UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Examination in	STK9900 — Statistical methods and applications.				
Day of examination:	9 June 2011.				
Examination hours:	09.00-13.00.				
This problem set consists of 6 pages.					
Appendices:	Tables for the standard normal distribution, the chi-square distributions, the t distributions, and the F distributions.				
Permitted aids:	All printed and hand-written resources. Approved calculator.				
Please ma	ake sure that your copy of the problem set is				

complete before you attempt to answer anything.

Problem 1

A study has been performed on a diesel-powered pickup truck to see if humidity and barometric pressure influence the emission of nitrous oxide (N₂O). A total of 20 emission measurements were taken on different times under varying conditions.

We will use linear regression to analyze the data. The outcome, N2O, is the emission of nitrous oxide (in ppm), while the predictors are:

HUM Humidity (in percent)PRESS Barometric pressure (in mmHg)

The matrix scatter plot below gives an overview of the data.



We start out by fitting simple linear regression models using only one predictor at a time. When we use pressure as the only predictor, we obtain the following results (the output has been edited):

```
Model 1
Call: lm(formula = N20 ~ PRESS)
Estimate Std. Error
(Intercept) -12.558064 2.608668
PRESS 0.018122 0.003494
Residual standard error: 0.07506 on 18 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.5991, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5768
```

a) Use an appropriate hypothesis test to decide if barometric pressure has a significant influence on the emission of nitrous oxide.

We then fit a model with humidity as the only predictor:

b) Consider the two simple linear regression models fitted above. Which of the two predictors, humidity or pressure, is by itself the best predictor for the emission of nitrous oxide? Give an argument for your answer.

We then fit a model with both predictors:

c) Discuss why model 1 and model 3 give different estimates for the effect of pressure. Is there a significant effect of pressure when humidity is included in the model?

Problem 2

The table below gives data from a study of infant respiratory disease. The table shows the proportions of children developing a respiratory disease (bronchitis pneumonia) in their first year of life by sex and type of feeding. For example we see from the table that 458 boys got only bottle feeding, and among these 77 developed respiratory disease during their first year of life.

	Bottle	Some breast	Breast
	only	with supplement	only
Boys	77/458	19/147	47/494
Girls	48/384	16/127	31/464

We want to study if the sex of the child and the type of feeding influence the risk of developing respiratory disease.

a) Explain why logistic regression is an appropriate model for analyzing the data.

In order to use logistic regression, the data are given in a data frame with six lines, one for each combination of sex and type of feeding, and with the following variables:

NODISEASE	Number of children who develop respiratory disease
NOTOT	Total number of children
SEX	Sex $(1: Boys, 2: Girls)$
FEEDING	Type of feeding (1: Bottle only, 2: Some breast with supplement
	3: Breast only)

We first fit a model only using the categorical covariate type of feeding:

Model 4

	Estimate	Std. Error
(Intercept)	-1.74676	0.09693
<pre>factor(FEEDING)2</pre>	-0.17435	0.20531
factor(FEEDING)3	-0.67645	0.15281

Null deviance: 26.375 on 5 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 5.699 on 3 degrees of freedom (edited output)

(Continued on page 4.)

b) Define the odds ratio for respiratory disease for a child who is breast fed relative to one who is fed by bottle. Estimate the odds ratio and derive a 95% confidence interval for it. Describe what the estimated odds ratio and the confidence interval tell you.

We then fit a model using both type of feeding and sex as categorical covariates:

Model 5

```
Call:
glm(formula=cbind(NODISEASE,NOTOT-NODISEASE)~factor(FEEDING)+factor(SEX),
            family = binomial)
                 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                              0.1124 -14.347
(Intercept)
                  -1.6127
                                              < 2e-16
factor(FEEDING)2
                 -0.1725
                              0.2056
                                     -0.839
                                               0.4013
factor(FEEDING)3 -0.6693
                              0.1530
                                      -4.374 1.22e-05
factor(SEX)2
                  -0.3126
                              0.1410
    Null deviance: 26.37529 on 5
                                   degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 0.72192 on 2
                                   degrees of freedom
(edited output)
```

- c) Use the results above to test in two different ways if sex has a significant effect on the risk of developing respiratory disease. What may you conclude from the tests?
- d) Explain what we mean by interaction between type of feeding and sex. Use the results above to test the null hypothesis that there is no interaction between type of feeding and sex.

