# ECON4150 - Introductory Econometrics

# Lecture 15: Introduction to time series

Monique de Haan (moniqued@econ.uio.no)

Stock and Watson Chapter 14.1-14.6

- What is time series data
- Estimating a causal effect vs forecasting
- · Lags, first differences and growth rates
- Autocorrelation
- Autoregressions
- Auto regressive distributed lag model
- Nonstationarity: stochastic trends
  - · random walk with and without drift
  - testing for stochastic trends (Dickey-Fuller test)

Cross section data is data collected for multiple entities at one point in time

Panel data is data collected for multiple entities at multiple points in time

Time series data is data collected for a single entity at multiple points in time

- Yearly GDP of Norway for a period of 20 years
- Daily NOK/Euro exchange rate for past year
- Quarterly data on the inflation & unemployment rate in the U.S from 1957-2005

Quarterly time series data on inflation for the U.S. from 1957 to 2005



Quarterly time series data on unemployment for the U.S. from 1957-2005



# What is time series data?

#### Quarterly data on inflation & unemployment in the U.S. 2000-2005:

File	e Edit	View Da	ta Tools		
			3 7 🚼 😤	(in) <del>-</del>	
		time[19	99]		
0		time	inflation	unemployme~e	
Sn	173	2000q1	3.9386022	4.033333	
aps	174	2000q2	3.1231739	3.933333	
hots	175	2000q3	3.6388498	4	
-	176	2000q4	2.8415304	3.9	
	177	2001q1	3.8080787	4.233333	
	178	2001q2	3.0958201	4.4	
	179	2001q3	.90159878	4.833333	
	180	2001q4	52568275	5.533333	
	181	2002q1	1.4252196	5.7	=
	182	2002q2	3.2068807	5.833333	
	183	2002q3	2.0744651	5.733333	
	184	2002q4	2.0637621	5.866667	
	185	2003q1	4.0958529	5.833333	
	186	2003q2	.36364725	6.133333	
	187	2003q3	2.1751006	6.133333	
	188	2003q4	.86675908	5.866667	
	189	2004q1	3.8057824	5.666667	
	190	2004q2	4.3359083	5.566667	
	191	2004q3	1.6227116	5.433333	
	192	2004q4	3.5051154	5.433333	
	193	2005q1	2.3660429	5.266667	

- A particular observation Y<sub>t</sub> indexed by subscript t
- Total number of observations equals T
- *Y<sub>t</sub>* is current value and value in previous period is *Y<sub>t-1</sub>* (first lag)
- In general  $Y_{t-j}$  is called *j*th lag and similarly,  $Y_{t+j}$  is the *j*th future value
- The first difference Y<sub>t</sub> − Y<sub>t−1</sub> is the change in Y from period t − 1 to period t
- · Time series regression models can be used for
  - (1) estimating (dynamic) causal effects;
  - (2) forecasting

# Estimating (dynamic) causal effect vs forecasting

- Time series data is often used for forecasting
  - For example next year's economic growth is forecasted based on past and current values of growth & other (lagged) explanatory variables
- Forecasting is quite different from estimating causal effects and is generally based on different assumptions.
- Models that are useful for forecasting need not have a causal interpretation!
  - OLS coefficients need not be unbiased & consistent
- Measures of fit, such as the (adjusted) R<sup>2</sup> or the SER
  - are not very informative when estimating causal effects
  - are informative about the quality of a forecasting model

• Suppose that *Y<sub>t</sub>* is some time series, then the rate of growth of *Y* is

$$\frac{Y_t - Y_{t-1}}{Y_{t-1}} = \frac{\bigtriangleup Y_t}{Y_{t-1}}$$

• instead, we often use the "logarithmic growth" or "log-difference":

$$\Delta ln Y_{t} = ln(Y_{t}) - ln(Y_{t-1})$$

$$= ln\left(\frac{Y_{t}}{Y_{t-1}}\right) = ln\left(\frac{Y_{t}}{Y_{t-1}} + \frac{Y_{t-1}}{Y_{t-1}} - \frac{Y_{t-1}}{Y_{t-1}}\right)$$

$$= ln\left(1 + \frac{Y_{t} - Y_{t-1}}{Y_{t-1}}\right) \approx \frac{\Delta Y_{t}}{Y_{t-1}}$$

see also formula (8.16) of S&W

Annualized rate of inflation:

