

STK4021 - Applied Bayesian Analysis

Exam 2023 Sample Solutions

Problem 1

- (a) **True**, we only need the posterior mode

$$\theta_{\text{MAP}} = \arg \max_{\theta} \{ \log \pi(\theta) + \log \pi(y | \theta) \}$$

and the Hessian

$$A = - \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \{ \log \pi(\theta) + \log \pi(y | \theta) \} \right|_{\theta = \theta_{\text{MAP}}}.$$

- (b) **False**, de Finetti's theorem requires an *infinite* exchangeable sequence. A counterexample with $n = 2$ was given in lectures.
- (c) **False**, the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm allows us to sample approximately from the posterior distribution, but does not yield an estimate of the marginal likelihood on its own.

Problem 2

- (a) Clearly $f(y, \theta) \geq 0$ for all $y > 0, \theta > 0$. Also, making the substitution $u = \theta y / \sqrt{2}$, we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(y, \theta) dy = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \theta \int_0^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \theta^2 y^2 \right\} dy = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-u^2) du = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = 1.$$

- (b) Likelihood:

$$L_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \theta \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \theta^2 y_i^2 \right\} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \theta^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \theta^2 n w_n \right\}.$$

Log-likelihood:

$$\ell_n(\theta) = \frac{n}{2} \log 2 - \frac{n}{2} \log \pi + n \log \theta - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 n w_n.$$

Setting the derivative of this equal to zero, the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ satisfies

$$\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} - \hat{\theta} n w_n = 0.$$

That is, $\hat{\theta} = 1/\sqrt{w_n}$.

For the normal approximation, we use that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1})$$

under the true model, where in this case

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(y, \theta) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\theta^2} + Y^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^2} + \text{Var}Y - (\mathbb{E}Y)^2 = \frac{2}{\theta^2}.$$

Hence our normal approximation is given by

$$\hat{\theta} \approx N \left(\theta, \frac{\theta^2}{2n} \right).$$

(c) The Jeffreys prior π_J satisfies

$$\pi_J(\theta) \propto \sqrt{1/\theta^2} = 1/\theta,$$

which is improper as $\int_0^\infty d\theta/\theta$ diverges.

(d) We have

$$\pi(\theta | y_1, \dots, y_n) \propto L_n(\theta)\pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{2\alpha+n-1} \exp \left\{ -\theta^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}nw_n + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) \right\},$$

so by functional form, $\theta | y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \text{Naka}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$, where

$$2\alpha_n - 1 = 2\alpha + n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}nw_n.$$

That is,

$$\alpha_n = \alpha + n/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = \frac{2\alpha + n}{2\alpha/\beta + nw_n}.$$

(e) Let

$$h(\theta) = \log \pi(\theta | y_1, \dots, y_n) = (2\alpha_n - 1) \log \theta - \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \theta^2 + \text{constant}.$$

The maximiser θ_{MAP} satisfies $h'(\theta_{\text{MAP}}) = 0$, so

$$\frac{2\alpha_n - 1}{\theta_{\text{MAP}}} - 2\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\theta_{\text{MAP}} = 0.$$

That is,

$$\theta_{\text{MAP}} = \sqrt{\beta_n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_n} \right)}.$$

Or, in terms of the prior parameters,

$$\theta_{\text{MAP}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha + n}{2\alpha/\beta + nw_n} \frac{2\alpha + n - 1}{2\alpha + n}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha + n - 1}{2\alpha/\beta + nw_n}}.$$

Also,

$$h''(\theta) = \frac{1 - 2\alpha_n}{\theta^2} - 2\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n},$$

so

$$A = -h''(\theta_{\text{MAP}}) = \frac{2\alpha_n - 1}{\beta_n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_n}\right)} + 2\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} = \frac{2\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \left\{ \frac{2\alpha_n - 1}{2\alpha_n - 1} + 1 \right\} = \frac{4\alpha_n}{\beta_n}.$$

Or, in terms of the prior parameters,

$$A = \frac{4\alpha}{\beta} + 2nw_n.$$

This yields

$$\theta \mid y_1, \dots, y_n \approx \text{N} \left(\sqrt{\beta_n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_n}\right)}, \frac{\beta_n}{4\alpha_n} \right)$$

or

$$\theta \mid y_1, \dots, y_n \approx \text{N} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha + n - 1}{2\alpha/\beta + nw_n}}, \left\{ \frac{4\alpha}{\beta} + 2nw_n \right\}^{-1} \right)$$

as the Laplace approximation.

Letting $z = \Phi^{-1}(0.975) \approx 1.96$, we get the credibility interval $\left[\theta_{\text{MAP}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\beta_n/\alpha_n z} \right]$.

