

## ECON 4130

### Supplementary Exercises II

#### Exercise 5

a) Let  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  with cdf,  $\Phi(x) = P(Z \leq x)$ . Put  $Y = a + bZ$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants. Show that the cdf of  $Y$  is

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y) = \begin{cases} \Phi\left(\frac{y-a}{b}\right) & \text{if } b > 0 \\ 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{y-a}{b}\right) & \text{if } b < 0 \end{cases}$$

[**Hint:** Manipulate  $P(Y \leq y)$ .] This implies that  $Y \sim N(a, b^2)$ . Why?

b) Let  $Z_n$  is a r.v. with cdf,  $F_n(x) = P(Z_n \leq x)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and suppose that  $Z_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . Show by using the definition of convergence in distribution directly, that  $Y_n = a + bZ_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Y \sim N(a, b^2)$  [**Hint:** Treat the cases,  $b > 0, b < 0$  separately, and look at  $P(Y_n \leq y)$ .]

c) Let  $A_n, B_n$  be r.v.'s such that,  $A_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} a$ , and  $B_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} b$ . Show that  $Y_n = A_n + B_n Z_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Y \sim N(a, b^2)$ . [**Hint:** Use Slutsky's lemma.]

d) Let  $\theta$  be an unknown parameter in a model and  $\hat{\theta}$  an estimator based on  $n$  observations. Suppose we have proved that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} X \sim N(0, b^2)$  where  $b$  is some constant. Show that this implies that  $\hat{\theta}$  must be a consistent estimator for  $\theta$ .

[**Hint:** Set  $X_n = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ , solve with respect to  $\hat{\theta}$ , and use Slutsky. Also properties (3) and (5) in "Lecture notes II to Rice chap. 5" may be relevant.]

### Exercise 6 (the $\delta$ -method for determining asymptotic normality of estimators).

Let  $\theta$  be an unknown parameter in a model and  $\hat{\theta}$  an estimator based on  $n$  observations.

Suppose we have proved that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} X \sim N(0, b^2)$  where  $b$  is some constant.

Suppose that what we are really interested in is another transformed parameter,  $\gamma = g(\theta)$ . We assume that  $g(x)$  is a continuously differentiable function everywhere where  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$  may take their values. It is natural to estimate  $\gamma$  by  $\hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta})$  (in fact, both the moment method (MM) and the maximum likelihood method (ML) recommend us to do so if  $\hat{\theta}$  is a MM- or ML- estimator respectively). To measure the uncertainty of this estimation, we need a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval for  $\gamma$ , at least approximately. This we can achieve since it can be proven that, under these conditions,

$$(1) \quad \sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Y \sim N(0, b^2[g'(\theta)]^2)$$

**a) Proof of (1):** The proof follows simply from the first order Taylor expansion of  $\hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta})$  around  $\theta$  ( see (A3) in appendix 1 in “Lecture notes II to Rice chap. 5” (referred to as LN2).

$$(2) \quad \hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta}) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta) \cdot (\hat{\theta} - \theta) + R_n, \quad \text{where } R_n \text{ is an error term.}$$

Now, it can be shown that  $\sqrt{n}R_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$  [for those interested a proof can be found in the appendix to this exercise]. Use this and Slutsky’s lemma to prove (1).

[**Note:** This way of deriving a limit distribution by a first order Taylor expansion, is often referred to in the literature as the so called “delta-method”.]

**b) An approximate  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval for  $\gamma$ :** Usually the constant  $b$  is also unknown. We assume that we have an estimator,  $\hat{b}$ , that is consistent for  $b$ . We then get from (1)

$$(3) \quad P \left( \hat{\gamma} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\hat{b}^2 [g'(\hat{\theta})]^2}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \gamma \leq \hat{\gamma} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\hat{b}^2 [g'(\hat{\theta})]^2}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \approx 1 - \alpha \quad \text{for large } n$$

where  $z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  is the upper  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ -point (i.e. the  $1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$  percentile) in  $N(0, 1)$ . Justify (3) by using Slutsky’s lemma along the lines in example 5 in LN2.

## Appendix to exercise 6

Proof that  $\sqrt{n}R_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$  in (2):

Taylor-expanding  $\hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta})$  around  $\theta$  with one term plus error gives (see (A3) in appendix 1 in LN2)

$$(4) \quad \hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta}) = g(\theta) + g'(c_n)(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$$

where  $c_n$  lies somewhere between  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$ . Write (4) as

$$\hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta}) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + (g'(c_n) - g'(\theta))(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + R_n$$

where  $R_n = (g'(c_n) - g'(\theta))(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ . Now  $\sqrt{n}R_n = A_n U_n$  where  $A_n = g'(c_n) - g'(\theta)$  and  $U_n = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ . If we can prove that  $A_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ , it follows from Slutsky (since  $U_n$  converges in distribution) and properties (3) and (5) in LN2, that  $\sqrt{n}R_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$  is true. First

observe that  $c_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ . This follows since  $c_n$  is between  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$ , which implies that

$|c_n - \theta| \leq |\hat{\theta} - \theta|$ . Then, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily small the following events satisfy

$(|c_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \Rightarrow (|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \varepsilon)$ , from which follows (note that  $\hat{\theta}$  is consistent from exercise 5d) that  $P(|c_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \leq P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ , and, therefore,

$$P(|c_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Now,  $g'(x)$  is continuous, and therefore  $A_n = g'(c_n) - g'(\theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$  (see theorem 1 in LN2).

Q.E.D.