

## ECON 4130

### Supplementary Exercises 5 and 6

(Applications of Slutsky's lemma)

#### Exercise 5

a) Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be an estimator for an unknown parameter  $\theta$ . Suppose we know that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Z \sim N(0, b^2)$  where  $b > 0$  is a constant. Explain why this is the same as saying that  $\sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta}{b} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} \frac{Z}{b} \sim N(0, 1)$ . [**Hint:** Use the definition of convergence in distribution directly, or, alternatively, use Slutsky's lemma combined with property (3) in "Lecture Notes to Rice chap. 5".]

b) Let  $\theta$  be an unknown parameter in a model and  $\hat{\theta}_n$  an estimator based on  $n$  observations. Suppose we have proved that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} X \sim N(0, b^2)$  where  $b > 0$  is some constant. Show that this implies that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  must be a consistent estimator for  $\theta$ .

[**Hint:** Set  $X_n = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$ , solve with respect to  $\hat{\theta}_n$ , and use Slutsky. Also properties (3) and (5) in "Lecture Notes to Rice chap. 5" may be relevant.]

#### Exercise 6 (the $\delta$ -method for determining asymptotic normality of estimators).

Let  $\theta$  be an unknown parameter in a model and  $\hat{\theta}$  an estimator based on  $n$  observations. Suppose we have proved that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} X \sim N(0, b^2)$  where  $b$  is some constant. Suppose that what we are really interested in is another transformed parameter,  $\gamma = g(\theta)$ . We assume that  $g(x)$  is a continuously differentiable function everywhere where  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$  may take their values. It is natural to estimate  $\gamma$  by  $\hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta})$  (in fact, both the moment method (MM) and the maximum likelihood method (ML) recommend us to do so if  $\hat{\theta}$  is a MM- or ML- estimator respectively). To measure the uncertainty of this estimation, we

need a  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval for  $\gamma$ , at least approximately. This we can achieve by the following lemma (combined with Slutsky's lemma):

**Lemma** Let  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}-\theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} X \sim N(0, b^2)$ , and let  $g(x)$  be a continuously differentiable function everywhere where  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$  may take their values. Then also

$$(1) \quad \sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta})-g(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Y \sim N(0, b^2[g'(\theta)]^2)$$

[With other words:  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma}-\gamma) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Y \sim N(0, b^2[g'(\theta)]^2)$  where  $\hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta})$  and  $\gamma = g(\theta)$  ]

**a) Proof of (1):** The proof follows simply from the first order Taylor expansion of  $\hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta})$  around  $\theta$  ( see (A3) in appendix 1 in “Lecture Notes to Rice chap. 5” (referred to as LN5).

$$(2) \quad \hat{\gamma} = g(\hat{\theta}) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta) \cdot (\hat{\theta} - \theta) + R_n, \quad \text{where } R_n \text{ is an error term.}$$

Now, it can be shown that  $\sqrt{n}R_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$  [for those interested a proof can be found in the appendix to this exercise]. Use this and Slutsky's lemma to prove (1).

[**Note:** This way of deriving a limit distribution by a first order Taylor expansion, is often referred to in the literature as the so called “delta-method”.]

**b) An approximate  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval for  $\gamma$ :** Usually the constant  $b$  is also unknown. We assume that we have an estimator,  $\hat{b}$ , that is consistent for  $b$ . We then get from (1)

$$(3) \quad P \left( \hat{\gamma} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\hat{b}^2 [g'(\hat{\theta})]^2}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \gamma \leq \hat{\gamma} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\hat{b}^2 [g'(\hat{\theta})]^2}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \approx 1-\alpha \quad \text{for large } n$$

where  $z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  is the upper  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ -point (i.e. the  $1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$  percentile) in  $N(0, 1)$ . Justify (3) by using Slutsky's lemma along the lines in example 5 in LN5.

c) Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim iid$ , with common pmf:  
 $f(x; p) = P(X_i = x) = p(1-p)^{x-1}$  for  $x = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Put  $\theta = \frac{1}{p} = E(X_i)$ . Explain why the central limit theorem (CLT) implies that

$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Z \sim N\left(0, \frac{1-p}{p^2}\right)$ . Use this and the lemma in **a.** to show that  $\hat{p} = 1/\bar{X}$

(i.e., the *mle* and *mme* estimator according to Written paper II) is approximately normally distributed in the sense

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{p} - p) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} Y \sim N(0, p^2(1-p))$$

(which can be interpreted as  $\hat{p} \stackrel{Approx.}{\sim} N\left(p, \frac{p^2(1-p)}{n}\right)$  for given  $n$ . Note also

that the result can be derived by the asymptotic theory of *mle* – estimators, e.g., see “written paper II”.)

## Appendix to exercise 6

Proof that  $\sqrt{n}R_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$  in (2):

Taylor-expanding  $\hat{y} = g(\hat{\theta})$  around  $\theta$  with one term plus error gives (see (A3) in appendix 1 in LN5)

$$(4) \quad \hat{y} = g(\hat{\theta}) = g(\theta) + g'(c_n)(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$$

where  $c_n$  lies somewhere between  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$ . Write (4) as

$$\hat{y} = g(\hat{\theta}) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + (g'(c_n) - g'(\theta))(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + R_n$$

where  $R_n = (g'(c_n) - g'(\theta))(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ . Now  $\sqrt{n}R_n = A_n U_n$  where  $A_n = g'(c_n) - g'(\theta)$  and  $U_n = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ . If we can prove that  $A_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$ , it follows from Slutsky (since  $U_n$  converges in distribution) and properties (3) and (5) in LN5, that  $\sqrt{n}R_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$  is true. First

observe that  $c_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \theta$ . This follows since  $c_n$  is between  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$ , which implies that

$|c_n - \theta| \leq |\hat{\theta} - \theta|$ . Then, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily small the following events satisfy

$(|c_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \Rightarrow (|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \varepsilon)$ , from which follows (note that  $\hat{\theta}$  is consistent from exercise 5b) that  $P(|c_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \leq P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ , and, therefore,

$P(|c_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ . Hence  $c_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \theta$ .

Now,  $g'(x)$  is continuous, and therefore  $A_n = g'(c_n) - g'(\theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$  (see theorem 1 in LN5).

Q.E.D.