

## Implicit derivatives

Goal: If an eq. system

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v, \vec{x}) &= C \\ G(u, v, \vec{x}) &= D \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \nwarrow \end{array} \text{ constants}$$

determines  $u, v$  as  $C'$  functions  
of  $\vec{x}$ : what are their derivatives

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \quad ?$$

Tool: \* Differentials

\* Solving a linear eq. system  
2x2 since then  $\begin{cases} F=C \\ G=D \end{cases}$

### This document:

- \* pages 1-5: lecture 2019-11-06 second hour.
- \* pages 6-12: lecture 2019-11-07. Page 30 shown in the end, not handwritten.
- \* pages 13-30: slides from 2018. Cookbook the same, some examples the same, additional examples given.

("Rules and formulas" exam  
attachment: page II)

Suppose  $K$  changes by 0.1 and  $M$  by -0.3  
How much must  $L$  change to maintain  $q$ ?  
Output

$dL$  = first-order approximation:

$$dL = \frac{-1}{f'_L} [f'_K dk + f'_M dM]$$

$$= \frac{-1}{f'_L} [0.1 f'_K - 0.3 f'_M]$$

where all derivatives are evaluated at  
the  $(K, L, M)$  where we are at.

Recall differentials: if  $w = f(\vec{x})$ ,

$$dw = f'_{x_1}(\vec{x}) dx_1 + \dots + f'_{x_n}(\vec{x}) dx_n$$

• If  $\vec{x}$  changes to  $\vec{x} + d\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + dx_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n + dx_n \end{pmatrix}$

then  $dw$  is the first-order approximation of  $\Delta w = f(\vec{x} + d\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})$ .

- "Advantages" over partial derivatives:
- gathers all into one formula, which
  - allows for simultaneous changes.

Example: production  $f(K, L, M) = q$ .

Along an isoquant  $dq = 0$ :  $dK, dL, dM$

related through

$$f'_K dK + f'_L dL + f'_M dM = 0$$

2 eq's example:

$$Y = C + I + G$$

$$C = f(Y).$$

endogenous:  
 $Y, C$

Q: If  $G$  changes by  $\textcircled{1}$ ,  
 $\approx$  how much does  $Y$  change?

Could: Insert, ← "lucky structure"

$$Y - f(Y) = I + G$$

$$(1 - f'(Y))dY = dI + dG$$

$$dY = \frac{dI + dG}{1 - f'(Y)}$$

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial G} = \frac{1}{1 - f'(Y)}$$

$$\Delta Y \approx \frac{1}{1 - f'(Y)} \cdot \textcircled{1}$$

Can instead:

- Calculate differentials:

$$dY = dC + dI + dG$$

$$dC = f'(Y)dY$$

- Eq. system for  $\begin{pmatrix} dY \\ dC \end{pmatrix}$ :

2-vector  $\begin{pmatrix} dY & -dC \\ -f'(Y)dY + dC \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} dI + dG \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  2-vector

- Can solve out for  $dY$ , with/without  
matrix tools. ↓  
add the eq's.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -f'(Y) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dY \\ dC \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dI \\ dG \end{pmatrix}$$

Linear in  $\begin{pmatrix} dY \\ dC \end{pmatrix}$  !

Problem to solve: Suppose

$$F(u, v, x, y, z) = C \quad \leftarrow \begin{matrix} C, D \\ \text{const.} \end{matrix}$$

$$G(u, v, x, y, z) = D \quad \leftarrow$$

note: "arbitrary number of free variables"

determines  $u = u(x, y, z)$ ,  $v = v(x, y, z)$   
(as  $C^1$  functions.)

two  $\leftrightarrow$  two eq's.

\* How to find the partial first derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$ ?

Notes:

### Cookbook

① Differentiate the system

You get:

$$F'_u du + F'_v dv + F'_x dx + F'_y dy + F'_z dz = 0$$

$$G'_u du + G'_v dv + G'_x dx + G'_y dy + G'_z dz = 0$$

Everything evaluated at  $(u, v, x, y, z)$

② Identify as linear eq system for  $du$  &  $dv$ .

- Calculate differentials
- Term-by-term or variable-by-variable, your preference.

