Stochastic processes in continuous time

Motivating example: The Nelson-Aalen estimator

We have right-censored survival data (\tilde{T}_i, D_i) ; i = 1, 2, ..., n; where the *uncensored* survival times T_i are iid with hazard rate $\alpha(t)$; cf. pages 30-31

The aggregated counting process

$$N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\{\widetilde{T}_i \le t, D_i = 1\}$$

has intensity process

$$\lambda(t) = \alpha(t) Y(t)$$

where $Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\{\widetilde{T}_i \ge t\}$ is the number of individuals at risk "just before" time *t*

The Nelson-Aalen estimator is given by

$$\hat{A}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dN(s)}{Y(s)}$$
 (a sum over the jump times of N)

We have that

$$\hat{A}(t) = A(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dM(s)}{Y(s)}$$

To derive the statistical properties of the Nelson-Aalen estimator (and other estimators and test statistics), we need study stochastic integrals (and other stochastic processes in continuous time) We make no assumptions on the form of the hazard $\alpha(t)$ and we want to estimate the cumulative hazard

$$A(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds$$

We have the decomposition

 $dN(t) = \alpha(t) Y(t) dt + dM(t)$

Assuming for now that Y(t) > 0, this gives

$$\frac{dN(t)}{Y(t)} = \alpha(t) dt + \frac{dM(t)}{Y(t)}$$

By integration we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{dN(s)}{Y(s)} = \int_{0}^{t} \alpha(s) \, ds + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dM(s)}{Y(s)}$$



Fig. 3.1 Nelson-Aalen estimates for the time between first and second births. Lower curve: first child survived one year; upper curve: first child died within one year.

1

4

Some general properties of stochastic processes

Unless otherwise stated, we will consider time-continuous stochastic processes defined on a finite time interval $[0, \tau]$

A stochastic process $X = \{X(t); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ is adapted to a history $\{\mathcal{F}_{i}\}$ if at time t we know the value of X(s)for all $s \le t$ (possibly apart from unknown parameters)

A realization of X is a function of t and is called a sample path

Unless otherwise stated, we will consider stochastic processes with sample paths that are right-continuous and have left-hand limits (cadlag)

A random variable T > 0 is a stopping time if we at time t know whether $T \leq t$ or T > t

5

7

The properties of continuous time martingales parallel those of discrete time martingales

We will assume throughout that M(0)=0

Then EM(t) = 0 and M is a mean zero martingale

A martingale has uncorrelated increments, i.e.

Cov(M(t) - M(s), M(v) - M(u)) = 0

for all $0 \le s \le t \le u \le v \le \tau$

Martingales in continuous time

A stochastic process $M = \{M(t); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ is a martingale relative to the history $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ if it is adapted to the history and satisfies the martingale property:

 $E(M(t) \mid \mathscr{F}_s) = M(s)$ for all t > s

Example:

0

Let N(t) be a Poisson process with intensity λ Denote by \mathscr{F} the information on all events that happen in [0,*t*] Then $M(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$ is a martingale (cf. page 53)

Heuristically, M is a martingale provided that

$$\mathbf{E}(dM(t) \mid \mathscr{F}_{t-}) = \mathbf{0}$$

where dM(t) is the increment of M over [t, t + dt), and \mathcal{F}_{-} is the history "just before" time t

Variation processes

The predictable variation process $\langle M \rangle$ and the optional variation process [M] of a time-continuous martingale M are obtained as limits of the discrete time variation processes

6

8

Partition [0,t] into *n* time intervals each of length t/n:

0

$$\Delta M_{k} \qquad t$$
Then
$$[M](t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta M_{k})^{2}$$

$$\langle M \rangle(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}(\Delta M_{k} | \mathscr{F}_{(k-1)t/n})$$

$$d\langle M\rangle(t) = \operatorname{Var}(dM(t) \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{t-})$$

Example:

N(t) is a Poisson process with intensity λ \mathscr{F}_t is the information on all events that happen in [0,*t*] $M(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$ is a martingale

We have $dM(t) = dN(t) - \lambda dt$

Hence

 $d \langle M \rangle(t) = \operatorname{Var}(dM(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = \operatorname{Var}(dN(t) - \lambda dt | \mathcal{F}_{t-})$ $= \operatorname{Var}(dN(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = \operatorname{E}(dN(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = \lambda dt$

9

11

By integration this gives

 $\langle M \rangle(t) = \lambda t$

Stochastic integrals

Stochastic integrals are the continuous time analogue to transformations for discrete time martingales

Let $M = \{M(t); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ be a martingale and $H = \{H(t); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ a predictable process, which intuitively means that for any time *t* we know the value of H(t) "just before" *t* (a sufficient condition for predictability is that *H* is adapted and left-continuous)

We will define the stochastic integral

$$I(t) = \int_0^t H(s) \, dM(s)$$

In general it is quite intricate to define a stochastic integral (cf. the Itô integral), but for the situations we will consider it may be defined as a limit of transformations of discrete time martingales As for discrete time martingales we have that

