

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

STK-4051/9051 Computational Statistics Spring 2021 Chaper 4

Instructor: Odd Kolbjørnsen, oddkol@math.uio.no



Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Last time

- Examples IRLS, combinatorial optimization
- EM algorithm $Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) = E[\log f_Y(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})|\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}]$
 - Missing data (Moths)
 - Hidden structure (mixture Gaussian Galaxy)
 - Proof of increasing log likelihood

$$\log f_x(x|\theta^{(t+1)}) > \log f_x(x|\theta^{(t)})$$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Today

- EM in Exponential family
- Bootstrap
- Variance estimate in EM
- EM for hidden Markov model
- Stochastic gradient decent

UiO **Solution** Matematisk institutt

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

EM recap

- Notation:
 - Y = (X, Z) are complete data
 - X observed,
 - Z missing
 - Have $f_Y(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$
 - Want $\max_{\theta} f_X(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$

 $f_{X}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{Z} f_{Y}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) dz$ Marginal likelihood $f_{X}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{f_{Y}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{f_{Z|X}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}$ Complete likelihood

We maximize:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) = E[\log f_Y(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})|\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}]$$

Expected value of the complete log likelihood given the observed data using the current estimate of the parameter

UiO **Solution** Matematisk institutt

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

EM in exponential family

The Exponential family:

$$f_{y}(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{ heta}) = c_{1}(\mathbf{y})c_{2}(\boldsymbol{ heta})\exp\{\boldsymbol{ heta}^{T}\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{y})\}$$

- Includes
 - binomial, multinomial, Poisson, Gaussian, Gamma,...
- **s**(**y**) is a sufficient statistic:

$$f_{s}(\boldsymbol{s}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{y}:\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{s})} f_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$= \int_{\boldsymbol{y}:\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{s})} c_{1}(\boldsymbol{y}) c_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{y})\} d\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$= c_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{s}\} \int_{\boldsymbol{y}:\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{s})} c_{1}(\boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$= c_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{s}\} g(\boldsymbol{s})$$

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{s};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{f_{s}(\boldsymbol{s}|\boldsymbol{\theta})} = \frac{c_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})c_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\exp\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{s}\}}{c_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\exp\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{s}\}g(\boldsymbol{s})} = \frac{c_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})}{g(\boldsymbol{s})}$$

which do not depend on θ !

Why? We can do computations in advance and just identify terms afterwards

Simplifies a lot of standard problems

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

The EM algorithms in exponential families E & M

Log-likelihood

$$l(\theta) = \log c_1(\boldsymbol{y}) + \log c_2(\theta) + \theta^T \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{y})$$

• E-step:

$$Q(\theta| heta^{(t)}) = k + \log c_2(heta) + \int heta^T \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{y}) f_{\mathsf{Z}|\mathsf{X}}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, heta^{(t)}) d\mathbf{z}$$



Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

• Algorithm
E-step
$$\mathbf{s}^{(t)} = E[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{Y})|\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{\theta}^{(t)}]$$

M-step $\mathbf{\theta}^{(t+1)}$ solves $E[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{Y})|\mathbf{\theta}] = \mathbf{s}^{(t)}$

 $E[s(Y)|x,\theta]$ is the conditional expectation of the missing data given the observed data.

 $E[s(\mathbf{Y})|\theta]$ is the unconditional expectation of the complete data

Peppered Moths

Compute this integral with your old theta A bit sloppy an deceiving to say that this is the E-step the real E-step is: to maximize:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) = E[\log f_Y(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})| \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}]$$

In the exponential family it turns out that what you need for computations is the expectation of the sufficient statistics

- Multinomial distribution part of exponential family with $\theta = (\log p_C, \log p_I, \log p_T)$
- Sufficient statistics:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= 2n_{CC} + n_{CI} + n_{CT} & E[S_1] &= 2nP_C \\ S_2 &= 2n_{II} + n_{CI} + n_{IT} & E[S_2] &= 2nP_I \\ S_3 &= 2n_{TT} + n_{CT} + n_{IT} & E[S_3] &= 2nP_T \end{aligned}$$