② on matrix form:

$$\vec{A} \begin{pmatrix} du \\ dv \end{pmatrix} + \vec{B} \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

where  $\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} F'_u(u, v, x, y, z) & F'_v(u, v, x, y, z) \\ G'_u(u, v, x, y, z) & G'_v(u, v, x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$

and  $\vec{B} = \begin{pmatrix} F'_x(u, v, x, y, z) & F'_y(u, v, x, y, z) & F'_z(u, v, x, y, z) \\ G'_x(u, v, x, y, z) & G'_y(u, v, x, y, z) & G'_z(u, v, x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$

③ Solve for  $\begin{pmatrix} du \\ dv \end{pmatrix} = -\vec{A}^{-1} \vec{B} \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix}$

Note: take for granted that  $\vec{A}^{-1}$  exists.

Matrix notation/formulation is optional; use whatever method you prefer.

④ Read off the partial derivatives.

Solving yields:

$$\begin{aligned} du &= r_{11} dx + r_{12} dy + r_{13} dz \\ dv &= r_{21} dx + r_{22} dy + r_{23} dz \end{aligned}$$

This is  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ . Depends on  $(u, v, x, y, z)$

Note: relation to 1 variable determined  
by 1 equation

$$F(u, x, y, z) = C$$

$$du = - \frac{1}{F'_u} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} F'_x & F'_y & F'_z \end{pmatrix}}_B \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{1}_{A}$$

1x1 here

1x # of free variables

# of dependent variables

If the problem text were:

[eq. system] ... determines  $u, v$  of  $(x, y, z)$   
around the point  $P$  where  $(u, v, x, y, z) = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$

(a) Differentiate the system  $\rightarrow$  done

(b) [... find some derivatives ...]

$\rightarrow$  (c) Approximate  $v(\pi, 3.99, 5.05)$

$$v(\pi, 3.99, 5.05) \approx v(3, 4, 5) + (\pi - 3) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(3, 4, 5) + (-0.01) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(3, 4, 5) + 0.05 \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}(3, 4, 5)$$

$$v(3, 4, 5) = 2$$

have formula.

Insert  $u=1, v=2$   
 $x=3, y=4, z=5$ .



Example:

Suppose the  $C^1$  functions  $u = u(x, y, z)$   
and  $v(x, y, z)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}u^2 + v &= xy + z \\ uv &= y^2 - x^2\end{aligned}$$

(a) Differentiate the system

(b) Find  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$

Solution:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}2u du + dv &= y dx + x dy + dz \\ v du + u dv &= 2y dy - 2x dx\end{aligned}$$

(b)  $u \cdot [\text{first}] - [\text{second}]$ : (eliminates  $dv$ )

$$(2u^2 - v) du = (uy + 2x) dx + (ux - 2y) dy + u dz$$

$$\text{So } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{uy + 2x}{2u^2 - v}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{ux - 2y}{2u^2 - v}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{u}{2u^2 - v}$$

Note: we did not solve for  $dv$ . (Because we didn't need it.)

## Possible shortcuts:

(c) Differentiate system  $\rightarrow$  done.

If now you are only asked for:

- derivatives of  $u$   
(not of  $v$ )
- derivatives wrt.  $y$   
(not wrt anything else)
- derivatives at a point  
say  $(3, 9, -1, \pi, e)$

then you can

- eliminate  $dv$ , solve for  $du$  only
- put  $dx = dz = 0$  before solving.  
But: do not zero out  $d$  [dependent variable]
- insert these numbers (after differentiating, before solving out)

## Application:

Firm produces  $Q(K, L)$ ,  
chooses  $K, L$  as stationary <sup>maximum</sup> point of  
 $P Q(K, L) - cK - wL$

Question: How will  $K$  and  $L$  change  
when  $p, c$  and/or  $w$  change?

-  $(K, L)$  satisfy

$$P Q'_K = c$$

$$P Q'_L = w$$

"Simpler" if rewritten  $Q'_K = c/p$   
 $Q'_L = w/p$

Differentiate:  $Q''_{KK} dK + Q''_{KL} dL = d \frac{c}{P} = \frac{1}{P} dc - \frac{c}{P^2} dP$

$$Q''_{LK} dK + Q''_{LL} dL = \frac{1}{P} dw - \frac{w}{P^2} dP$$

$$\vec{A} \begin{pmatrix} dK \\ dL \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} Q''_{KK} & Q''_{KL} \\ Q''_{LK} & Q''_{LL} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} dK \\ dL \end{pmatrix} &= [\text{Hessian matrix}]^{-1} d \begin{pmatrix} c/p \\ w/p \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} Q''_{KK} & Q''_{KL} \\ Q''_{LK} & Q''_{LL} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p} dc - \frac{c}{p^2} dp \\ \frac{1}{p} dw - \frac{w}{p^2} dp \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{Q''_{KK}Q''_{LL} - Q''_{KL}^2} \begin{pmatrix} Q''_{LL} & -Q''_{KL} \\ -Q''_{KL} & Q''_{KK} \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

Recall the logic underlying the MR(T)S formula  $\frac{\partial F/\partial K}{\partial F/\partial L}$ :

(That's good enough "expression for" ... that clarification will be summarized at the end of tomorrow.)

