

# SOLUTION TO EXERCISES WEEK 43

## Additional exercise 2

We have counting processes  $N_i(t)$  for  $i=1, \dots, u$  with intensity processes

$$\lambda_i(t) = \gamma_i(t) \alpha(t) e^{\beta_0 X_i} \quad (1)$$

a) By (4.77) in the ASG-book the partial likelihood is given by

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{\bar{T}_j} \frac{e^{\beta X_{ij}}}{\sum_{l \in R_j} e^{\beta X_{lj}}}$$

where  $R_j = \{l \mid Y_l(\bar{T}_j) = 1\}$  is the risk set at  $\bar{T}_j$ .

The logarithm of the partial likelihood becomes

$$l(\beta) = \log L(\beta) = \sum_{\bar{T}_j} \left\{ \beta X_{ij} - \log \left( \sum_{l \in R_j} e^{\beta X_{lj}} \right) \right\}$$

Note that  $\sum_{l \in R_j} e^{\beta X_{lj}} = \sum_{l=1}^n Y_l(\bar{T}_j) e^{\beta X_{lj}} = S_0(\beta, \bar{T}_j)$ ,

(2)

where  $S_0(\beta, t)$  is defined in the problem text. Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta) &= \sum_{T_j} \{ \beta x_{ij} - \log S_0(\beta, T_j) \} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\tau} \{ \beta x_i - \log S_0(\beta, u) \} dN_i(u) \end{aligned}$$

b) We note that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} S_0(\beta, t) = S_1(\beta, t)$ , where  $S_1(\beta, t)$  is defined in the problem text.

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U(\beta) = l'(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\tau} \left\{ x_i - \frac{S_1(\beta, u)}{S_0(\beta, u)} \right\} dN_i(u) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\tau} \{ x_i - E(\beta, u) \} dN_i(u) \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $E(\beta, t)$  is defined in the text.

(3)

Differentiating once more and using that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} S_i(\beta, t) = S_z(\beta, t)$

[cf. problem text] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(\beta) &= -U'(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \frac{S_z(\beta, u) S_0(\beta, u) - S_i(\beta, u)^2}{S_0(\beta, u)^2} dN_i(u) \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{S_z(\beta, u)}{S_0(\beta, u)} - \left( \frac{S_i(\beta, u)}{S_0(\beta, u)} \right)^2 \right\} dN_0(u) \\ &= \int_0^T V(\beta, u) dN_0(u) \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $V(\beta, t)$  is given in the text and

$$N_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i(t).$$

(c) For the counting process  $N_i(t)$  we may use (1) to get the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} dN_i(t) &= \lambda_i(t) dt + dM_i(t) \\ &= \gamma_i(t) \alpha_0(t) e^{\beta_0 X_i} dt + dM_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

(4)

Note that for this decomposition to be valid, it is important that we use the true value  $\beta_0$  of the regression coefficient in the intensity process.

If we use the decomposition in (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(\beta_0) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \{x_i - E(\beta_{0,u})\} Y_i(u) e^{\beta_0 x_i} \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \{x_i - E(\beta_{0,u})\} dM_i(u) \\
 &= \int_0^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(u) x_i e^{\beta_0 x_i} \right) \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &\quad - \int_0^T E(\beta_{0,u}) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(u) e^{\beta_0 x_i} \right) \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \{x_i - E(\beta_{0,u})\} dM_i(u)
 \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^T S_1(\beta_{0,t,u}) - \int_0^T \frac{S_1(\beta_{0,t,u})}{S_0(\beta_{0,t,u})} S_0(\beta_{0,t,u}) \alpha_0(t) dt \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \{x_i - E(\beta_{0,t,u})\} dM_i(t) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \{x_i - E(\beta_{0,t,u})\} dM_i(t) \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

d) We may write  $U(\beta_0) = U(\mathcal{P}_1, \beta_0)$ ,  
 where

$$U(t, \beta_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \{x_i - E(\beta_{0,t,u})\} dM_i(t) \quad (5)$$

Note that  $E(\beta_{0,t,u}) = S_1(\beta_{0,t,u})/S_0(\beta_{0,t,u})$   
 is a predictable process (since  
 the at risk indicators are predictable)  
 Hence (5) is a sum of stochastic  
 integrals, and therefore a mean  
 zero martingale.

