

Lecture 2

The "maximal number of linearly independent vectors in S ":

k if there exists a linearly independent set of k vectors from S , but no such set of $k+1$.

($k=0$ if $S = \{\vec{0}\}$)

Def. The rank $r(\vec{A})$ of a matrix \vec{A} , is the maximal number of linearly independent column vectors of \vec{A} .

Fact: equals the max # of lin. indep row vectors of \vec{A}

and equals the "order" \leftarrow is if the minor is $k \times k$ of the largest nonzero minor of \vec{A}

(with $r(\vec{0}) = 0$.)

The first fact follows from the second, which indicates how to calculate.

An $m \times n$ matrix has rank $\leq \min\{m, n\}$.

If the rank equals $\min\{m, n\}$: "full rank".

(Otherwise: "rank-deficient".)

(If you ever see e.g. "full row rank",
it means $r(\vec{A}) = m \leq n$)

Example 1: $\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ has rank equal
to 2.

< 3 because the only 3×3 minor
(namely $|\vec{A}|$) is zero

≥ 2 because $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$. (for " ≥ 2 " it
suffices that one 2×2 minor is $\neq 0$)

Example 2: $\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 21 & 31 & \dots & 91 \\ 12 & 22 & 32 & \dots & 92 \\ 13 & 23 & 33 & \dots & 93 \\ 14 & 24 & 34 & \dots & 94 \end{pmatrix}$

has rank two as well.

Err... you don't want to calculate all 4×4
and all 3×3 minors to find out...?

Rank and linear eq. systems $\vec{A} \vec{x} = \vec{b}$

(Important!) fact: we have the equivalence

$$\vec{A} \vec{x} = \vec{b} \text{ has solution}$$

if and only if

$$r(\vec{A}) = r(\vec{A} | \vec{b})$$

the augmented coeff. matrix.

In II and III, assume $\vec{b} = \vec{b}$ is a vector.

Assume $r(\vec{A}) = r(\vec{A} | \vec{b}) = r$
so a solution exists.

Let \vec{A} be $m \times n$. Then

- * there are $n - r$ degrees of freedom
- * there are $m - r$ superfluous eq's.

But there is more. Assume we do have
solution, $r(\vec{A}) = r(\vec{A} | \vec{b}) = r$.

III • Let an $r \times r$ submatrix \vec{M} of \vec{A} have $|\vec{M}| \neq 0$.
(that is, $|\vec{M}|$ is one $r \times r$ minor).

- Mark off the elements of \vec{M} in \vec{A} . Then:
 - the "other" rows (not intersecting \vec{M}) can be deleted
 - the "other" col's correspond to x_i : we can choose freely.

Why (I)? You don't need proof, but you should catch what goes on:

- $\vec{A}\vec{x} = x_1 \vec{a}^{(1)} + \dots + x_n \vec{a}^{(n)}$
R columns of \vec{A}
 - If some $\vec{a}^{(i)}$ can be written as linear combination of the others, then do that [eliminating a degree of freedom, if there is solution!]
 Repeat until r linearly indep. col's remain.
 - If $\sum x_i \vec{a}^{(i)} = \vec{b}$ then \vec{b} can be written as a linear combination.
 [Fancy math speak: $\vec{b} \in$ the span of the col's]
- So augmenting with \vec{b} cannot increase the # of lin. indep. vectors, if there is a sol'n; conversely, if it does not do so, we do have a solution.
- Solution $\Leftrightarrow \vec{A}$ and $(\vec{A}:\vec{b})$ have same # of lin. indep. col's \Leftrightarrow same rank.
 - For $\vec{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, this must hold for \vec{A} vs $(\vec{A}:\vec{b}_i)$, every column \vec{b}_i of \vec{B} .

Why (II) and (III)?

Loosely:

- * # of lin. indep rows = # lin. indep col's.
- rows - i.e. eq's - that can be written in terms of the others, can be deleted.
- An $r \times r$ minor has the largest possible number of "lin. indep left-hand sides" and thus also "right-hand sides" since we assume we have solution!

Delete these superfluous eq's.

- Left with r eq's in n unknowns.
- If after "moving $n-r$ var's to the RHS" we have an invertible eq. system, then no matter how we choose those $n-r$, we have unique values for the rest.

Picture: If $r(\vec{A}) = r(\vec{A} : \vec{b})$: and, e.g.

$$\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \odot & \cdot & \cdot & \odot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \odot & \cdot & \cdot & \odot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

where the circled elements form a largest nonzero minor, then we have 4 deg's of freedom

and

• we can delete eq #2

• we can choose x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6 freely.

Example: Consider
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & -5 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 & p & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ q \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

For each p, q , decide the number of solutions / degrees of freedom. [Can there possibly be unique sol'n?]

• $r(\vec{A}) \geq 2$ (e.g., $\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$.)

• $\vec{a}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{7} (\vec{a}^{(1)} + \vec{a}^{(2)})$ so

$$r(\vec{A}) = r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 & 2 \\ -2 & -5 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{for } p \neq -2 \\ 2 & \text{for } p = -2 \end{cases}$$

has determinant $7p + 14$

Solution with 1 df. (x_4 can be free) for $p \neq -2$.

Let $p = -2$ $r(\vec{A}; \vec{b}) = r(\vec{a}^{(1)}; \vec{a}^{(2)}; \vec{b})$ (WHY?)

$$= r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ -2 & -5 & q \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} + \\ - \\ \leftarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \\ \\ -2 \end{matrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ -2 & -5 & q \\ 0 & -13 & q \end{pmatrix}$$

determinant $7q + 13(q+2) = 20q + 26$.

So for $p = -2, q \neq -\frac{13}{10}$: no solution

For $p = -2, q = -\frac{13}{10}$: $r(\vec{A}) = r(\vec{A}; \vec{b}) = 2$

and solution with two degrees of freedom (e.g. x_3 and x_4)

Example: Does $\begin{pmatrix} 9 & 41 \\ 8 & 42 \\ 7 & 43 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 49 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ have

no, one or infinitely many solutions?
if so: only one degree of freedom!
(why?)

Non-prop col's, so $r(\vec{A}) = 2$. (What can we see already?)

$$r(\vec{A} | \vec{b}) = r \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 41 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 49 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2$$

+1 \uparrow
second col becomes
so third

So the eq. system is \Leftrightarrow to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 9 & 41 \\ 8 & 42 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ unique sol'n.}$$