- Fixing a *level curve* (isoquant, indifference curve, ...)  $F(K, L) = C$ , will determine one variable in terms of the other(s). Say,  $L = L(K)$ .
- *Total* derivative wrt.  $K$ :  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial K} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial L} \frac{\partial L}{\partial K} = 0$  (because  $C$  is constant, so  $\frac{\partial C}{\partial K} = 0$ ). Solve out for  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial K} = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial K} / \frac{\partial F}{\partial L}$ .

(The MRS is the negative of this: how much must you increase one if you want to *reduce* the other.)

Topic for Tuesday (and likely a bit of Wednesday too):

- Suppose you have  $n$  equations determining ("endogenizing"?)  $n$  variables in terms of the other free (exogeneous, then?) variable(s). *What are the derivatives?*
  - Focus:  $n = 2$ . The "new stuff" arises as soon as  $n > 1$ .
  - Book:  $(u, v)$  as functions of  $(x, y)$  (or of  $(x, y, z)$ ).  
But: you are expected to handle  $(x, y)$  as functions of  $(r, s, t)$  or  $(K, L)$  as functions of  $(p, w)$  or of  $(p, w, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  or ...

## Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

If the  $n$  equations were all *linear*, we would be able to solve (and know how!). But that's a very lucky case. Not so lucky example:

Production: sum of two Cobb–Douglases,  $(1 - \gamma)K^aL^b + \gamma K^\alpha L^\beta$ .

Unit prices:  $p$  and  $w$  on  $K$  and  $L$ , respectively.

$K$  and  $L$  determined by first-order cond'ns for profit maximization:

$$(1 - \gamma)aK^{a-1}L^b + \gamma\alpha K^{\alpha-1}L^\beta = p$$

$$(1 - \gamma)bK^aL^{b-1} + \gamma\beta K^\alpha L^{\beta-1} = w$$

Q: How do  $(K, L)$  depend on  $(p, w)$ ? Or on everything else?

By Tuesday, we shall cover how to get *expressions for* the partial derivatives of  $K$  and  $L$ .

(Did you suggest to solve the FOC's for  $(K, L)$  explicitly, and then differentiate? Nah, only in very special cases you can. Since economics is so full of quantities *implicitly* given – for example in terms of FOC's – we need a way to handle derivatives of implicitly given functions.)

*Differentials.* ("Main tool" for avoiding too much new matrix-based terminology.)

- Input change from  $(K, L)$  to  $(K + \Delta K, L + \Delta L) \rightsquigarrow$  output change, *first-order approximated* to  $F'_K(K, L) \Delta K + F'_L(K, L) \Delta L$
- The differential: If  $Q = F(K, L)$  we *define* the differential  $dQ$  of  $Q$  as  $F'_K(K, L) dK + F'_L(K, L) dL$ .

(Sometimes we just write  $dF$  for  $dQ$ , identifying the "black box" with its output.)

- The differential then obeys rules similar to the ones of derivatives:  $d(Q + R) = dQ + dR$ ,  $d(QR) = R dQ + Q dR$ , and the chain rule: say, if  $K$  and  $L$  are functions of time  $t$ , then  $dQ = F'_K(K, L) dK + F'_L(K, L) dL$  equals  $F'_K(K, L) K'(t) dt + F'_L(K, L) L'(t) dt$ .
- The invariance property: the differential is "agnostic" as to whether a variable is free or dependent. The formula " $F'_K(K, L) dK + F'_L(K, L) dL$ " remains valid if we "endogenize"  $K$  and  $L$ ; just insert the new formulae for  $dK$  and  $dL$ .