(6)

By (2.48) in the ABG-book and (1), the predictable variation of (5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle U(\cdot, \beta_0) \rangle(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \{x_i - E(\beta_0, u)\}^2 \lambda_i(u) du \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \{x_i^2 - 2x_i E(\beta_0, u) + E(\beta_0, u)^2\} Y_i(u) e^{\beta_0 x_i} \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &= \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(u) x_i^2 e^{\beta_0 x_i} \right) \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &= 2 \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(u) x_i e^{\beta_0 x_i} \right) E(\beta_0, u) \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t E(\beta_0, u)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(u) e^{\beta_0 x_i} \right) \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &= \int_0^t S_2(\beta_0, u) \alpha_0(u) du - 2 \int_0^t S_1(\beta_0, u) \frac{S_1(\beta_0, u)}{S_0(\beta_0, u)} \alpha_0(u) du \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \left( \frac{S_1(\beta_0, u)}{S_0(\beta_0, u)} \right)^2 S_0(\beta_0, u) \alpha_0(u) du
 \end{aligned}$$

(7)

$$= \int_0^t \frac{S_2(\beta_0, u)}{S_0(\beta_0, u)} S_0(\beta_0, u) du$$

$$- \int_0^t \left( \frac{S_1(\beta_0, u)}{S_0(\beta_0, u)} \right)^2 S_0(\beta_0, u) du$$

$$= \int_0^t V(\beta_0, u) S_0(\beta_0, u) du$$

e) The aggregated counting process  $N_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i(t)$  has intensity process [using (1)]

$$\lambda_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(t) e^{\beta_0 x_i} \alpha_0(t)$$

$$= S_0(\beta_0, t) \alpha_0(t)$$

We therefore have the decomposition

$$dN_0(t) = \lambda_0(t) dt + dM_0(t) = S_0(\beta_0, t) \alpha_0(t) dt + dM_0(t)$$

(8)

It therefore follows that the observed information (3) may be written

$$I(\beta_0) = \int_0^T V(\beta_0, u) dN_0(u)$$

$$= \int_0^T V(\beta_0, u) S_0(\beta_0, u) \nu_0(u)$$

$$+ \int_0^T V(\beta_0, u) dM_0(u)$$

$$= \langle u(\cdot, \beta_0) \rangle(T) + \int_0^T V(\beta_0, u) dM_0(u)$$

f) We have that  $V(\beta_0, t)$  is a predictable process. Hence  $\int_0^T V(\beta_0, u) dM_0(u)$  is a stochastic integral, and as such it has mean zero. By taking expectations, we therefore obtain from



(9)

The result in question is that

$$E\{I|\beta_0\} = E\langle U|_0, \beta_0 \rangle(P) + B$$

But by a general result [cf (2.24) in the ABG-book] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E\langle U|_0, \beta_0 \rangle(P) &= \text{Var } U(P, \beta_0) \\ &= \text{Var } U(\beta_0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$E\{I|\beta_0\} = \text{Var } U(\beta_0),$$

i.e. the expected information equals the variance of the score. This

is a similar result as for

the classical case of iid random variables; see e.g.

Section 7.4 in the book by Devore & Berk (the book used in STK 2120),

By the martingale central limit theorem one may show that  $U(\beta_0)$  is approximately normally distributed with mean zero and a variance that is approximately equal to  $I(\beta_0)$ .

q) We have that  $\hat{\beta}$  is the value of  $\beta$  that maximizes  $l(\beta)$ .

The value can also be found by solving  $U(\beta) = 0$ , so we have

$$U(\hat{\beta}) = 0. \text{ We then obtain by}$$

a Taylor expansion of  $U(\hat{\beta})$  around

$\beta_0$  that

$$0 = U(\hat{\beta}) \approx U(\beta_0) + U'(\beta_0)(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)$$

(11)

Now  $I(\beta_0) = -U'(\beta_0)$ , and we obtain

$$U(\beta_0) - I(\beta_0)(\bar{\beta} - \beta_0) \approx 0 \quad (6)$$

h) From the approximation (6) we have that

$$I(\beta_0)(\bar{\beta} - \beta_0) \approx U(\beta_0)$$

or

$$\bar{\beta} - \beta_0 \approx \frac{U(\beta_0)}{I(\beta_0)} \quad (7)$$

We know that if  $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , then

$X/\sigma^2 \sim N(0, 1/\sigma^2)$ . Therefore we

have that  $U(\beta_0)/I(\beta_0)$  is approximately

normal with mean zero and a variance that may be estimated

by  $1/I(\beta_0)$ . By (7) this holds

for  $\bar{\beta} - \beta_0$  as well.