## Answers to three exercises in the lecture notes to Rice chapter 8

## Exercise 1 (page 3)

(i) If  $X \sim bin(n, p)$ , we have according to the general theory for the binomial distr. that, for large n,  $X \sim N(E(X), var(X)) = N(np, np(1-p))$ , or

$$\frac{X - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \stackrel{approx.}{\sim} N(0,1)$$

But

$$\frac{X - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} = \frac{n(X/n-p)}{\sqrt{n}\sqrt{p(1-p)}} = \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \stackrel{approx.}{\sim} N(0,1)$$

Hence,

$$b = \sqrt{p(1-p)}$$
 and  $\hat{b} = \sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}$ 

where  $\hat{p} = X/n$  is consistent, which implies by continuity that also  $\hat{b}$  is consistent for b.

(ii) According to theory, if  $X \sim \operatorname{poisson}(t\lambda)$  (writing t for n), then  $X \sim N(E(X), \operatorname{var}(X)) = N(\lambda t, \lambda t) \text{ for large } t \text{ (i.e., such that } t\lambda \ge 10 \text{ , say). As in } (i) \text{ we get}$ 

$$\frac{X - t\lambda}{\sqrt{t\lambda}} = \frac{t(X / n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{t}\sqrt{\lambda}} = \sqrt{t} \frac{\hat{\lambda} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \stackrel{approx.}{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

Hence  $b = \sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{b} = \sqrt{\hat{\lambda}} = \sqrt{\frac{X}{t}}$  is consistent for b.

(iii) According to the central limit theorem, for large n,

$$\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) = N\left(E(\bar{X}), \operatorname{var}(\bar{X})\right).$$

Hence  $b = \sigma$ , and, for example,  $\hat{b} = S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}$  is consistent for b.

## Exercise 2 (page 12)

(a)

From 
$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $X' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix}$ , we get

$$X'X = \begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_{i} x_{i} \\ \sum_{i} x_{i} & \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

from which the determinant follows directly.

**(b)** 

The inverse of a  $2 \times 2$ - matrix,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , is in general given by

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$
 (check by multiplying A and  $A^{-1}$ )

where D = ad - bc is the determinant of A, which must be different from 0 for the inverse to exist.

Hence, from (a):

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n\sum_{i}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i}x_{i}^{2} & -\sum_{i}x_{i} \\ -\sum_{i}x_{i} & n \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $cov(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}$ , we find the variances on the main diagonal, giving the expressions in the exercise.

(c) From the matrices in (a) and (b) we get, writing  $D = n \sum_{i} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$  for the determinant,

$$\hat{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{pmatrix} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \frac{1}{D} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i x_i^2 & -\sum_i x_i \\ -\sum_i x_i & n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i Y_i \\ \sum_i x_i Y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

We now find  $\hat{\beta}_1$  as the second element in the vector  $\hat{\beta}$ :

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta}_1 &= \frac{1}{D} \cdot \left( -\sum_i x_i \sum_i Y_i + n \sum_i x_i Y_i \right) = \frac{1}{D} \cdot \left( n \sum_i x_i Y_i - n^2 \overline{x} \overline{Y} \right) = \\ &= \frac{n \left( \sum_i x_i Y_i - n \overline{x} \overline{Y} \right)}{n \sum_i (x_i - \overline{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \overline{x})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{\sum_i (x_i - \overline{x})^2} = \frac{S_{xY}}{S_x^2} \end{split}$$

## Exercise 3 (page 14)

Since the determinant of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  is  $D = \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(1-\rho^2)$ , we get the inverse as in exercise 2b

$$\begin{split} & \Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{D} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{22} & -\sigma_{12} \\ -\sigma_{12} & \sigma_{11} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \text{and} \\ & (x - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) = \frac{1}{D} (x_1 - \mu_1, \ x_2 - \mu_2) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{22} & -\sigma_{12} \\ -\sigma_{12} & \sigma_{11} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (x - \mu) = \\ & = \frac{1}{D} \Big[ (x_1 - \mu_1) \sigma_{22} - (x_2 - \mu_2) \sigma_{12}, \quad -(x_1 - \mu_1) \sigma_{12} + (x_2 - \mu_2) \sigma_{11} \Big] \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \mu_1 \\ x_2 - \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \\ & = \frac{1}{D} \Big[ (x_1 - \mu_1)^2 \sigma_{22} - (x_2 - \mu_2) (x_1 - \mu_1) \sigma_{12} - (x_1 - \mu_1) (x_2 - \mu_2) \sigma_{12} + (x_2 - \mu_2)^2 \sigma_{11} \Big] = \\ & = \frac{1}{\sigma_{11} \sigma_{22} (1 - \rho^2)} \Big[ (x_1 - \mu_1)^2 \sigma_{22} - 2(x_1 - \mu_1) (x_2 - \mu_2) \sqrt{\sigma_{11}} \sqrt{\sigma_{22}} \rho + (x_2 - \mu_2)^2 \sigma_{11} \Big] = \\ & = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \Big[ \left( \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}}} \right)^2 - 2\rho \left( \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}}} \right) \left( \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{22}}} \right) + \left( \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{22}}} \right)^2 \Big] \end{split}$$

Multiplying this by  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , we see that the exponent in (12) reduces exactly to the exponent in Example F in Rice section 3.3. We also see that the expression in the denominator in the pdf in example F (Rice) is the same as in (12) from the expression of the determinant D.