The “differential form” has a couple of advantages:

- In the formula  $F'_K(K, L) dK + F'_L(K, L) dL$ , you can make *simultaneous changes* in K and L.
- As the differential does not care what is “determined”, then on the level curve (where  $dQ = 0$ ) we can write the changes “without making a choice between  $L = L(K)$  vs.  $K = K(L)$ ”: we have  $F'_K(K, L) dK + F'_L(K, L) dL = 0$ .
  - This says that – up to a first-order approximation accuracy – in order to stay at the level curve, the changes in K and L must be related that way. Which we can rewrite as  $F'_L(K, L) dL = -F'_K(K, L) dK$  if we want to.
  - And if we at the end of a long night of model-building find out that we want to ask the question: “if I want to reduce K by a small unit, how much must I then increase L in order to fulfil my 100 pcs order?” – then the formula  $dL = -\frac{F'_K(K, L)}{F'_L(K, L)} dK$  remains valid unless we divide by zero.



## Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

With more variables:  $Q = F(K, L, M)$  (say): Differential now

$$F'_K(K, L, M) dK + F'_L(K, L, M) dL + F'_M(K, L, M) dM$$

If on a level curve AND  $F'_L(K, L, M) \neq 0$ , then

$$dL = \frac{-F'_K(K, L, M)}{F'_L(K, L, M)} dK + \frac{-F'_M(K, L, M)}{F'_L(K, L, M)} dM$$

We can change  $K$  and  $M$  *simultaneously* and this formula tells us  $\approx$  how much  $L$  must change in order to keep constant output. If you decide to consider  $L$  as function of  $K$  and  $M$ , then the *partial* derivatives are the respective coefficients:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial K} = \frac{-F'_K(K, L, M)}{F'_L(K, L, M)} \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial M} = \frac{-F'_M(K, L, M)}{F'_L(K, L, M)}$$

(and to get the respective MRS's: switch sign.)

So: writing with differentials, you can capture both partial and simultaneous changes.

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

Language/notation on this slide optional and voluntary; you can write the same content without vector notation on the exam.  
(You have to know the same *content* in any case.)

Vector notation for differentials:

If  $Q = F(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $dQ = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} dx_i$ , which equals the dot product  $\left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right) \cdot (dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_n)$  where it should really have been  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})$  (evaluation at  $\mathbf{x}$ ) everywhere.

Typical notation:  $\nabla F(\mathbf{x})$  for the *row* vector of partial first derivatives at  $\mathbf{x}$ , yields the matrix product form  $\nabla F(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ .

This generalizes the univariate  $F'(x) dx$ , and the upside-down triangle symbol saves us from using the prime symbol for neither transpose nor derivative ... the first-derivatives vector  $\nabla F(\mathbf{x})$  is called the "gradient" of  $F$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Now, by saying that the language is optional and the content is not: you are indeed expected to be able to handle the  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} dx_i$  – in fact, that is the multivariate chain rule, which you should know already before Math2 – so the only optional part is, we will not by any means require you to write it as " $\nabla F(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ ".

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

**On to it:** Given constants  $C$  and  $D$  and  $C^1$  functions  $F$  and  $G$ . Consider the equation system

$$F(u, v, x, y, z) = C$$

$$G(u, v, x, y, z) = D$$

Assume\* that this equation system determines  $u$  and  $v$  as  $C^1$  functions of  $(x, y, z)$  if part (c) below is there, there will be some "around a point where" [the equation system holds, say: where  $(u, v, x, y, z) = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ ]

Next up: a cookbook for their partial first derivatives.

In particular: for the following typical exam problem example:

- Differentiate the system<sup>†</sup>.
- Find a general expression for  $\partial v / \partial y$ .
- Approximate  $v(3.1, 3.99, 5)$

---

\*That sentence spawns some questions: Does that "assume" indeed hold true, with  $u$  and  $v$  being  $C^1$  (and defined!) everywhere? Not necessarily, but long story short and imprecise: as long as "all our calculations make sense", the functions will be *locally* defined and  $C^1$  and our method will give the answer. Good enough for Math2!

<sup>†</sup>"Differentiate the system" means calculate *differentials*. Norwegian has two distinct words, "deriver" for derivatives vs. "differensier" for differentials; ~~thus, in order to convey the same information in both languages, an exam usually says e.g. "Differentiate the system (i.e., calculate differentials)"~~ nowadays: *obsolete info, exam English only (2019)*

## Cookbook for differentiating implicitly given functions, $2 \times 2$

case:  $F(u, v, x, y, z) = C, \quad G(u, v, x, y, z) = D$

Want: the partial derivatives of the implicitly given  $u$  and  $v$ . Cookbook essentially the same for any number of free variables. I chose 3 just because nothing says it must be the same number as eq's.

