University of Oslo / Department of Economics / NCF

ECON4140 Mathematics 3 – on the 2015–05–29 exam

- This note is *not* suited as a complete solution or as a template for an exam paper, it is too sketchy. It was written as guidance for the grading process however, with additional notes and remarks for using the document in teaching later.
- For readability, the problems are restated, their respective solutions on the same page.
- Weighting: assigned at the grading committee's discretion. (In case of appeals: the new grading committee assigns weighting at their discretion.) The problem set was written with the intention that a uniform weighting over letter-enumerated items should be a *feasible* choice, and this along with it being merely an *intention to facilitate* which does not tie the committe's hands has been communicated.

Problem 3 fits this page and the related problem 4 follows:

Problem 3 Let 0 < K < Q < 1 be constants and let G be a given function. Consider the differential equation system

$$\dot{x}(t) = p(t) + Q$$

$$\dot{p}(t) = Kx(t) - G(t)$$
(D)

- (a) Deduce a second-order differential equation for x, and find the general solution of this equation when $G \equiv 0$. (*Hint:* For which γ will $x(t) = e^{\gamma t}$ be a particular solution?)
- (b) Find the general solution of (D) for the case when $G(t) = Ke^t$.

On the solution of Problem 3

- (a) We have $\ddot{x}(t) = \dot{p}(t)$, so the equation is $\ddot{x}(t) = Kx(t) G(t)$. When G = 0 we have general solution $C_1 e^{t\sqrt{K}} + C_2 e^{-t\sqrt{K}}$ since K > 0.
- (b) For a particular solution for x, try Le^t and fit L: $Le^t = KLe^t Ke^t$, so that L = K/(K-1). This gives x; then $p = \dot{x} Q$:

$$x(t) = C_1 e^{t\sqrt{K}} + C_2 e^{-t\sqrt{K}} + \frac{K}{K-1} e^t$$
$$p(t) = \left(C_1 e^{t\sqrt{K}} - C_2 e^{-t\sqrt{K}}\right)\sqrt{K} + \frac{K}{K-1} e^t - Q$$

Problem 4 Let 0 < K < Q < 1 be constants, and consider the optimal control problem

$$\max_{u(t)\in\mathbf{R}} \int_0^{11} \left\{ -\frac{K}{2} \cdot \left[x(t) - e^t \right]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left[u(t) \right]^2 \right\} dt, \qquad \dot{x} = u + Q, \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad x(11) \text{ free.}$$

- (a) i) State the conditions from the maximum principle.ii) Are these conditions also sufficient?
- (b) Show that in optimum, x and the adjoint (costate) p must satisfy the differential equation system (D) in problem 3, with $G(t) = Ke^t$.
- (c) Suppose that for some set of parameters the optimal solution ends at $x(11) = 11e^{11}$. Approximately how much would the optimal *value* change if the final time were reduced from 11 to 10.9?

On the solution of Problem 4:

- (a) Let $H(t, x, u, p) = -\frac{K}{2}(x e^t)^2 \frac{1}{2}u^2 + p(u + Q)$. For (x^*, u^*) to be optimal, there must be some p = p(t) satisfying the following conditions:
 - u^* maximizes H over $u \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. maximizes $pu \frac{1}{2}u^2$;
 - $\dot{p}(t) = K(x^*(t) e^t)$ with p(11) = 0
 - $\dot{x}^* = u^* + Q$ with $x(0) = x_0$.

H is concave wrt. (x, u) (being a concave function wrt. x plus a concave wrt. u), so the conditions are sufficient.

- (b) To satisfy the conditions, the optimal control is p, so that x satisfies (D); also, the equation for \dot{p} is like in (D).
- (c) The derivative wrt. final time is $H(11, x^*(11), u^*(11), p(11)) = -\frac{K}{2}(11e^{11}-e^{11})^2-0+0$, and a change of -1/10 yields a value change of $\approx \frac{K}{20}(10e^{11})^2 = 5Ke^{22}$.

Problem 1 Define for each $h \in \mathbf{R}$ the following matrices

$$\mathbf{A}_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} 5-h & 3\\ 3 & 4-h\\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b}_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 5-h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} 5-h & 3 & 2\\ 3 & 4-h & 3\\ 2 & 3 & 5-h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{C}_{0}$$

(where \mathbf{C}_0 denotes \mathbf{C}_h with h = 0). Observe that $\mathbf{C}_h = \mathbf{M} - h\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{A}_h | \mathbf{b}_h)$.