,

Gives directly

$$p_{\rm C}^{(t+1)} = \frac{2n_{\rm CC}^{(t)} + n_{\rm CI}^{(t)} + n_{\rm CT}^{(t)}}{2n}$$
$$p_{\rm T}^{(t+1)} = \frac{2n_{\rm TT}^{(t)} + n_{\rm CT}^{(t)} + n_{\rm IT}^{(t)}}{2n}$$

$$E[S_1] = 2nP_C$$
$$E[S_2] = 2nP_I$$
$$E[S_3] = 2nP_T$$

$$p_{\rm I}^{(t+1)} = rac{2n_{
m II}^{(t)} + n_{
m IT}^{(t)} + n_{
m CI}^{(t)}}{2n}$$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Variance estimate in EM (4.2.3.4)

- Many approaches
- Bootstrapping (4.2.3.3 for EM)
 - General approach (9.1 & 9.2)
- Approximation using, information matrix var $(\hat{\theta}) \approx J_X(\theta)^{-1}$
 - $J_X(\theta) = -\ell''(\theta|x)$ (observed information matrix)
 - Louis method (4.2.3.1), Just the part about complete and missing information
 - The SEM algorithm (4.2.3.2)
 - Empirical information (4.2.3.4)
 - Numerical Differentiation (4.2.3.5)

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Bootstrapping (9.1-9.2.2)

General for exchangeable observations $(x_1, ..., x_n)$, e.g. iid from $f(x | \theta)$

The target parameter is $\theta = T(F)$ We make the **estimate** $\hat{\theta} = T(\hat{F})$ (plug in) or just $\hat{\theta} = R(x_1, ..., x_n)$ (some function of data)

$$\widehat{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(\boldsymbol{x}_i < \boldsymbol{x})$$

• In frequentist inference, the randomness in the estimator comes form the uncertainty in the sampled values. This uncertainty is modelled by the probability density $f(x | \theta)$.

- We could compute the uncertainty by generating many samples from $f(x | \theta)$, and recompute the estimator,
 - but we need many samples from true distribution, we only have one $\boldsymbol{\Im}$
 - And we do not know the value of θ \otimes .
- Two solutions
 - We can get approximate sample from $\hat{F}(x)$ [nonparametric bootstrap]
 - We can sample from the distribution $f(x | \hat{\theta})$ [parametric bootstrap]

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Example: In a symmetric distribution should you estimate the center using the mean or the median?

- If data have a normal distribution the theory says mean.
- But what if the distribution is not known to be normal?



Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet



mean(m1avg),mean(m1med),sd(m1avg), sd(m1med))
-0.03323639 -0.02249270 0.03307427 0.03477931

:(mean(m2avg),mean(m2med),sd(m2avg), sd(m2med))
-0.023154073 0.000701744 0.031569047 0.017299653

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Bootstrapping EM algorithm

- General Bootstrap
- We have $\widehat{\theta} = \widehat{\theta}(x_1, ..., x_n)$, i.e. a way to compute an estimate
- Algorithm:
 - For j=1,...,B
 - Generate sample $\{x_1^*, ..., x_n^*\}$, from an approximation of $f_X(x|\theta)$ (parametric / nonparametric)
 - Calculate $\widehat{\theta_j} = \widehat{\theta}(x_1^*, ..., x_n^*)$
 - $\{\widehat{\theta_j}\}_{j=1}^{B}$ can be seen as a samples from the sampling distribution of $\widehat{\theta}$
- Compute variance, quantiles, etc. empirically from $\{\widehat{\theta_j}\}_{j=1}^{B}$
- For the EM algorithm
 - $\hat{\theta}(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is computed by EM algorithm, $\hat{\theta}_{EM}(x_1, ..., x_n)$
 - Parametric: Sample $\{y_1^*, \dots, y_n^*\}$ iid ~ $f_Y(y|\theta)$, keep only x, i.e. $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$
 - Nonparametric: Sample $\{x_1^*, ..., x_n^*\}$ with replacement from $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Missing information (4.2.3.1)

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - H(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)})$$

So you do not differentiate with respect to second argument

$$-\ell^{\prime\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = -\boldsymbol{Q}^{\prime\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\omega})\Big|_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\omega})\Big|_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

$$J_X(\theta) = J_Y(\theta) - J_{Z|X}(\theta)$$

Observed information Complete information Missing information

- Nice way of understanding the information loss in missing data
- Sometimes easier to compute $J_Y(\theta)$ and $J_{Z|X}(\theta)$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Empirical information

The (expected) Fisher information is defined by

 $\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E\{\boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{X})\boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{X})\}$