1. *Differentiate* the system. (Term by term or variable by variable, your choice.)

$$\begin{aligned} F'_u du + F'_v dv + F'_x dx + F'_y dy + F'_z dz &= 0 \\ G'_u du + G'_v dv + G'_x dx + G'_y dy + G'_z dz &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Everything is evaluated at  $(u, v, x, y, z)$ , so these differentiated eq's could be a mess of  $u, du, v, dv, x, dx, y, dy, z, dz$ . Therefore:

2. *Identify* this as an equation system for  $du$  and  $dv$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} F'_u & F'_v \\ G'_u & G'_v \end{pmatrix}}_{=:A} \begin{pmatrix} du \\ dv \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} F'_x & F'_y & F'_z \\ G'_x & G'_y & G'_z \end{pmatrix}}_{=:B} \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  depend on  $(u, v, x, y, z)$ . (Nothing to "do" in this step, except catching what needs to be done – but if you do that, the rest is an algorithm.)

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

Matrix notation *not required* (but very useful on a slide):

3. Solve for  $du$  and  $dv$ : 
$$\begin{pmatrix} du \\ dv \end{pmatrix} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix}$$

and for once, this course does not ask you to check invertibility.

4. Read off the partial derivatives.

Written out, we now have the form, where  $(r_{ij}) = \mathbf{R} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} du &= r_{11} dx + r_{12} dy + r_{13} dz \\ dv &= r_{21} dx + r_{22} dy + r_{23} dz \end{aligned}$$

and, e.g.,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = r_{13}$  and  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = r_{22}$ . Note: all the  $r_{ij}$  depend on  $(u, v, x, y, z)$  (but you should *not* have any “ $du$ ” left in your “ $dv$ ” expression!)

---

*Note: One equation, one determined variable redux:* If we have only  $F = C$  and no “ $v$ ”, then we get  $du = -\frac{1}{F'_u} (F'_x, F'_y, F'_z) \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix}$ . Recognize the analogues to the “ $\mathbf{A}$ ” and “ $\mathbf{B}$ ” matrices here!

Example problem had given a point P with coordinates

$(u, v, x, y, z) = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$  and a question:

(c) Approximate  $v(3.1, 3.99, 5)$

From  $(x, y, z) = (3, 4, 5)$  to  $(x + dx, y + dy, z + dz) =$

$(3.1, 3.99, 5)$  we find  $dx = 0.1$ ,  $dy = -0.01$ ,  $dz = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}v(3.1, 3.99, 5) &= v(3, 4, 5) + \Delta v \\ &\approx 2 + dv = 2 + 0.1 \cdot r_{21} \Big|_P - 0.01 \cdot r_{22} \Big|_P\end{aligned}$$

where the  $\Big|_P$  indicates that you shall *insert for the coordinates*:

$(u, v, x, y, z) = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ .

... question: why the “2” in “ $\approx 2 + dv$ ”?

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

Simple example: Apply the cookbook to calculate  $\partial C/\partial G$  when  $(Y, C)$  are determined (as functions of  $I$  and  $G$ ) by:

$$Y = C + I + G, \quad C = f(Y)$$

Here  $f$  is some  $C^1$  function with  $0 < f' < 1$ .

1. Differentiate:  $dY = dC + dI + dG$ ,  $dC = f'(Y)dY$ .
2. OK, got it, we shall not solve for  $Y$  ...  
You would probably not use matrices here? Exercise: do that, just to hone your LA skills.
3.  $dC = f'(Y) \cdot (dC + dI + dG)$  yields  $dC = \frac{f'(Y)}{1-f'(Y)}(dI + dG)$ .

And  $dY = \frac{1}{1-f'(Y)}(dI + dG)$  if you want to follow the cookbook completely, but we do not need that for  $\partial C/\partial G$ . Exercise: instead of inverting the coefficient matrix, or using Gaussian elimination: what could you have used from the linear algebra curriculum to get out only  $dC$ ?

4. The  $dG$  coefficient in the solved-out expression for  $dC$  is  
 $\frac{f'(Y)}{1-f'(Y)}$ .

The “for once, this course does not ask you to check invertibility” in step 3  $\rightsquigarrow$  OK to just divide in these problems, even if I hadn't written  $f' < 1$ .