- (a) $\mathbf{u} = (1, -2, 1)'$ is an eigenvector of **M**. Find a corresponding eigenvalue λ_1 . (You shall obtain that $0 < \lambda_1 < 3$.)
- (b) $\lambda_2 = 3$ is an eigenvalue of **M**. Find a corresponding eigenvector **v**. (You shall obtain an answer such that $v_1v_3 < 0$.)
- (c) It is a fact that M has an eigenvector w with all coordinates nonnegative. Show why this fact together with parts (a) and (b) imply that M must be positive definite. (You are required to use precisely these pieces of information; you will not be rewarded for using other calculations.)
- (d) Show that \mathbf{A}_h has rank 2 no matter what h is.
- (e) Decide whether the following statement is true or false: "The equation system $\mathbf{A}_h \begin{pmatrix} p \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b}_h$ has a solution $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if h is an eigenvalue for \mathbf{M} ."

On the solution of Problem 1

- (a) Calculate **Mu** to get **u**, so that $\lambda_1 = 1$.
- (b) The first and last row of C₃ are the same (delete one), while the top-left 2 × 2 minor is nonzero. Subtract 3/2 of the first row from the second to get that v₂ = 0. Then v₁+v₃ = 0, so v = (1, 0, -1)' (or any nonzero scaling) is an eigenvector corresponding to λ₂ = 3.
- (c) From parts (a) and (b), \mathbf{w} is indeed a *third* eigenvector, and since λ_1 and λ_2 are > 0, we have \mathbf{M} positive definite iff the third eigenvalue is positive too. Which it is: Because each element of $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{w}$ is the sum of nonnegative numbers not all zero, because \mathbf{M} isn't null and \mathbf{w} is an eigenvector and cannot be null the eigenvalue cannot be ≤ 0 .
- (d) The bottom 2×2 minor is nonzero except when 8 2h = 9 i.e. h = -1/2. For h = -1/2, some other 2×2 minor is nonzero: $\begin{vmatrix} 5-h & 3\\ 2 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 9 3h$ is > 0 for h = -1/2 (and the last 2×2 minor is nonzero too).
- (e) True: there is solution iff \mathbf{C}_h and \mathbf{A}_h have same rank, and since \mathbf{A}_h is a block in \mathbf{C}_h , then rank $(\mathbf{C}_h) \ge \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}_h) = 2$. Thus the ranks match iff rank $(\mathbf{C}_h) < 3$ i.e. iff $0 = |\mathbf{C}_h| = |\mathbf{M} h\mathbf{I}|$ i.e. iff h is an eigenvalue for \mathbf{M} .

Problem 2 Given constants $r \ge 0$, s > 0 and t > 0, a vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $1 = m_1 \ge m_2 \ge \ldots m_n \ge 0$, and for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ the functions

 $g(\mathbf{x}) = |x_1| + \ldots + |x_n|, \qquad F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{m}'\mathbf{x} - sg(\mathbf{x}) + (s-1)t, \qquad H(\mathbf{x}) = F(\mathbf{x}) - r\max_i |x_i|$

(where $\max_i |x_i|$ means the greatest of the *n* numbers $|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|$).

(a) i) Show that H is concave for every r ≥ 0, s > 0.
ii) Consider part (b) below. Explain why the existence of such an s as asked for in part (b), will show that x* = (t, 0, ..., 0)' solves the nonlinear programming problem

 $\max_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{m'x} \quad \text{subject to} \quad g(\mathbf{x}) \le t$

(b) Find an $s \in [0, 1]$ such that **0** is a supergradient for F at $\mathbf{x}^* = (t, 0, ..., 0)'$. *Hint:* Explain why it suffices to show that F attains a (local or global) maximum at \mathbf{x}^* , and then show that this happens for some $s \ge 0$. You shall get that $m_n \le s \le m_1$ and also that s does not depend on t (if you need to, check the case t = 1 first).

On the solution of Problem 2

- (a) i): the absolute value is a convex function, the max of convexes is convex, and -r ≤ 0. The linear and constant terms do not affect concavity/convexity, and since s > 0 it suffices to show g convex and it is a sum of convexes.
 ii): F is the Lagrangian^(*) of the problem, with s being the multiplier. Part (b) then restates the sufficient condition for the concave programming problem to have a solution at x* (where the constraint is active, so any s ≥ 0 will do we need not have s ≤ 1, but it is certainly sufficient).
- (b) For a local max, **0** is a supergradient. We have $F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} (m_i x_i s |x_i|)$ plus a constant, and it suffices to find an *s* such that \mathbf{x}^* (locally) maximizes. We can consider each coordinate x_i separately: Any $s \ge m_2$ will make 0 maximize $m_i z s |z|$ for $i \ge 2$, while $s = m_1 = 1$ makes $m_1 z s |z|$ identically zero for $z \ge 0$ hence z = t is a local max.

^(*) Note added 2016: The Lagrangian is actually F+t, where t is a constant and does not change any conditions.