)-n_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}} x_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{n_{i} x_{i} e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## The normal approximation

- The normal approximation for $(\alpha, \beta)$ has mean $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$ and covariance matrix $(n \cdot J((\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})))^{-1}$, where (remember that $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ are not identically distributed)

$$
n \cdot J((\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}))=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} & \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i}\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\alpha} x_{i}\right\}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i} e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} & \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i}^{2}\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## UiO : Department of Mathematics <br> University of Oslo

## The normal approximation

■ The normal approximation variances are the diagonal elements

$$
\text { of }(n \cdot J((\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})))^{-1}, \text { hence }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i}^{2} i\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta_{x}}\right\}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\alpha+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \widehat{\operatorname{Var}(\alpha)}= \\
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i}\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\alpha} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i}^{2} e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i}\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\alpha_{i}}\right\}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \widehat{\operatorname{Var}(\beta)}= \\
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i}^{2} e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i} x_{i} e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}}{\left(1+e^{\left\{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}\right\}}\right)^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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