*inflation*<sub>t</sub> 
$$\cong$$
 100  $\times$  4  $\times$  (*In*(*Y*<sub>t</sub>) – *In*(*Y*<sub>t-1</sub>))

	tsset time
Stata:	gen ln_CPI=ln(CPI)
Siala.	gen ln_CPI_1stlag=ln(L1.CPI)
	gen inflation=400*( ln(CPI) - ln(L1.CPI) )

time	CPI	In_CPI	In_CPI_1stlag	inflation
t	Y <sub>t</sub>	$ln(Y_t)$	$ln(Y_{t-1})$	$400\cdot(\ln(Y_t)-\ln(Y_{t-1}))$
1957q1	27.77667	3.3241963		
1957q2	28.01333	3.3326806	3.3241963	3.3937128
1957q3	28.26333	3.3415654	3.3326806	3.553894
1957q4	28.4	3.3463891	3.3415654	1.9295103
1958q1	28.73667	3.3581739	3.3463891	4.7138908
1958q2	28.93	3.3648791	3.3581739	2.6821083
1958q3	28.91333	3.3643029	3.3648791	-0.23050418
1958q4	28.94333	3.3653399	3.3643029	0.41480139

#### Autocorrelation

In time series data,  $Y_t$  is typically correlated with  $Y_{t-j}$ , this is called **autocorrelation** or **serial correlation** 

• The  $j^{th}$  autocovariance= $Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-j})$  can be estimated by

$$\widehat{Cov(Y_{t},Y_{t-j})} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=j+1}^{T} \left( Y_{t} - \overline{Y}_{j+1,T} \right) \left( Y_{t-j} - \overline{Y}_{1,T-j} \right)$$

 $\overline{Y}_{j+1,T}$  is the sample average of Y computed over observations t = j + 1, ..., T $\overline{Y}_{1,T-j}$  is the sample average of Y computed over observations t = 1, ..., T - j

• The *j*<sup>th</sup>autocorrelation=  $\rho_j = \frac{Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-j})}{Var(Y_t)}$  can be estimated by

$$\widehat{\rho}_{j} = \frac{\widehat{Cov(Y_{t}, Y_{t-j})}}{\widehat{Var(Y_{t})}}$$

- *j* start-up observations are lost in constructing these sample statistics
- denominator of j<sup>th</sup>autocorrelation assumes stationarity of Y<sub>t</sub>, which implies (among other things) Var (Y<sub>t</sub>) = Var (Y<sub>t-j</sub>)

#### First 4 autocorrelations of inflation (*inf<sub>t</sub>*):

. corrgram inflation if tin(1960q1,2004q4), noplot lags(4)

LAG	AC	PAC	Q 1	Prob>Q	
1	0.8359	0.8361	127.89	0.0000	
2	0.7575	0.1937	233.49	0.0000	
3	0.7598	0.3206	340.34	0.0000	
4	0.6699	-0.1881	423.87	0.0000	

#### First 4 autocorrelations of the change in inflation ( $\triangle inf_t = inf_t - inf_{t-1}$ ):

. corrgram D.inflation if tin(1960q1,2004q4), noplot lags(4)

LAG	AC	PAC	Q	Prob>Q
1	-0.2618	-0.2636	12.54	18 0.0004
2	-0.2549	-0.3497	24.50	0.0000
3	0.2938	0.1461	40.48	31 0.0000
4	-0.0605	-0.0220	41.16	52 0.0000

- The denominator of *j*<sup>th</sup>autocorrelation assumes stationarity of *Y*<sub>t</sub>
- A time series *Y<sub>t</sub>* is stationary if its probability distribution does not change over time,
  - when the joint distribution of (Y<sub>s+1</sub>,..., Y<sub>S+T</sub>) does not depend on s
- Stationarity implies that  $Y_1$  has the same distribution as  $Y_t$  for any t = 1, 2, ...
- In other words, {*Y*<sub>1</sub>, *Y*<sub>2</sub>, ..., *Y*<sub>*T*</sub>} are identically distributed, however, they are not necessarily independent!
- If a series is nonstationary, then convential hypothesis tests, confidence intervals and forecasts can be unreliable.
- Stationarity says that history is relevant, it is a key requirement for external validity of time series regression.

## First order autoregressive model: AR(1)

- Suppose we want to forecast the change in inflation from this quarter to the next
- When predicting the future of a time series a good place start is in the immediate past.
- The first order autoregressive model (AR(1))

 $Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + u_t$ 

• Forecast in next period based on AR(1) model:

$$\widehat{Y}_{T+1|T} = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 Y_T$$

· Forecast error is the mistake made by the forecast

Forecast error = 
$$Y_{T+1} - \hat{Y}_{T+1|T}$$

# Forecast vs predicted value & forecast error vs residual

- A forecast is not the same as a predicted value
- A forecast error is not the same as a residual

OLS predicted values  $\widehat{Y}_t$  and residuals  $\widehat{u}_t = Y_t - \widehat{Y}_t$  for  $t \leq T$  are "in-sample":

- They are calculated for the observations in the sample used to estimate the regression.
  - *Y<sub>t</sub>* is observed in the data set used to estimate the regression.

A forecast  $\widehat{Y}_{T+j|T}$  and forecast error  $Y_{T+j} - \widehat{Y}_{T+j|T}$  for  $j \ge 1$  are "out-of-sample":

- They are calculated for some date beyond the data set used to estimate the regression.
  - *Y*<sub>*T+j*</sub> is not observed in the data set used to estimate the regression.

