

Exercises, STK4040, week 41

October 5, 2007

Exercise 1

Assume that the stochastic vector \mathbf{x} has dimension 5, and that it can be written as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}$, where \mathbf{v} is a stochastic vector with dimension 2, \mathbf{A} is an arbitrary matrix, and \mathbf{e} is a stochastic vector of the same dimension as \mathbf{x} , independent of \mathbf{v} . Let the matrix \mathbf{A} be defined as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vector \mathbf{e} is assumed to have $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{0}$, and $\Phi = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{e})$ is assumed to be diagonal with all diagonal elements equal to 0.1. The stochastic vector \mathbf{v} is also assumed to have expectation $\mathbf{0}$, and $\Theta = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Write the covariance matrix of \mathbf{x} (Σ). Show that the columns of \mathbf{A} are Eigenvectors of Σ .

Now assume that \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{e} are Normally distributed. Simulate 15 independent observations of the vector \mathbf{x} and place them in a 15 by 5 data matrix \mathbf{X} .

Perform a principal component analysis of \mathbf{X} . Make a score plot for the two first components, and plot the two first loading vectors. Compare the loading vectors with the matrix \mathbf{A} . Are there any similarities? Differences? Comment!

Exercise 2

Assume we have a data matrix \mathbf{X} . Let the spectral decomposition of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ be $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{V}^T$. Show that the inverse of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ (if it exists) can be written as $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{V}^T$. How does \mathbf{L}^{-1} look?

Exercise 3

Let $\mathbf{x} \sim N_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and $\mathbf{y} \sim N_2(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, where $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (0, 0)^T$, $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (1, 1)^T$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$.

Draw $m = 13$ observations of \mathbf{x} and $n = 17$ observations of \mathbf{y} , and perform a (two-sample) Hotelling's T^2 test to test the hypothesis $H_0: \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\nu}$ against the alternative hypothesis $H_A: \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \boldsymbol{\nu}$.