## Problem Set - Panel Data

- 1. (Stock and Watson 2012, Ex 10.1) Consider the regression output in table 1 below.
  - (a) New Jersey has a population of 8.1 million people. Suppose that New Jersey increased the tax on a case of beer by \$1 (in 1988 dollars). Use the results in column (4) to predict the number of lives that would be saved over the next year. Construct a 95% confidence interval for your answer.
  - (b) The drinking age in New Jersey is 21. Suppose that New Jersey lowered its drinking age to 18. Use the results in column (4) to predict the change in the number of traffic fatalities in the next year. Construct a 95% confidence interval for your answer.
  - (c) Suppose that real income per capita in New Jersey increases by 1% in the next year. Use the results in column (4) to predict the change in the number of traffic fatalities in the next year. Construct a 90% confidence interval for your answer.
  - (d) Should time effects be included in the regression? Why or why not?
  - (e) A researcher conjectures that the unemployment rate has a different effect on traffic fatalities in the western states than in the other states. how would you test this hypothesis? (Be specific about the specification of the regression and the statistical test you would use.
- 2. In a random effects model, define the composite error  $u_{it} = a_i + e_{it}$ , where var  $(a_i) = \sigma_a$ ,  $a_i$  is uncorrelated with  $e_{it}$ , and the  $e_{it}$  have constant variance  $\sigma_e^2$  and are serially uncorrelated. Define  $v_{it} = u_{it} \lambda \overline{u}_i$ . Derive the value of  $\lambda$  for which the  $v_{it}$  are serially uncorrelated. Also show that for this value of  $\lambda$  the  $v_{it}$  have mean zero, and constant variance.
- 3. Wooldridge exercise 10.2
- 4. Wooldridge exercise 10.4
- 5. (C) use http://fmwww.bc.edu/ec-p/data/wooldridge/jtrain (see data description below). You will be estimating the impact of a job training grant received by firm i in year t (grant<sub>it</sub>) on hours of job training per employee ( $hrsemp_{it}$ ) using the following model

 $hrsemp_{it} = \beta_0 + \delta_1 d88 + \delta_2 d89 + \beta_1 grant_{it} + \beta_2 grant_{i,t-1} + \beta_3 \log(employ_{it}) + a_i + u_{it}$ 

(a) Check whether you have missing values for the variables you need in your estimation. You should drop these observations before you estimate your model.

Dependent variable: traffic fatality rate (deaths per 10,000).							
Regressor	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Beer tax	0.36** (0.05)	-0.66* (0.29)	-0.64 <sup>+</sup> (0.36)	~0.45 (0.30)	-0.69* (0.35)	-0.46 (0.31)	-0.93** (0.34)
Drinking age 18				0.028 (0.070)	-0.010 (0.083)		0.037 (0.102)
Drinking age 19				-0.018 (0.050)	-0.076 (0.068)		-0.065 (0.099)
Drinking age 20				0.032 (0.051)	-0.100 <sup>+</sup> (0.056)		-0.113 (0.125)
Drinking age						-0.002 (0.021)	
Mandatory jail or community service?				0.038 (0.103)	0.085 (0.112)	0.039 (0.103)	0.089 (0.164)
Average vehicle miles per driver				0.008 (0.007)	0.017 (0.011)	0.009 (0.007)	0.124 (0.049)
Unemployment rate				-0.063** (0.013)		-0.063** (0.013)	-0.091** (0.021)
Real income per capita (logarithm)				1.82** (0.64)		1.79** (0.64)	1.00 (0.68)
Years	1982-88	1982-88	1982-88	1982-88	1982-88	1982-88	1982 & 1988 only
State effects?	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Time effects?	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Clustered standard errors?	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
F-Statistics and p-Values Testin	ig Exclusio	n of Group	os <b>of Var</b> ia	bles			
Time effects = $0$	- <b>O</b>		4.22 (0.002)	10.12 (<0.001)	3.48 (0.006)	10.28 (< 0.001)	37.49 (< 0.001)
Drinking age coefficients = 0				0.35 (0.786)	1.41 (0.253)		0.42 (0.738)
Unemployment rate, income per capita = $0$				29.62 (< 0.001)		31.96 (< 0.001)	25.20 (< 0.001)
$\overline{R}^2$	0.091	0.889	0.891	0.926	0.893	0.926	0.899

statistically significant at the \*10%, \*5%, or \*\*1% significance level.

Table 1: The effect of drunk driving laws on traffic deaths.

- (b) Transform the variables in the regression to their demeaned values. Estimate the above equation using fixed effects by running an OLS regression on your transformed data. Check how many firms are used in the FE estimation using -codebook fcode if e(sample)-. How many total observations would be used if each firm had data on all variables (in particular, *hrsemp*) for all three years?
- (c) Which regressors are statistically significant?
- (d) Interpret the coefficient on grant?
- (e) Is  $grant_{i,t-1}$  insignificant? Is this surprising? Explain.
- (f) Do larger firms provide their employees with more or less training, on average? How big are the differences? (For example, if a firm has 10% more employees, what is the change in average hours of training?)
- (g) Estimate the equation in (a) using OLS and include firm specific dummies. Do you get the same results as in (b)–(c)?

Data description:

The file contains data on the scrap rate and worker training in Michigan manufacturing firms in the years 1987, 1988 and 1989. The number of observations equals 471 (157 firms \* 3 years). Besides that, the file includes the following variables:

Variable description:

- $1. \ {\rm year} \ 1987, \ 1988, \ {\rm or} \ 1989$
- 2. fcode firm code number
- 3. employ # employees at plant
- 4. sales annual sales, \$
- 5. avgsal average employee salary
- 6. scrap scrap rate (per 100 items)
- 7. rework rework rate (per 100 items)
- 8. tothrs total hours training

9. union =1 if unionized

10. grant =1 if received grant

11. d89 = 1 if year = 1989

```
12. d88 = 1 if year = 1988
```

- 13. totrain total employees trained
- 14. hr<br/>semp to<br/>thrs/to<br/>train
- 15. lscrap  $\log(\text{scrap})$
- 16. lemploy  $\log(\text{employ})$
- 17. l<br/>sales  $\log(\text{sales})$

```
18. lrework log(rework)
```

- 19. lhrsemp  $\log(1 + hrsemp)$
- 20. lscrap\_1 lagged lscrap; missing 1987
- 21. grant\_1 lagged grant; assumed 0 in 1987
- 22. clscrap lscrap lscrap\_1; year > 1987
- 23. cgrant grant grant\_1
- 24. clemploy lemploy lemploy[t-1]
- 25. clsales lavgsal lavgsal[t-1]
- 26. lavgsal log(avgsal)
- 27. clavgsal lavgsal lavgsal[t-1]
- 28. cgrant\_1 cgrant[t-1]
- 29. chrsemp hrsemp hrsemp[t-1]
- 30. clhrsemp lhrsemp lhrsemp[t-1]