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

**Example given in class:** Suppose the  $C^1$  functions  $u = u(x, y, z)$  and  $v = v(x, y, z)$  satisfy  $u^2 + v = xy + z$ ,  $uv = y^2 - x^2$ .

(a) Differentiate the system (i.e., calculate differentials)

(b) Find the three first-order partial derivatives of  $u$ .

(a) Calculate differentials:  $2u \, du + dv = y \, dx + x \, dy + dz$  and  $v \, du + u \, dv = -2x \, dx + 2y \, dy$

(b) Eliminate  $dv$  from the differentiated system, e.g. by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2u \, du + dv & = & y \, dx + x \, dy + dz \\ v \, du + u \, dv & = & -2x \, dx + 2y \, dy \end{array} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \cdot u, \text{ then } \leftarrow + \\ \hline -1 \end{array} \right.$$

yields  $(2u^2 - v)du = (uy + 2x)dx + (ux - 2y)dy + u \, dz$  and, in

these particular problems you can divide w/o worrying over

$$\text{zeroness: } du = \underbrace{\frac{uy + 2x}{2u^2 - v}}_{=\partial u / \partial x} dx + \underbrace{\frac{ux - 2y}{2u^2 - v}}_{\partial u / \partial y} dy + \underbrace{\frac{u}{2u^2 - v}}_{\partial u / \partial z} dz$$



## Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

more on the same example, and notes:

So we can just read off the derivatives as indicated:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{uy + 2x}{\underline{\underline{2u^2 - v}}}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{ux - 2y}{\underline{\underline{2u^2 - v}}}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{u}{\underline{\underline{2u^2 - v}}}$$

- Though the cookbook would want you to solve for  $du$  and  $dv$ , the question only asks for the first-order partial derivatives of  $u$ , and so  $dv$  is not needed. (Just make sure you have eliminated it!)
- I asked a Q: if  $z$  were not a variable, but a constant: would that affect  $u'_x$ ?

A: No; a partial change in  $x$  is as if the other free variables – in this case  $y$  and  $z$  – were treated as constants.

Note: This has nothing to do with  $z$  not appearing in the expressions!

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

Then: *When and how can we speed up?* (Reading the problem helps!)

(And in time squeeze: get method right! Maybe not give *highest* priority to debugging expressions like slide 17...?)

- (Already done more than once:) If “part (b)” only asks for partial derivatives *of* one variable (say,  $u$ ), then solving for  $du$  gives you what you need. You can use Cramér if you like.
- If “part (b)” only asks for partial derivatives *with respect to* one free variable (say,  $x$ ), then put  $dy = dz = 0$   
(the other *free* var's only! Do not delete the diff. of the *dependent*  $dv$ !)

- Leave the answer to part (a) as-is, this is only for (b). In the example, when starting at (b) you can simplify to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2u \, du + dv & = & y \, dx \\ v \, du + u \, dv & = & -2x \, dx \end{array} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \cdot u, \text{ then } \leftarrow + \\ \text{-----} \\ -1 \end{array} \right.$$

and so  $(2u^2 - v)du = (uy + 2x)dx$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{uy+2x}{2u^2-v}$  as we saw; then  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = y - 2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = y - 2u \frac{uy+2x}{2u^2-v} = -\frac{yv+4xu}{2u^2-v}$

- If it asks for the derivatives *merely at a point*, then insert for point coord's at the beginning of “part (b)”. But beware ...

cont'd next slide

- cont's: derivatives merely at point. Say, the example gives the point where  $(u, v, x, y, z) = (1, 5, 2, 3, 0)$  and the question:  
“(b) Find  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(2, 3, 0)$  and  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(2, 3, 0)$ .”
  - Again, the answer to part (a) should be left as-is. and again, only derivatives wrt.  $x$  are asked, so put  $dy = dz = 0$ .  
Furthermore, you can now insert point coordinates and work with the system  $2 du + dv = 3 dx$  and  $5 du + dv = -4 dx$ .  
Subtracting, we have  $-3 du = 7 dx$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(2, 3, 0) = -7/3$ ;  
then  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(2, 3, 0) = 3 - 2\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(2, 3, 0) = 3 + 14/3 = 23/3$ .
- *Beware*: problem might say “around the point where  $(u, v, x, y, z) = (1, 5, 2, 3, 0)$ ” and still ask for a general expression for  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ . Then the answer has “letters”,  $(\frac{ux-2y}{2u^2-v})$ 
  - Why give coordinates then? Consider one eq.,  $x^2 + y^2 = 2$ .  
That does not define  $y = y(x)$  (the circle is not a function graph). But “around the point where  $(x, y) = (1, 1)$ ”, it *does* define a function graph: the upper half-circle.

