

# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

## Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Examination in: STK4030 — Modern Data Analysis

Day of examination: Thursday December 13'th 2012

Examination hours: 14.30 – 18.30

This problem set consists of 3 pages.

Appendices: None

Permitted aids: Approved calculator

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

### Solution proposal

#### Problem 1

In addition to the definition of the methods as described in the textbook in sections 3.3-3.6 a discussion of how the methods are used in model selection is appropriate.

#### Problem 2

a)  $trace[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T] = trace[(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}] = trace(\mathbf{I}_{p+1}) = p + 1.$

b)

$$\hat{y}_i = x_i^T(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}.$$

By independence  $cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = x_i^T(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}x_i\sigma_\varepsilon^2 = trace(x_i x_i^T(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1})\sigma_\varepsilon^2$ ,  
so  $\sum_{i=1}^N cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N trace(x_i x_i^T(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1})\sigma_\varepsilon^2 = trace((\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1})\sigma_\varepsilon^2 = (p+1)\sigma_\varepsilon^2.$

c) A linear fitting method is one for which the fitted values can be written  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}$  for a  $N \times N$  matrix which only depends on the input vectors  $x_i$  but not on the responses  $y_i$ . The *effective degrees-of-freedom* is defined as  $df(\mathbf{S}) = trace(\mathbf{S})$ .

d) By independence  $cov(\hat{f}(x_i), y_i) = cov(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{x_j \in N_k(x)} y_j, y_i) = \frac{1}{k}$ . Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^N cov(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{x_j \in N_k(x)} y_j, y_i) = \frac{N}{k}$ .

Each row corresponds to an observation with input  $x_i$ . Let  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}$  be the inputs which are in  $N_k(x_i)$ . Let the elements in  $\mathbf{S}$  be

$$\mathbf{S}_{ii_j} = \begin{cases} 1/k & j = 1, \dots, k \\ 0 & else \end{cases}$$

(Continued on page 2.)

Then  $\mathbf{S}$  does not depend on the  $y_i$ 's and  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{x_j \in N_k(x)} y_j = \mathbf{S}y$ .

### Problem 3

a) Model:

$$Pr(G = 1|X = x) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta^T x)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta^T x)}, Pr(G = 0|X = x) = 1 - P(G = 1|X = x)$$

Log-likelihood:

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \{y_i \log p(x_i, \beta) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p(x_i, \beta))\}$$

b) Model:

$$Pr(G = k|X = x) = \frac{\exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_k^T x)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x)}, k = 1, \dots, K-1$$

$$Pr(G = K|X = x) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x)}$$

Denote responses as  $y_{ij}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, K, i = 1, \dots, N$  where  $\sum_{j=1}^K y_{ij} = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then log likelihood may be expressed as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^K [\beta_{j0} + \beta_j^T x_i] y_{ij} - \log \left[ 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x_i) \right] \right\}$$

where  $\beta_{K0} = 0$  and  $\beta_K = 0$ .

A localized log-likelihood is constructed by weighing the terms in the sum by a kernel, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^K [\beta_{j0} + \beta_j^T x_i] y_{ij} - \log \left[ 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x_i) \right] \right\}$$

c) Fitting a locally constant logistic model consists of minimizing

$$\min_{\alpha(x_0)} \sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j(x_0) y_{ij} \right\} - \sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) \left\{ \log \left[ 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\alpha_l(x_0)) \right] \right\}$$

where  $\alpha(x_0) = (\alpha_1(x_0), \dots, \alpha_{K-1}(x_0))^T$  and  $\alpha_K(x_0) = 0$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j(x_0)} &= \sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) y_{ij} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) \left\{ \frac{\exp(\alpha_j(x_0))}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\alpha_l(x_0))} \right\}, j = 1, \dots, K-1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j(x_0)} = 0$  has solution

$$\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) y_{ij} = \frac{\exp(\hat{\alpha}_j(x_0))}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\hat{\alpha}_l(x_0))} \sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i), j = 1, \dots, K-1$$

(Continued on page 3.)

or

$$\hat{f}_j(x_0) = \frac{\exp(\hat{\alpha}_j(x_0))}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\hat{\alpha}_l(x_0))} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) y_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i)}, j = 1, \dots, K-1$$

Also,

$$\hat{f}_K(x_0) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{K-1} \hat{f}_j(x_0) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) [1 - \sum_{j=1}^{K-1} y_{ij}]}{\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i) y_{iK}}{\sum_{i=1}^N K(x_0, x_i)}.$$

Thus for all the categories the fitted values are the smoothed response indicators separately using the Nadaraya-Watson kernel smoother.

SLUTT