 $M^2 - [M]$ is a mean zero martingale $M^2 - \langle M \rangle$ is a mean zero martingale

From this we obtain:

$$\operatorname{Var}(M(t)) = \operatorname{E}(M(t)^2) = \operatorname{E}\langle M \rangle(t) = \operatorname{E}[M](t)$$

For two martingales M_1 and M_2 , we may define the predictable covariation process $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ and the optional covariation process $[M_1, M_2]$, see exercise 2.5 and page 50

10

We partition [0,t] into *n* time intervals each of length t/n





Then

$$I(t) = \int_0^t H(s) \, dM(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n H_k \, \triangle M_k$$

A stochastic integral

$$I(t) = \int_0^t H(s) \, dM(s)$$

has similar properties as a transformation for discrete time martingales:

- $I(t) = \int_0^t H(s) dM(s)$ is a mean zero martingale
- $\langle I \rangle(t) = \langle \int H dM \rangle(t) = \int_{0}^{t} H^{2}(s) d \langle M \rangle(s)$
- $[I](t) = \left[\int H dM\right](t) = \int_{0}^{t} H^{2}(s) d[M](s)$

For results on covariance processes for two stochastic integrals, see exercise 2.8 and page 51

13

15

Counting processes

A counting process $N = \{N(t); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ is a stochastic process with sample paths that are right-continuous step functions with steps of size +1

We assume that the counting process is adapted to the history $\{\mathscr{F}_i\}$



The intensity process $\lambda(t)$ w.r.t the history $\{\mathscr{F}_t\}$ is given informally by

$$\lambda(t)dt = \mathbf{P}(dN(t) = 1 \mid \mathscr{F}_{t-}) = \mathbf{E}(dN(t) \mid \mathscr{F}_{t-})$$

14

Then, as seen earlier, the process

$$M(t) = N(t) - \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$$

is a mean zero martingale

The optional variation process of M(t) becomes

$$[M](t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta M_k)^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta N_k)^2 = N(t)$$

For the predictable variation process, note that

$$d\langle M\rangle(t) = \operatorname{Var}(dM(t) | \mathscr{F}_{t-}) = \operatorname{Var}(dN(t) - \lambda(t)dt | \mathscr{F}_{t-})$$
$$= \operatorname{Var}(dN(t) | \mathscr{F}_{t-}) \approx \lambda(t)dt \{1 - \lambda(t)dt\} \approx \lambda(t)dt$$

This motivates the important relation

$$\langle M \rangle(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$$

When considering two counting processes $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ adapted to the same history $\{\mathscr{F}_t\}$, we will assume that they do not jump at the same time

Then one may show that the corresponding martingales $M_1(t)$ and $M_2(t)$ are orthogonal, which means that

 $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle(t) = 0$ for all t $[M_1, M_2](t) = 0$ for all t

Stochastic integrals for counting process martingales

Most stochastic integrals we will encounter are relative to counting process martingales

A stochastic integral relative to a counting process integral is simple to understand:

$$I(t) = \int_0^t H(s) dM(s) = \underbrace{\int_0^t H(s) dN(s)}_{\bullet} - \underbrace{\int_0^t H(s) \lambda(s) ds}_{\bullet}$$

 $= \sum_{T_j \leq t} H(T_j)$ an ordinary integral (pathwise)

a sum over the jump times $T_1 < T_2 < \dots$

The Doob-Meyer decomposition

An adapted process $X = \{X(t); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ is a submartingale if it satisfies

 $E(X(t) | \mathscr{F}_s) \ge X(s)$ for all t > s

The Doob-Meyer decomposition states that any submartingale can be decomposed *uniquely* as

 $X = X^* + M$

where X^* is a nondecreasing predictable process, denoted the compensator of *X*, and *M* is a mean zero martingale

Heuristically we have

 $dX^{*}(t) = \mathcal{E}(dX(t) | \mathscr{F}_{t-})$ $dM(t) = dX(t) - \mathcal{E}(dX(t) | \mathscr{F}_{t-})$

For a stochastic integral relative to a counting process martingale, the variance processes take the form

$$\left\langle \int H dM \right\rangle(t) = \int_0^t H^2(s)\lambda(s)ds$$
$$\left[\int H dM \right](t) = \int_0^t H^2(s) dN(s)$$

Example: For the Nelson-Aalen estimator, we have

$$\hat{A}(t) - A(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dM(s)}{Y(s)}$$

Thus $\hat{A} - A$ is a mean zero martingale with optional variation process

$$\left[\hat{A} - A\right](t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dN(s)}{Y^{2}(s)}$$

Note that $[\hat{A} - A](t)$ is an unbiased estimator for the variance of the Nelson-Aalen estimator

Examples:

A counting process N(t) is a submartingale, and we have the decomposition

$$N(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(s) ds + M(t)$$

 $M^{2}(t)$ is a submartingale, and we have the decomposition

$$M^{2}(t) = \langle M \rangle(t) + \text{martingale}$$

19

17