## UNIVERSITY OF OSLO DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Term paper in: ECON4136 – Applied statistical analysis for the social sciences

Handed out: Monday, October 01, 2012

To be submitted by: Monday, October 22, 2012 at 09:00 a.m.

To be submitted electronically to: <a href="mailto:submissions@econ.uio.no">submissions@econ.uio.no</a>

Further instructions:

- This term paper is **compulsory**.
- You must use a printed front page, which will be found at the course semester page.
- Note: Students are allowed (but not required) to prepare the term paper in groups of max 2 candidates. Candidates who submit term paper together, should submit only one front page with both names, but separate declarations.
- It is of importance that the term paper is delivered by the deadline (see above). Term papers delivered after the deadline, **will not be corrected**.\*)
- You must hand in a declaration form with your term paper. You will find this on the course semester page. **Term papers without declaration forms will not be corrected.**
- Information about citing and referring to sources: <u>http://www.uio.no/english/studies/about/regulations/sources/</u> Information about consequences of cheating: <u>http://www.uio.no/english/studies/admin/examinations/cheating/index.html</u>
- All term papers must be submitted to the address given above. You must not submit your term paper to the course teacher.
- If the term paper is not accepted, you will be given a new attempt. If you still not succeed, you will not be permitted to take the exam in this course. You will then be withdrawn from the exam, so that this will not be an attempt.

\*) If a student believes that she or he has a good cause not to meet the deadline (e.g. illness) she or he should discuss the matter with the course teacher and seek a formal extension. Normally extension will only be granted when there is a good reason backed by supporting evidence (e.g. medical certificate).

## Term paper - ECON 4136, fall 2012

For this exercise, use the data set -DahlLochner2012AER.dta- available on the course homepage. You should solve the exercise using Stata. Include your Stata do-file after the main text, tables and figures. Please be brief, but precise, in your answers. Note that you do not have to report more in the text than is asked for. You are allowed to prepare the term paper alone or in groups of 2.

In a recent study published in the American Economic Review 2012, 102(5): 1927–1956, Dahl and Lochner (hereafter, DL) study how children's school performance depends on family income.<sup>1</sup> They posit the following model of the relationship

$$y_{ia} = \mathbf{x}_{i}^{'} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{a} + \mathbf{w}_{ia}^{'} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta I_{ia} + u_{ia} \tag{1}$$

where  $y_{ia}$  and  $I_{i,a}$  are the performance and family income, respectively, of child *i* at age *a*.  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{ia}$  are permanent and time-varying characteristics listed below, while  $u_i$  reflects unobserved determinants of school performance.

- 1. There are three performance measures in the data set -math-, -readingcomp- and -readingrecog-. Create a new variable -score- as the average of these variables, and standardize it to mean equal zero and standard deviation equal one.
- 2. How much of the variation in -score- and -famine- is coming from comparisons across individuals and how much is coming from comparisons within individuals over time?
- 3. Generate new variables for the upper and the lower end of a 95 % confidence interval for -score- and -faminc-, and graph the mean and confidence interval of these variables over time.
- Estimate model (1) using OLS with -score- as the dependent variable, controlling for variables 9–26 below (i.e. -black- through -sib3-). Use robust standard errors. Interpret the coefficient on -faminc-.
- 5. Do you think that the OLS-estimates may be biased? Explain your answer. In which direction do you think  $\delta$  is biased? The Ramsey RESET may test for model misspecification, and can be implemented using -estat ovtest-. Perform the test and explain briefly why it may reveal misspecification.

We have panel data with information on school performance of each child in several years. Assume that the error term above has an individual-specific component  $\mu_i$  that is fixed over time, such that

$$u_{ia} = \mu_i + \varepsilon_{ia}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Notice that the results from these estimations will not match the estimates in the paper, both because part of the data is classified, and because we have simplified their model somewhat.

where  $\varepsilon_{ia}$  is random residual.

