

Exercise 3, Ch. 11Separate model

For each $i=1, \dots, J$ ($J=6$):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_{ij} &\sim N(\theta_i, \sigma_i^2), \quad i=1, \dots, n_i \quad (n_i=5) \\ \text{Non-inf. prior: } p(\theta_i, \sigma_i^2) &\propto (\sigma_i^2)^{-1} \\ \text{Posterior distribution: } (y_{ij} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}) \\ \sigma_i^2 | y &\sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(n_i-1, \frac{1}{n_i-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2) \quad (\text{equiv to Inv-Gamma}(\frac{n_i-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2)) \\ \theta_i | y &\sim t_{n_i-1}(\bar{y}_i, \frac{1}{n_i(n_i-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Ch. 3.2-model}$$

Corresponding posterior means and variances can then be found in App. A

- (i) $\theta_6 | y \sim t_4(\bar{y}_6, \frac{1}{5 \cdot 4} \sum_{i=1}^4 (y_{i6} - \bar{y}_6)^2)$
 (ii) Pred. of new measurement \bar{y}_6 of machine 6: For $t=1, \dots, T$: Draw $\theta_6^{(t)} | y$ and $\sigma_6^{2(t)} | y$ and then $\bar{y}_6^{(t)} | \theta_6^{(t)}, \sigma_6^{2(t)} \sim N(\theta_6^{(t)}, \sigma_6^{2(t)})$
 (iii) $\theta_7 | y$ is independent of the observed data, hence equal to the marginal prior for θ_7 , which hence needs to be proper to be a true distribution.
 However, in this model, even, with a proper prior distr., there is no info in the data about θ_7 (because each machine is modelled separately)

nov 11-13:28

Pooled model

$$y_{ij} \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2), \quad i=1, \dots, n_i, \quad j=1, \dots, J, \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^J n_i$$

Non-inf. prior: $p(\theta, \sigma^2) \sim (\sigma^2)^{-1}$

$$\sigma^2 | y \sim \text{Inv-Gamma}(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2)$$

$$\theta | y \sim t_{n-1}(\bar{y}, \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2)$$

- i) $\theta | y$ given above ($\theta_6 = \theta$)
 ii) For $t=1, \dots, T$ sample $\sigma^{2(t)} | y$ and $\theta^{(t)} | y$, then $\bar{y}_6 | \theta^{(t)}, \sigma^{2(t)}, y \sim N(\theta^{(t)}, \sigma^{2(t)})$
 iii) $\theta_7 = 0$ hence $\theta | y$ as given above

nov 11-13:44

Hierarchical model

$$y_{i^*} \sim N(\theta_{i^*}, \sigma^2) \quad , \quad i^*=1, \dots, n_{i^*} \quad , \quad i=1, \dots, J$$

$$\theta_{i^*} \sim N(\mu, \tau^2) \quad , \quad i^*=1, \dots, n_{i^*}$$

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau^2}$$

$$\theta_{i^*} | \mu, \sigma, \tau, y \sim N(\theta_{i^*}^*, \sigma_{i^*}^{2*}) \quad , \quad \text{where} \quad \theta_{i^*}^* = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{n_{i^*}}{\sigma^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\tau^2} \mu + \frac{n_{i^*}}{\sigma^2} \bar{y}_{i^*} \right)$$

$$\sigma_{i^*}^{2*} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{n_{i^*}}{\sigma^2}}$$

$$\mu | \theta, \sigma, \tau, y \sim N(\mu^*, \tau^2 / J) \quad , \quad \mu^* = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{i=1}^J \theta_i$$

$$\sigma^2 | \theta, \mu, \tau, y \sim \text{Inv-Gamma} \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{i^*=1}^{n_{i^*}} (y_{i^*} - \bar{y}_{i^*})^2 \right)$$

$$\tau^2 | \theta, \mu, \sigma, y \sim \text{Inv-Gamma} \left(\frac{J-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^J (\theta_i - \mu)^2 \right)$$

(i) $\theta_6 | y$: Do Gibbs-sampling for all the parameters from the above full cond. distr.
for $t=1, \dots, T$: Then $\theta_6^{(t)}$, \dots , $\theta_6^{(T)}$ approximates $\theta_6 | y$

(ii) From the Gibbs sampling samples: Draw $\tilde{y}_6^{(k)} \sim N(\theta_6^{(k)}, \sigma^2^{(k)})$, then ...

(iii) — : Draw $\tilde{\theta}_7^{(k)} \sim N(\mu^{(k)}, \tau^2^{(k)})$, then ...

nov 11-13:50