(f) It suffices to show that if $\theta \sim \text{Naga}(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}.$$

Now, using the substitution $t = \alpha\theta^2/\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\theta &= \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^\alpha \int_0^\infty \theta^{2\alpha} \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\theta^2\right\} d\theta \\ &= \frac{2}{2\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^\alpha \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha+1/2} t^{\alpha-1/2} e^{-t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \int_0^\infty t^{(\alpha+1/2)-1} e^{-t} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

(g) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y \leq a) &= \mathbb{P}(-a \leq X \leq a) \\ &= \int_{-a}^a \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\theta^2}{2}x^2\right\} dx \\ &= \int_0^a \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \theta \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta^2x^2\right\} dx \\ &= \int_0^a \text{HN}(x; \theta) dx, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

To sample from the half-normal distribution, we

1. sample $X \sim N(0, 1/\theta^2)$,
2. set $Y \leftarrow |X|$.

Then $Y \sim \text{HN}(\theta)$.

(h) The predictive is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(y) &= \int f(y, \theta) \pi(\theta \mid y_1, \dots, y_n) d\theta \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\right)^{\alpha_n} \int_0^\infty \theta^{2\alpha_n} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} + \frac{1}{2}y^2\right)\theta^2\right\} d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

We recognise this integrand as an unnormalised Nakagami distribution, say $\text{Naka}(\theta; \alpha', \beta')$, whose parameters satisfy

$$2\alpha' - 1 = 2\alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha'}{\beta'} = \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} + \frac{1}{2}y.$$

That is,

$$\alpha' = \alpha_n + 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta' = \frac{\alpha_n - 1/2}{\alpha_n/\beta_n + y/2}.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(y) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\right)^{\alpha_n} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_n + 1/2)}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} + \frac{1}{2}y^2\right)^{-\alpha_n - 1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_n + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\right)^{\alpha_n} \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\right)^{-\alpha_n - 1/2} \left[1 + \frac{\beta_n}{2\alpha_n}y^2\right]^{-\alpha_n - 1/2} \\ &= 2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_n + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} \left(\frac{\beta_n}{\pi \times 2\alpha_n}\right)^{1/2} \left[1 + \frac{\beta_n}{2\alpha_n}y^2\right]^{-\alpha_n - 1/2} \\ &= 2 \text{St}(y; 0, \beta_n, 2\alpha_n).\end{aligned}$$

The factor 2 comes from the fact that this is a half-Student's t-distribution, in much the same way as the half-normal distribution.

(i) Method 1:

1. sample $\theta \sim \text{Naga}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$,
2. sample $Y \mid \theta \sim \text{HN}(\theta)$ (using the method given in part (g)).

Method 2:

1. sample $Z \sim \text{St}(0, \beta_n, 2\alpha_n)$,
2. set $Y \leftarrow |Z|$.

Problem 3

(a) Noting that

$$\log |\beta^{-1}S|^{-1/2} = \log (\beta^{p/2}|S|^{-1/2}) = \frac{p}{2} \log \beta + \text{constant},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log \pi(\mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \frac{p}{2} \log \beta - \frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m})^\top S^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}) + (a - 1) \log \beta - b\beta + \text{constant} \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m})^\top S^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}) + \left(a + \frac{p}{2} - 1\right) \log \beta - b\beta + \text{constant}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - S_n \mathbf{z})^\top S_n^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - S_n \mathbf{z}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^\top S_n \mathbf{z} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^\top S_n^{-1}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^\top \Phi^\top \Phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}^\top \Phi \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m})^\top S^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) \\ &= \text{LHS}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) By the previous parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \pi(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{y}) &= \log \pi(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{w}, \beta) + \log \pi(\mathbf{w}, \beta) + \text{constant} \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \log \beta - \frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) - \frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m})^\top S^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}) \\ &\quad + \left(a + \frac{p}{2} - 1\right) \log \beta - b\beta + \text{constant} \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{w} - S_n \mathbf{z})^\top S_n^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - S_n \mathbf{z}) + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\{ \mathbf{z}^\top S_n \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{m}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left(a + \frac{p+n}{2} - 1\right) \log \beta + b\beta + \text{constant} \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{w} - S_n \mathbf{z})^\top S_n^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - S_n \mathbf{z}) + \left(a + \frac{p+n}{2} - 1\right) \log \beta \\ &\quad - \beta \left\{ b - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^\top S_n \mathbf{z} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathbf{m}_n = S_n \mathbf{z}, \quad a_n = a + \frac{n}{2}, \quad b_n = b - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^\top S_n \mathbf{z} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^\top S^{-1}\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}.$$

(d) Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\beta) &= \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_n)^\top S_n^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_n) - \frac{\beta}{2}(y' - \phi(x')^\top \mathbf{w})^2 \right\} d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \beta^{-p/2} |T|^{-1} (2\pi)^{p/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1}\mathbf{m}_n - \frac{\beta}{2}(y')^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{v}^\top T \mathbf{v} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(y' | \mathbf{y}) &= \int \pi(y' | \mathbf{w}, \beta) \pi(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{w} d\beta \\
&\propto \int \beta^{a_n + p/2 - 1/2} \exp\{-\beta b_n\} \mathcal{I}(\beta) d\beta \\
&\propto \int \beta^{a_n - 1/2} \exp\left\{-\beta \left(b_n + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} \mathbf{m}_n + \frac{1}{2} (y')^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^\top T \mathbf{v}\right)\right\} d\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