- 6. Explain how you can use the panel structure of the data to get a more reliable estimate of δ. Estimate this model using first differences for -score- and -faminc-. Include as control variables -black-, -hispanic-, -male-, -age-, -sib1-, and -sib3- (not differenced).
- 7. Estimate the model with fixed effects using -xtreg, fe-, including the same controls. Why does Stata exclude the variables -black-, -hispanic-, and -male-? How would you interpret the coefficient on these variables in the model in first differences?
- 8. Why may we be worried about omitted variables bias also in the panel data models? (Hint: What is driving changes in family income?)

The Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) is a major US transfer program, that provides direct transfers to working families depending on their income, and the number of children. The following figure shows how the EITC changes the budget constraint:



While the EITC and other tax schedules do not generally vary with the child's age in any given year, they do sometimes change over time (that is: with the age of the child, a).

The following figure illustrates this for the 1986 and 1988 EITC in the US:



Total net family income is therefore given by

$$I_{ia} = P_{ia} + \chi_{ia} \left( P_{ia} \right) - \tau_{ia} \left( P_{ia} \right)$$

where  $P_{ia}$  is family income prior to taxes and transfers, and  $\chi_{ia}$  and  $\tau_{ia}$  are the EITC and tax schedules, respectively.

- 9. Explain why  $\Delta \chi_{ia}(P_{i,a-1}) = \chi_{ia}(P_{i,a-1}) \chi_{i,a-2}(P_{i,a-2})$  may be an instrument for  $I_{ia}$ . Do you think  $\Delta \chi_{ia} = \chi_{ia}(P_{i,a}) \chi_{i,a-2}(P_{i,a-2})$  would be a better or worse instrument for  $I_{ia}$ ?
- 10. In the data,  $\chi_{ia}(P_{i,a}) = \text{eitc}$  and  $\chi_{ia}(P_{i,a-1}) = \text{eitc\_sim}$ . Estimate the model in first differences using  $\Delta \chi_{ia}(P_{i,a-1})$  as an instrument.
- 11. Should we be worried about  $\Delta \chi_{ia}(P_{i,a-1})$  being a weak instrument?

We may be worried that also  $P_{i,a-1}$  is endogenous, since it may be associated with  $P_{i,a}$  by e.g. serially correlated shocks. By including in our IV-model flexible controls for  $P_{i,a-1}$ , we may more plausibly incorporate in our instrument only the changes in  $I_{ia}$  deriving from changes in EITC, and avoid incorporating general changes in family income.

- 12. Reestimate the IV-model in 10 above, including as control variables the dummy -laborpart- and a fifth-order polynomial in -faminc\_L1-. Compare the estimates to those you got above.
- 13. Using this final model, create a loop that estimates the model repeatedly, setting as the dependent variable one of the test score-variables: -mathread-, -math-, readingcomp-, and -readingrecog-.

## Data description:

The file -DahlLochner2012AER.dta- contains *biannual* data on school performance and family income in the years 1987–1999, in addition to a number of observable characteristics of the children and their families. Each child is observed at least twice and at most four times. The number of observations equals 7,280, covering 3,692 children. Because data are biannual, it will prove very useful to apply the -S2.- operator, see -help tsvarlist-. The file includes the following variables:

	Variable	Label
1	id	Id
2	year	Period
3	faminc	Family income (in \$1000, 2000-dollars)
4	eitc	EITC
5	$eitc\_sim$	EITC, simulated
6	math	Mathematics
7	readingrecog	Reading recognition
8	readingcomp	Reading comprehension
9	black	Black
10	hispanic	Hispanic
11	male	Male
12	age	Age
13	agemom	Mother's age
14	ed1age23	Mother high school dropout
15	ed2age23	Mother high school graduate
16	ed3age23	Mother attended college
17	ed4age23	Mother graduated college
18	afqt	Mother's AFQT-score (normalized
19	$afqt_{miss}$	Mother's AFQT-score missing
20	married	Married
21	spouseage	Father's age
22	$spouseage\_miss$	Father's age missing
23	famsize	No. of siblings
24	$famsize\_miss$	No. of siblings missing
25	sib1	One sibling
26	sib3	Two or more siblings
27	laborpart	Labor participation
28	$faminc_L1$	Family income, 1 year previous
29	$faminc_L2$	Family income, 2 years previous