

## Dynamic optimization, continuous time

No longer "find the best point on a graph";

this is "find the best function".

Typical problem to consider:

consumption - saving trade-off.

State =  $x(t)$ . Consuming increases direct utility now,  
but reduces  $\dot{x}$  and thus the potential  
for future consumption.

Tools on curriculum:

1696-1750s tool

\* The Euler equation of calculus of variations

→ you control  $\dot{x}$ ,

→ a F.O.C. leads to a 2<sup>nd</sup> order diff. eq.

\* Pontryagin's maximum principle (1956 ft. tool)

→  $\dot{x} = g(t, x, u)$ , you control  $u$ , could be restricted  
(can have boundary optimum)

→ weight  $p$  on future: direct utility +  $p g \dots$

→ leads to a system  $\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \text{transformation of } (t, x, p)$

Recall: 2<sup>nd</sup> order diff. eq. in  $\mathbb{R}^1$



1<sup>st</sup> order system in  $\mathbb{R}^2$

→ More general.

## Calculus of variations & the Euler equation

Problem:  $\max/\min \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) dt$ ,  $x(t_0) = x_0$   
 $x(t_1) = x_1$

where  $t_0, t_1, x_0, x_1$  are given, and

We maximize over all  $C^1$  functions  $x$

starting at  $x(t_0) = x_0$ , ending at  $x(t_1) = x_1$ .

(or maybe just piecewise)

Notes on notation:

→ Book uses  $F$  for running utility in this chapter,  $f$  in the next.

→  $F$  "must" depend on  $\dot{x}$ , otherwise there is no dynamic trade-off.

Use  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}}$  for partial derivative w.r.t 3<sup>rd</sup> variable

Alternatively:  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}$ , ( $u = \dot{x}$ ) or  $F_3$ .

There are tools for cases " $x(t_0)$  free" or " $x_1$ ",

but we cover those under the maximum principle.

The Euler equation for the problem:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = 0$$

total derivative, note that  $x = x(t)$ ,  
 $\dot{x} = \dot{x}(t)$ .

(The Euler eq. is 2<sup>nd</sup> order if  $F''_{32} \neq 0$ :

$$F''_{33} \ddot{x} + F''_{32} \dot{x} + F'_{31} - F'_2 = 0$$

(harder to remember!)

Fact: Let  $F \in C^2$ .

Necessary cond's: The Euler eq &  $x^*(t_0) = x_0$   
 $x^*(t_1) = x_1$ .

Sufficient: The necessary & in addition

$(x, \dot{x}) \rightarrow F$  concave, each  $t \in (t_0, t_1)$  for max

$(x, \dot{x}) \rightarrow F$  convex ———— for min.

Some special cases:

•  $F$  has no  $x$ -dependence:  $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} = C$

•  $F$  has no  $t$ -dependence:  $F - \dot{x} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} = C$  (will not show this)

First order! "First integral".

Example (bode):  $\min \int_0^T (x^2 + c\dot{x}^2) dt$ ,  $c > 0$  constant  
 $x(0) = x_0$  given  
 $x(T) = 0$ .  
 notation: means " $(x(t))^2 + c(\dot{x}(t))^2$ ".  
 convex in  $(x, \dot{x})$

\* Euler eq:

$$0 = \underbrace{2x}_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \underbrace{(2c\dot{x})}_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}}} = 2x - 2c\ddot{x}$$

yields  $\ddot{x} - \frac{1}{c}x = 0$   $r^2 - \frac{1}{c} = 0$ . Put  $\beta = c^{-1/2}$

General sol'n  $A e^{\beta t} + B e^{-\beta t}$

Fit constants:  $A + B = x_0$

$$A e^{\beta T} + B e^{-\beta T} = 0 \quad [ \dots ]$$

yields  $x^*(t) = x_0 \frac{e^{-\beta(T-t)} - e^{\beta(T-t)}}{e^{\beta T} - e^{-\beta T}}$

\* "alt.": By first integral:  $Q = F - \dot{x} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}}$   
 $= x^2 + c\dot{x}^2 - \dot{x} \cdot 2c\dot{x}$   
 $= x^2 - c\dot{x}^2$   
 $\dot{x} = c^{-1/2} \sqrt{x^2 - Q}$  not easy!  
 Check Wolfram Alpha for the solution, try to reverse-engineer by differentiating and integrate with the steps done backwards; still not easy!

