

# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Faculty of mathematics and natural sciences

Examination in STK4540 — Non-Life Insurance Mathematics.

Day of examination: December 04, 2020, Final Home Exam.

Examination hours: 15:00–19:00.

This problem set consists of 3 pages.

Appendices: None

Permitted aids: See the webpage of the course.

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

## Problem 1. (10 points)

Consider the heterogeneity model for the  $i$ -th policy of an insurance. Given  $\theta \sim \Gamma(\gamma, \beta)$  (Gamma distribution) the claim sizes  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  in the policy are assumed to be Pareto distributed with parameters  $(\lambda, \theta)$ , that is

$$P(X_i > x | \theta) = (\lambda/x)^\theta, x > \lambda.$$

A reinsurance company takes into account only the values  $X_i$  which exceed a known high threshold  $K$ . They "observe" the counting variables  $Y_i := 1_{(K, \infty)}(X_i)$  for a known  $K > \lambda$ . The company is interested in estimating  $p(\theta) := P(X_1 > K | \theta)$ .

Compute the linear Bayes estimator and its risk with respect to  $p(\theta) := E[Y_1 | \theta]$  based on the observations  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ , when  $X_1 = 20$ ,  $X_5 = 40$ ,  $X_{10} = 100$  and  $X_j = 2$  (in 1000 NOK) for  $j = 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9$  (in years) and the parameters  $\lambda = 1.5$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = 3$ ,  $K = 50$ .

## Problem 2. (10 points)

Have a look at the following Danish fire insurance data collected at Copenhagen Reinsurance in the period from 07/31/1989 until 08/09/1989:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Loss <math>X_i</math> in DKM</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Loss <math>X_i</math> in DKM</u>
08/02/1989	1.270110	08/05/1989	1.439458
08/03/1989	1.784928	08/05/1989	3.130398
08/04/1989	16.088061	08/07/1989	6.287045
08/04/1989	1.439458	08/08/1989	2.777307
08/04/1989	152.413209	08/09/1989	1.033023

The fire losses in the above table are given in millions of Danish Krone. Suppose that the dynamics of the total claim amount  $S(t)$  is described by the Crámer-Lundberg model.

(Continued on page 2.)

(i) Calculate the maximum-likelihood estimator  $\hat{\lambda}$  of the jump intensity of the claim number process  $N(t)$ .

(ii) Let  $F^* = F_{X_1}^*$  be the real claim size distribution. Require that the net profit condition (NPC) holds and that  $E^*[X_1] < \infty$  (expected value of  $X_1$  with respect to  $F^*$ ). Further, assume that  $F^*$  has a probability density and that its integrated tail distribution  $F_{X_1, I}^*$  is subexponential.

Compute a (rough) estimate of the ruin probability  $\Psi(u)$  for the safety loading  $\rho = 25\%$  and the initial capital  $u = 140$  (in millions of Danish Krone) by approximating  $F^*$  by the empirical distribution function with respect to the fire insurance data above.

Hint: Apply the following convention: Claims  $X_1^{(m)}, \dots, X_n^{(m)}$  arriving on day  $m \geq 0$  are interpreted as 1 claim  $X_m := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{(m)}$  arriving on day  $m$ .

### Problem 3. (10 points)

Consider the heterogeneity model and suppose that in the  $i$ -th policy of an insurance the claim numbers  $X_t, t \geq 1$  given the heterogeneity parameter  $\theta$  are Poisson distributed with intensity  $\theta$ .

(i) Require that  $\theta$  is Gamma distributed with parameters  $\gamma, \beta > 0$ .

Compute the linear Bayes estimator  $\hat{\mu}_{LB}$  of the expected claim number  $\mu(\theta) := E[X|\theta]$  and its corresponding risk for the claim number data  $X_1 = 1, X_4 = 2, X_5 = 1, X_7 = 1, X_{10} = 3$  and  $X_j = 0$  (in 1000 NOK) for  $j = 2, 3, 6, 8, 9$  (in years) and the parameters  $\gamma = 2.7, \beta = 1.5$ .

(ii) Suppose now that  $\theta = \exp(Z)$ , where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  (normal distribution with mean zero and variance 1).

Calculate  $\hat{\mu}_{LB}$  for the same data as in (i).

Hint:  $E[\theta] = \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, \text{Var}[\theta] = \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2}$ , if  $\theta \sim \Gamma(\gamma, \beta)$ .

### Problem 4. (10 points)

Assume Mack's model. The following run-off triangle in the figure shows the cumulative paid claims:

Year $i$	$S_{i,i}$	$S_{i,i+1}$	$S_{i,i+2}$	$S_{i,i+3}$	$S_{i,i+4}$
2013	65285	88495	120096	138346	143682
2014	73173	114299	134340	152883	
2015	87135	137359	158409		
2016	98068	150476			
2017	138982				

(i) Compute the predictors  $\hat{S}_{i,m+k}$  of the total claim amounts

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{2014,m+1}, \\ &S_{2015,m+1}, S_{2015,m+2}, \\ &S_{2016,m+1}, \dots, S_{2016,m+3}, \\ &S_{2017,m+1}, \dots, S_{2017,m+4}. \end{aligned}$$

(Continued on page 3.)

for  $m = 2017$  based on the data of the run-off triangle.

(ii) Compute the technical provisions for the year 2019, that is

$$\sum_{j=0}^2 (\widehat{S}_{2015+j,2019} - \widehat{S}_{2015+j,2018})$$

and for the year 2021, that is

$$\widehat{S}_{2017,2021} - \widehat{S}_{2017,2020}.$$

### Problem 5. (10 points)

Consider an *i.i.d.*-sequence  $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$  of wind storm losses. Require that  $X_1$  has a probability density  $f$  and that  $P(X_1 > x) > 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Let us now have a look at stochastic time points  $T_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  defined by

$$T_{n+1} := \inf \{k > T_n : X_k > X_{T_n}\}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ , where  $T_1 := 1$  and  $\inf \emptyset := \infty$ . Further, define the sequence of random variables given by

$$Y_n := \# \{1 \leq i \leq n : T_i \leq n\}, n \geq 1,$$

where  $\#C$  denotes the number of elements in a set  $C$ .

(i) Derive a formula for the probability  $P(X_{T_2} > x \text{ and } X_1 < x \text{ and } T_2 < \infty)$  for all  $x > 0$ . Compute this probability for Pareto distributed  $X_1$  with parameters  $\gamma = \theta = 1$ , that is

$$P(X_1 \leq x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{x} & , \text{ if } x > 1 \\ 0 & \text{ else} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Find a formula for  $Var[Y_n]$  and calculate  $Var[Y_7]$ .

(iii) Assume that the random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  model wind storm losses. Suppose that  $E[X^2], E[Y^2] < \infty$  and that  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$  and  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} \min(X, Y)$ . Calculate  $Var[X - Y]$ .

Hint: For random variables  $Z_1, Z_2$  the notation  $Z_1 \stackrel{d}{=} Z_2$  means that  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  have the same distribution.

End