We recognise this integrand as an unnormalised Gamma(a' , b') density, with

$$a' = a_n + 1/2, \quad b' = b_n + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} \mathbf{m}_n + \frac{1}{2} (y')^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^\top T \mathbf{v}.$$

Hence, integrating, we get

$$\pi(y' | \mathbf{y}) \propto \underbrace{\left[b_n + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} \mathbf{m}_n + \frac{1}{2} (y')^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^\top T \mathbf{v} \right]}_{\text{quadratic in } y'}^{-a_n - 1/2}, \quad (1)$$

which by functional form is a Student's t-distribution with $\nu = 2a_n$.

For the sake of completeness, we also find the two other parameters. Let $\phi = \phi(x')$ for ease of notation. By the Sherman-Morrison formula we have

$$T = S_n - \frac{S_n \phi \phi^\top S_n}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi},$$

so that the quadratic $q(y')$ in (1) can be written as

$$q(y') = (y')^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \phi^\top T \phi \right] - (y') [\mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} T \phi] + c,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c &= b_n + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} \mathbf{m}_n - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} T S_n^{-1} \mathbf{m}_n \\
&= b_n + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} \mathbf{m}_n - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} \left[S_n - \frac{S_n \phi \phi^\top S_n}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi} \right] S_n^{-1} \mathbf{m}_n \\
&= b_n + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{m}_n^\top \phi)^2}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\mathbf{m}_n^\top S_n^{-1} T \phi = \mathbf{m}_n^\top \phi - \frac{\mathbf{m}_n^\top \phi \phi^\top S_n \phi}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi} = \mathbf{m}_n^\top \phi \left[1 - \frac{\phi^\top S_n \phi}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi} \right] = \frac{\mathbf{m}_n^\top \phi}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \phi^\top T \phi = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \phi^\top S_n \phi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\phi^\top S_n \phi)^2}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi^\top S_n \phi}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \phi^\top S_n \phi},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
q(y') &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top S_n \boldsymbol{\phi}} (y')^2 - \frac{\mathbf{m}_n^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}}{1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top S_n \boldsymbol{\phi}} (y') + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{m}_n^\top \boldsymbol{\phi})^2}{1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top S_n \boldsymbol{\phi}} + b_n \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top S_n \boldsymbol{\phi}} (y' - \mathbf{m}_n^\top \boldsymbol{\phi})^2 + b_n \\
&\propto 1 + \frac{\frac{a_n}{b_n} [1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top S_n \boldsymbol{\phi}]^{-1} (y' - \mathbf{m}_n^\top \boldsymbol{\phi})^2}{2a_n},
\end{aligned}$$

which forces

$$\mu = \mathbf{m}_n^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}, \quad \lambda = \frac{a_n}{b_n} [1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top S_n \boldsymbol{\phi}]^{-1}.$$

(e) By definition,

$$\pi(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\pi(\mathbf{w}, \beta) \pi(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{w}, \beta)}{\pi(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{y})}.$$

Since the prior is conjugate, we only have to work out the ratio of normalisation constants.

Hence

$$\pi(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{|S|^{-1/2} (2\pi)^{-p/2} b^a / \Gamma(a) \times (2\pi)^{-n/2}}{|S_n|^{-1/2} (2\pi)^{-p/2} b_n^{a_n} / \Gamma(a_n)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{b^a}{b_n^{a_n}} \frac{\Gamma(a_n)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{|S_n|^{1/2}}{|S|^{1/2}}.$$

Problem 4

(a) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
x' &= \exp \{ \log x + \varepsilon \}, \\
\varepsilon &= \log x' - \log x,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$q(x' | x) = q(\varepsilon(x')) \left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x'} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \{ \log x' - \log x \}^2 \right\} \frac{1}{x'}.$$

(b) Noting that the exponent from (a) is symmetric in x and x' , we get

$$\alpha(x' | x) = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi(x') q(x | x')}{\pi(x) q(x' | x)} \right\} = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi(x')}{\pi(x)} \frac{1/x}{1/x'} \right\} = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi(x') x'}{\pi(x) x} \right\},$$

as required.

(c) For example:

- Check that multiple runs from different starting points yield the same results, to the required accuracy.
- Draw trace-plots to inspect the effect of burn-in and autocorrelation.
- Plot autocorrelation against lag. Make sure it drops off quickly.
- Compute the effective sample size (ESS). Check that this is sufficiently large (> 1000 , say).

- Calculate the acceptance rate. Make sure this close to the optimal value (about 24%).

(d) Writing

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S X_s,$$

then we can use

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S (X_s - \hat{\mu})^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{S-1} \sum_{s=1}^S (X_s - \hat{\mu})^2.$$