

# SOLUTIONS TO EXERCISES WEEK 40

## Additional exercise 1

In general the Aalen-Johansen estimator is given by

$$\hat{P}(s, t) = \prod_{s < T_j \leq t} (I + \Delta \hat{A}(T_j))$$

where

$$\Delta \hat{A}_{gh}(T_j) = \frac{\Delta N_{gh}(T_j)}{Y_g(T_j)}$$

and

$$\Delta \hat{A}_{gg}(T_j) = - \sum_{h \neq g} \Delta \hat{A}_{gh}(T_j) = - \frac{\Delta N_{g\cdot}(T_j)}{Y_g(T_j)}$$

where

$$\Delta N_{g\cdot}(T_j) = \sum_{h \neq g} \Delta N_{gh}(T_j)$$

a) For the competing risks model with  $k=2$  causes of death, we have

$$I + \Delta \hat{A}(T_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{00}(T_j) & \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(T_j) & \Delta \hat{A}_{02}(T_j) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For convenience, we label the transitions between  $s$  and  $t$  as  $T_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_d$ .

We will show that (3.69) and (3.70) are fulfilled, i.e. that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_d) &= \prod_{j=1}^d \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta N_{0\cdot}(T_j)}{Y_0(T_j)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{00}(T_j)) \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

and (for  $h=1,2$ )

$$\hat{P}_{0h}(s, T_d) = \sum_{j=1}^d \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{0h}(T_j) \quad (**)$$

(3)

We have that

$$\hat{P}(s, T_1) = I + \Delta \hat{A}(T_1)$$

which is given as above with  $j=1$

Further we have

$$\hat{P}(s, T_2) = (I + \Delta \hat{A}(T_1)) (I + \Delta \hat{A}(T_2))$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 \prod (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{00}(T_j)) & \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(T_1) + (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{00}(T_1)) \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(T_2) & \Delta \hat{A}_{02}(T_1) + (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{00}(T_1)) \Delta \hat{A}_{02}(T_2) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_2) & \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_1) \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(T_2) & \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_1) \Delta \hat{A}_{02}(T_2) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(4)

Thus we have shown that for  
 $l=1$  and  $l=2$  we have

[ using that  $P_{00}(0, \bar{T}_{j-1}) = 1$  when  $j=1$  ]

$$\hat{P}(s, T_l) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{P}_{00}(s, \bar{T}_l) & \sum_{j=1}^l \hat{P}_{00}(s, \bar{T}_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(\bar{T}_j) & \sum_{j=1}^l \hat{P}_{00}(s, \bar{T}_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{02}(\bar{T}_j) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\#)$$

We now assume that (#) holds for  
 all  $l \leq m$ . Then by performing  
 the matrix multiplication

$$\hat{P}(s, T_{m+1}) = \hat{P}(s, T_m) (\mathbf{I} + \Delta \hat{A}'(T_{m+1}))$$

we see that (#) holds for  $l=m+1$

Then (#) holds for all  $m$  by  
 induction, and this proves

(\*) and (\*\*).

(5)

b) For the illness-death model without recovery, we have

$$I + \Delta A(T_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{00}(T_j) & \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(T_j) & \Delta \hat{A}_{02}(T_j) \\ 0 & 1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{11}(T_j) & \Delta \hat{A}_{12}(T_j) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For convenience, we label the transitions between  $s$  and  $t$  as  $T_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_d$

We will show that (3.74), (3.75) and (3.76) are fulfilled, i.e. that

$$\hat{P}_{00}(s, T_d) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta N_{00}(T_j)}{Y_0(T_j)} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^d \left( 1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{00}(T_j) \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{P}_{11}(s, T_d) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta N_{12}(T_j)}{Y_1(T_j)} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left( 1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{11}(T_j) \right) \quad (2)$$

(6)

and

$$\hat{P}_{01}(s, T_d) = \sum_{j=1}^d \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(T_j) \hat{P}_{11}(T_j, T_d)$$

(3)

We have that

$$\hat{P}(s, T_1) = \mathbf{I} + \Delta \hat{A}(T_1)$$

which is given as above with  $j=1$ .

Further we have

$$P(s, T_2)$$

$$= P(s, T_1) (\mathbf{I} + \Delta \hat{A}(T_2))$$

(continued on next page)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{\infty}(\tau_i)) & & & \\ & \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(\tau_i)) \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(\tau_2) + \Delta \hat{A}_{01}(\tau_1) (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{11}(\tau_2)) & & \\ & & \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{11}(\tau_i)) & \\ & & & \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{12}(\tau_i)) \Delta \hat{A}_{12}(\tau_2) + \Delta \hat{A}_{12}(\tau_1) \Delta \hat{A}_{12}(\tau_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Delta \hat{A}_{02}(\tau_1) +$

$\Delta \hat{A}_{12}(\tau_1) + (1 + \Delta \hat{A}_{11}(\tau_1)) \Delta \hat{A}_{12}(\tau_2)$

↑

Thus we have shown that for  $l=1$  and  $l=2$  (with "empty products" set equal to 1):

$$\hat{P}(s, T_l) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_l) & \sum_{j=1}^l \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{0j}(T_j) \hat{P}_{11}(T_j, T_l) & \sum_{j=1}^l \{ \hat{P}_{00}(s, T_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{0j}(T_j) + \hat{P}_{01}(s, T_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{1j}(T_j) \} \\ 0 & \hat{P}_{11}(s, T_l) & \sum_{j=1}^l \hat{P}_{11}(s, T_{j-1}) \Delta \hat{A}_{1j}(T_j) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We now assume that the result above holds for all  $l \leq m$ .

Then by performing the matrix multiplication

$$\hat{P}(s, T_{m+1}) = \hat{P}(s, T_m) (I + \Delta \hat{A}(T_{m+1}))$$

we see that the result holds for  $l=m+1$ . Thus the result holds for all  $m$  by induction, and this proves (1), (2) and (3).  $\square$