**New example** for Wednesday: The equation system

$$se^{y-x} + \ln(2t + y) + x = 3, \quad ye^{-x} + stxy + t^2 = e^{-1}$$

defines continuously differentiable functions  $x = x(s, t)$  and  $y = y(s, t)$  around the point where  $(s, t, x, y) = (2, 0, 1, 1)$ . (You shall not show this.)

(a) Differentiate the system (i.e., calculate differentials).

(b) Find a general expression for  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial s}$ .

Alternative question (b'): Calculate  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial s}(2, 0)$ .

(a):  $e^{y-x} ds + se^{y-x}(dy - dx) + \frac{1}{2t+y}(2 dt + dy) + dx = 0$  and

$e^{-x} dy - ye^{-x} dx + txy ds + sxy dt + sty dx + stx dy + 2t dt = 0$

(b): Only asked for  $\partial x/\partial s$ , so put  $dt = 0$ . Collect/reorder terms:

$(1 - se^{y-x}) dx + (se^{y-x} + \frac{1}{2t+y}) dy = -e^{y-x} ds$  and

$(st - e^{-x})y dx + (stx + e^{-x}) dy = -txy ds$ . Now eliminate  $dy$  – or use Cramér for  $dx$ :

# Linearization, differentials, eq. systems, implicit derivatives ...

new example cont'd: Cramér for dx on the differentiated system

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - se^{y-x}) dx + (se^{y-x} + \frac{1}{2t+y}) dy &= -e^{y-x} ds \\ (st - e^{-x})y dx + (stx + e^{-x}) dy &= -txy ds\end{aligned}\quad (D)$$

$$\text{yields } dx = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -e^{y-x} ds & se^{y-x} + \frac{1}{2t+y} \\ -txy ds & stx + e^{-x} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 - se^{y-x} & se^{y-x} + \frac{1}{2t+y} \\ (st - e^{-x})y & stx + e^{-x} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} ds \text{ where}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = \frac{-(stx + e^{-x})e^{y-x} + txy \cdot \left(se^{y-x} + \frac{1}{2t+y}\right)}{\underline{\underline{(1 - se^{y-x})(stx + e^{-x}) - (se^{y-x} + \frac{1}{2t+y}) \cdot (st - e^{-x})y}}}$$

(b'): If only asked for  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial s}(2, 0)$ : Insert  $(s, t, x, y) = (2, 0, 1, 1)$  into (D), which then simplifies to  $(1 - 2)dx + (2 + 1)dy = -ds$  and  $-e^{-1}dx + e^{-1}dy = 0$ . From the latter,  $dy = dx$  and so the former says  $2dx = -ds$ . Thus,  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial s}(2, 0) = \underline{\underline{-1/2}}$ .

Finally, case  $n > 2$  covered:

- Setup:  $n$  equations<sup>‡</sup>  $f_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = C_1, \dots, f_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = C_n$  determining  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as  $n$  functions  $u_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, u_n(\mathbf{x})$ .
- Here,  $\mathbf{x}$  are  $m$  variables,  $m$  could be any natural number.
- Cookbook: Differentiating this system gives the form

$$\mathbf{A} d\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{B} d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{so that} \quad d\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j}, \quad a_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_j}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) \right)_{i,j}.$$

(Just a bigger linear equation system.  $n$  equations,  $n$  unknowns  $du_1, \dots, du_n$ .)

- Partial derivatives:  $\partial u_i / \partial x_j =$  element  $(i, j)$  of  $\mathbf{R} := -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ .
- (Matrix notation: You might encounter in the literature – though certainly not on a Math2 exam – formulae like

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = - \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}. \quad \text{Or with arrows: } \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial \vec{x}} = - \left( \frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial \vec{u}} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial \vec{x}}. \quad )$$

(And if you see the phrase “Jacobian” matrix, it is a matrix of *first-order* derivatives. Not the Hessian.)

---

<sup>‡</sup>Last-minute change from “F” to “f” due to the last bullet item:  $\mathbf{f}$  is a column vector (not a matrix) of functions.