• Further, since
$$E[\ell'(\theta|\mathbf{X})] = \mathbf{0}$$
, we have

 $I(\theta) = var[\ell'(\theta|X)]$

If we have IID data,

$$\boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f_{\mathbf{X}_{i}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}_{i})$$

• We can estimate the information for one observation, $I_1(\theta)$ by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}_{i}) \boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}_{i})^{T} - \frac{1}{n^{2}} \boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x})^{T}$$
But how do we compute $\ell'(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x})$?
But how do we compute $\ell'(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x})$?

while information for all data can be estimated by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = n \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Computing the score function in EM

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - H(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)})$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) &- \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = H(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) \\ &\leq H(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) \\ &= Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}|\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

so $\theta^{(t)}$ is a max point of $Q(\theta|\theta^{(t)}) - \ell(\theta|\mathbf{x})$.

Assuming smooth functions,

$$Q'(\boldsymbol{ heta}|\boldsymbol{ heta}^{(t)}) igg|_{\boldsymbol{ heta}=\boldsymbol{ heta}^{(t)}} = \boldsymbol{\ell}'(\boldsymbol{ heta}|\mathbf{x}) igg|_{\boldsymbol{ heta}=\boldsymbol{ heta}^{(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (Q(\theta | \theta^{(t)}) - \ell(\theta | x)) = \mathbf{0}$$

• $Q'(\theta|\theta^{(t)})\Big|_{\theta=\theta^{(t)}}$ typically calculated in the M-step of the EM-algorithm!

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

EM-Hidden Markov model

• Assume now $Y_i = (X_i, C_i)$ where *i* refer to timepoint.

Model:

$$\mathsf{Pr}(C_1 = k) = \pi_1(k)$$

 $\mathsf{Pr}(C_i = k | C_{i-1} = j) = p(k|j),$
 $X_i | C_i = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma_k) = f_k(x_i)$

- $\{C_i\}$ is a Markov chain and hidden/missing
- Complete likelihood:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\theta) = &\pi_1(c_1)\phi(x_1; \mu_{c_1}, \sigma_{c_1}) \prod_{i=2}^n p(c_i|c_{i-1})\phi(x_i; \mu_{c_i}, \sigma_{c_i}) \\ \ell(\theta) = &\log(\pi_1(c_1)) + \log[\phi(x_1; \mu_{c_1}, \sigma_{c_1})] + \\ &\sum_{i=2}^n \left[\log[p(c_i|c_{i-1})] + \log[\phi(x_i; \mu_{c_i}, \sigma_{c_i})]\right] \\ = &\sum_{k=1}^K l(c_1 = k)[\log(\pi_1(k)) + \log[\phi(x_1; \mu_k, \sigma_k)] + \\ &\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K l(c_i = k, c_{i-1} = j)[\log[p(k|j)] + \log[\phi(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k)]] \end{split}$$



Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

EM - Hidden Markov model

We get

$$egin{aligned} & Q(m{ heta}|m{ heta}^{(t)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Pr(C_1 = k | \mathbf{x}, m{ heta}^{(t)}) [\log(\pi_1(k)) + \log[\phi(x_1; \mu_k, \sigma_k)]] + \ & \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \Pr(C_i = k, C_{i-1} = j | \mathbf{x}, m{ heta}^{(t)}) [\log[p(k|j)] + \log[\phi(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k)]] \end{aligned}$$

Main problem now: Calculation of

 $Pr(C_1 = k | \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)})$ $Pr(C_i = k, C_{i-1} = l | \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}), \quad i = 2, ..., n$

General idea in hidden Markov Model

Any time All data $P(C_i | x_{1:n})$

We compute «forward» (filtering)

 $P(C_{i-1}|\mathbf{x}_{1:(i-1)}) P(C_i|\mathbf{x}_{1:(i-1)}) P(C_i|\mathbf{x}_{1:i}) P(C_{i+1}|\mathbf{x}_{1:i}) \dots |P(C_n|\mathbf{x}_{1:n})$ Predict Update Predict Update

Update

- Then we compute backward (smoothing) $P(C_{i+1}|\mathbf{x}_{1:n}) \quad P(C_i|\mathbf{x}_{1:n}) \quad P(C_{i-1}|\mathbf{x}_{1:n}) \quad \cdots \quad P(C_1|\mathbf{x}_{1:n})$
- At the end we combine to get $P(C_{i}, C_{i-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1 \cdot n})$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Hidden Markov model