Problem 3

A number of studies have been performed to evaluate the influence of the magnitude and temporal pattern of low energy transfer radiation on biological systems. We will consider data from one such study. Here cultured human lymphocytes were exposed to gamma radiation (from a cesium-137 source) and the number of chromosomal abnormalities caused by the radiation was recorded. The experiment was performed with three doses of radiation (1.0, 2.5, and 5.0 Grays) and with nine dose rates. For each experiment the total number of lymphocytes were also recorded.

The results of the experiments are summarized in the table below. Here "cells" are the total number of lymphocytes in an experiment and y is the number of chromosomal abnormalities observed.

(Continued on page 5.)

Dose rate Gy/hr	1.0		Dose (Grays) 2.5		5.0	
	Cells	у	Cells	<i>y</i>	Cells	У
0.1	478	25	328	52	210	100
0.25	1907	102	185	51	138	113
0.5	2258	149	342	100	160	144
1.0	2329	160	310	100	120	106
1.5	1238	75	278	107	90	111
2.0	1491	100	259	107	100	132
2.5	1518	99	249	102	313	419
3.0	764	50	298	110	182	225
4.0	1367	100	243	107	144	206

a) Explain why it is reasonable to assume that the number of chromosomal abnormalities observed in an experiment (with a given dose and dose rate) is Poisson distributed.

The data have been analyzed using Poisson regression. To this end the data are given in a data frame with one line for each of the 27 experiments and with the columns corresponding to the following variables:

cells	number of lymphocytes
ca	number of chromosomal abnormalities observed
dose	total dose (Grays)
doserate	dose rate (Grays/hour)

First we fit a model with dose as a categorical covariate (factor) and the logarithm of the dose rate as a numerical covariate. This gives the results below (the output has been edited):

Model 6

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(z)
(Intercept)	-2.76958	0.03430	-80.74	<2e-16
<pre>factor(dose)2.5</pre>	1.65299	0.04857	34.03	<2e-16
factor(dose)5	2.80095	0.04251	65.89	<2e-16
log(doserate)	0.21447	0.01672	12.83	<2e-16

Null deviance: 4753.004 on 26 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 42.776 on 23 degrees of freedom

```
(Continued on page 6.)
```

b) Give a mathematical formulation of the Poisson regression model that is fitted above. Use this formulation to define the rate ratio for dose 2.5 Grays relative to dose 1.0 Grays (when dose rate is kept constant), and estimate this rate ratio.

We then fit a model with interaction between dose and the logarithm of dose rate:

```
Model 7
```

```
Call:
glm(formula = ca ~ offset(log(cells)) + factor(dose) + log(doserate) +
    factor(dose):log(doserate), family = poisson, data = radiation)
Deviance Residuals:
     Min
                1Q
                                     ЗQ
                      Median
                                              Max
         -0.62473 -0.05078
                               0.76786
-1.49101
                                          1.59115
Coefficients:
                              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)
                                           0.03426 -80.165 < 2e-16
                              -2.74671
factor(dose)2.5
                               1.62542
                                           0.04946
                                                    32.863
                                                            < 2e-16
factor(dose)5
                               2.76109
                                           0.04349
                                                    63.491
                                                            < 2e-16
log(doserate)
                                           0.03518
                                                     2.041 0.041299
                               0.07178
factor(dose)2.5:log(doserate)
                               0.16122
factor(dose)5:log(doserate)
                               0.19350
```

Null deviance: 4753.00 Residual deviance: 21.75

c) Explain what we mean by interaction between dose (as a categorical covariate) and the logarithm of dose rate (as a numerical covariate). Use the results above to test the null hypothesis that there is no interaction between dose and the logarithm of dose rate.

END