Example:

The arc length of a curve:  $\int \sqrt{(\dot{x})^2 + (\dot{y})^2}$  (by Pythagoras) =  $\int \sqrt{1 + (\dot{x})^2} dt$ .

$$\text{min/max } \int_0^T \sqrt{1 + \dot{x}^2} dt, \quad \begin{array}{l} x(0) = x_0 \\ x(T) = x_T \end{array}$$

Euler Eq:  $0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} = 0 - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} \sqrt{1 + \dot{x}^2}$

so  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} \sqrt{1 + \dot{x}^2} = C$

$$\sqrt{1 + \dot{x}^2} = C \dot{x} + D$$

$\dot{x}$  depends only on constants  $\rightarrow \dot{x}$  is a constant.

$x =$  straight line. Surprised?

Application: Ramsey's consumption/savings problem

$$Y = f(k) \quad (f' > 0 \geq f'')$$

↑ output      ↑ Capital  
                     ↓ consumption      ↑ investment

$$Y(t) = c(t) + \dot{k}(t) \quad C = f(k) - \dot{k}$$

• utility  $U(c)$  from consumption,  $U' > 0 \geq U''$ . Problem?  
     ↓ discounted,  $r \geq 0$

$$\max \int_0^T \underbrace{U(f(k) - \dot{k}) e^{-rt}}_{\text{concave in } (k, \dot{k}) \text{ (why?)}} dt \quad \text{s.t. } \left. \begin{array}{l} k(0) = k_0 \\ k(T) = k_T \end{array} \right\} \text{ given.}$$

State =  $k$ .

Euler eq.:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \underbrace{U'(c) f'(k)}_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial k}} e^{-rt} - \frac{d}{dt} (U'(c) \cdot (-1) e^{-rt}) \\ &= U'(c) f'(k) e^{-rt} + U''(c) \dot{c} e^{-rt} - r U'(c) e^{-rt} \\ 0 &= U'(c) \cdot (f'(k) - r) + \dot{c} U''(c). \end{aligned}$$

often written:

$$\frac{\dot{c}}{c} = \underbrace{(r - f'(k))}_{\text{elast. of mg utility, } < 0} / \underbrace{E_{l_c} U'(c)}$$

Consumption increases as long as  $f'(k) - r > 0$ ,  
 i.e. mg. prod. of capital > discount rate.

Can insert  $\dot{c} = f'(k) \dot{k} - \ddot{k}$  .....

If true: Why the Euler eq.?

Consider a path  $x = x(t)$ . Modify it:  $x + \alpha \mu$ .  
(the "variation" in "calculus of variations")

$\downarrow$  constant  
 $\uparrow$  function

Get: 
$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(t, x + \alpha \mu, \dot{x} + \alpha \dot{\mu}) dt$$

If  $x = x^*$  is optimal, the "best" variation is 0.

So take  $\frac{d}{d\alpha}$  and insert  $\alpha = 0$ ; that must yield 0.

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \mu + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} \dot{\mu} \right) dt = 0 \quad \text{when } x = x^*.$$

Trick: integrate by parts to "turn  $\dot{\mu}$  into  $\mu$ ":

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} \dot{\mu} dt = \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} \mu \right|_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mu \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} dt$$

Since  $x^* + \alpha \mu = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{at } t_0 \\ x_1 & \text{at } t_1 \end{cases}$ ,  
 $\mu(t_0) = \mu(t_1) = 0$ .

So 
$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mu(t) \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) dt = 0 \quad \text{when } x = x^*$$

to hold for all  $\mu$  with  $\mu(t_0) = \mu(t_1) = 0$ .

But: Make sure that  $\mu$  has same sign as  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}}$

Then the latter must be 0 to get 0.