We have

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$
$$= f(\boldsymbol{x}_1|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{i=2}^n f(\boldsymbol{x}_i|\boldsymbol{x}_{1:i-1};\boldsymbol{\theta})$$



where

$$f(x_1|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k f_k(x_1; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
$$f(x_i|\boldsymbol{x}_{1:i-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} q_{i|i-1}(k) f_k(x_i|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

HMM forward equations

- Define $q_{i|j}(k) = \Pr(C_i = k | x_{1:j}), x_{1:j} = (x_1, ..., x_j).$
- Initialization

$$q_{1|1}(k) = \Pr(C_1 = k|x_1) = rac{\pi_1(k)f_k(x_1)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_1(j)f_j(x_1)}$$

• Prediction:

$$q_{i|i-1}(k) = \Pr(C_i = k | x_{1:i-1})$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{K} \Pr(C_i = k | C_{i-1} = j, x_{1,i-1}) \Pr(C_{i-1} = j | x_{1:i-1})$
= $\sum_{j=1}^{K} p(k|j) q_{i-1|i-1}(j)$

• Updating:

$$egin{aligned} q_{i|i}(k) = \Pr(C_i = k | x_{1:i}) = rac{\Pr(C_i = k | x_{1:i-1}) p(x_i | C_i = k)}{p(x_i | x_{1:i-1})} \ \propto & q_{i|i-1}(k) f_k(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Backward equations

- $q_{n|n}(k) = \Pr(C_n = k | x_{1:n})$ obtained from forward equations
- Going backwards:

$$q_{i|n}(k) = \Pr(C_{i} = k|x_{1:n})$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \Pr(C_{i} = k, C_{i+1} = \ell | x_{1:n})$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \Pr(C_{i} = k | C_{i+1} = \ell, x_{1:n}) \Pr(C_{i+1} = \ell | x_{1:n})$$
Because of the Markov structure
$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \Pr(C_{i} = k | C_{i+1} = \ell, x_{1:i}) q_{i+1|n}(\ell)$$
Bayes formula
$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \frac{\Pr(C_{i} = k | x_{1:i}) \Pr(C_{i+1} = \ell | C_{i} = k, x_{1:i})}{\Pr(C_{i+1} = \ell | C_{i} = k, x_{1:i})} q_{i+1|n}(\ell)$$
Recognize
$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \frac{q_{i|i}(k)p(\ell|k)}{q_{i+1|i}(\ell)} q_{i+1|n}(\ell)$$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

Sequence probability

• Needed
$$Pr(C_i = k, C_{i-1} = \ell | x_{1:n})$$
 within EM

$$\Pr(C_i = k, C_{i-1} = \ell | x_{1:n}) \\= \Pr(C_i = k | x_{1:n}) \Pr(C_{i-1} = \ell | C_i = k, x_{1:n})$$

Recognize

$$=q_{i|n}(k) \Pr(C_{i-1} = \ell | C_i = k, x_{1:i-1})$$

$$=q_{i|n}(k)\frac{\Pr(C_{i-1}=\ell|x_{1:i-1})\Pr(C_i=k|C_{i-1}=\ell,x_{1:i-1})}{\Pr(C_i=k|x_{1:i-1})}$$

Recognize

$$=q_{i|n}(k)rac{q_{i-1|i-1}(\ell)p(k|\ell)}{q_{i|i-1}(k)}$$

Det matematisk-naturvitenskapelige fakultet

HMM - M-step

Estimation of probabilities

$$\pi_1^{(t+1)}(k) = \Pr(C_1 = k | x_{1:n}, \theta^{(t)})$$

$$p^{(t+1)}(k|j) = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \Pr(C_i = k, C_{i-1} = j | x_{1:n}, \theta^{(t)})}{\sum_{i=2}^n \Pr(C_{i-1} = j | x_{1:n}, \theta^{(t)})}$$

Estimation of parameters in Gaussian distribution

$$\mu_{k}^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(C_{i} = k | x_{1:n}, \theta^{(t)}) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(C_{i} = k | x_{1:n}, \theta^{(t)})}$$
$$(\sigma_{k}^{2})^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(C_{i} = k | x_{1:n}, \theta^{(t)}) (x_{i} - \mu_{k}^{(t+1)})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(C_{i} = k | x_{1:n}, \theta^{(t)})}$$