#### First order autoregressive model: AR(1)

 $\triangle$ *inflation*<sub>t</sub> =  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \triangle$ *inflation*<sub>t-1</sub> +  $u_t$ 

> gen d\_inflation=D1.inflation
(2 missing values generated)

1 . regress d\_inflation L1.d\_inflation if tin(1962q1,2004q4), r

Linear regress	ion			Nu	mber of obs = F( 1, 170) Prob > F R-squared Root MSE	$ \begin{array}{rcrr} 172 \\ = & 6.08 \\ = & 0.0146 \\ = & 0.0564 \\ = & 1.664 \end{array} $
d_inflation	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. I	nterval]
d_inflation L1.	2380471	.0965017	-2.47	0.015	4285431	047551
cons	.0171008	.1268849	0.13	0.893	2333721	.2675736

2 . dis "Adjusted Rsquared = " \_result(8)
Adjusted Rsquared = .05082857

• 
$$\triangle inf_{2005q1|2004q4} = 0.017 - 0.238 \cdot \triangle inf_{2004q4} = -0.43$$

• Forecast error =  $\triangle inf_{2005q1} - \widehat{\triangle inf}_{2005q1|2004q4} = -1.14 - (-0.43) = -0.71$ 

#### Root mean squared forecast error

- Forecasts are uncertain and the Root Mean Squared Forecast Error (RMSFE) is a measure of forecast uncertainty.
- The RMSFE is a measure of the spread of the forecast error distribution.

$$\textit{RMSFE} = \sqrt{\textit{E}\left[\left(\textit{Y}_{\textit{T}+1} - \widehat{\textit{Y}}_{\textit{T}+1|\textit{T}}\right)^2\right]}$$

The RMSFE has two sources of error:



**2** The error in estimating the coefficients  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ 

- If the sample size is large the first source of error will be larger than the second and  $RMSFE \approx \sqrt{Var(u_t)}$
- $\sqrt{Var(u_t)}$  can be estimated by the  $SER = \frac{1}{T-2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{u}_t^2$ .

The pth order autoregressive model (AR(p)) is

$$Y_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} Y_{t-1} + \beta_{2} Y_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_{p} Y_{t-p} + u_{t}$$

- The AR(p) model uses p lags of Y as regressors
- The number of lags *p* is called the order or lag length of the autoregression.
- The coefficients generally do not have a causal interpretation.
- We can use t- or F-tests to determine the lag order p
- Or we can determine *p* using an "information criterion" (more on this later...)



- > regress d\_inflation L1.d\_inflation L2.d\_inflation L3.d\_inflation L4.d\_inflation if
- > tin(1962q1,2004q4), r
- Linear regression

Number of obs =		172
F( 4, 167)	=	7.93
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.2038
Root MSE	=	1.5421

d_inflation	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. In	nterval]
d_inflation L1.	2579426	.0925934	-2.79	0.006	4407471	0751381
L2.	3220312	.0805465	-4.00	0.000	4810518	1630106
L3. L4.	0302511	.0930471	-0.33	0.746	2139512	.153449
_cons	.0224294	.1176344	0.19	0.849	2098127	.2546715

. dis "Adjusted Rsquared = " \_result(8)
Adjusted Rsquared = .18475367

• Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> is higher and the RMSE is lower in AR(4) than in AR(1)

• 
$$\widehat{\bigtriangleup inf}_{2005q1|2004q4} \cong 0.41$$

• Forecast error =  $\triangle inf_{2005q1} - \widehat{\triangle inf}_{2005q1|2004q4} = -1.14 - (0.4) = -1.55$ 

Is the AR(4) model better that the AR(1) model?

. test L2.d\_inflation=L3.d\_inflation=L4.d\_inflation=0

```
( 1) L2.d_inflation - L3.d_inflation = 0
( 2) L2.d_inflation - L4.d_inflation = 0
( 3) L2.d_inflation = 0
F( 3, 167) = 6.71
Prob > F = 0.0003
```

How should we choose the lag length p?

- One approach is to start with a model with many lags and to perform a hypothesis test on the final lag
- Delete the final lag if insignificant and perform an hypothesis test on the new final lag,..., continue until all included lags are significant.
- Drawback of this approach is that it can produce too large a model
  - at a 5% significance level: if the true lag length is 5 it will estimate p to be 6 in 5% of the time.

Alternative way to determine the lag length p is to minimize one of the following information criteria:

Bayes information criterion (BIC):

$$BIC(p) = ln\left[\frac{SSR(p)}{T}\right] + (p+1)\frac{ln(T)}{T}$$

Akaike information criterion (AIC):

$$AIC(p) = In\left[\frac{SSR(p)}{T}\right] + (p+1)\frac{2}{T}$$

- SSR(p) is  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{u}_t^2$  in an AR(p) model
- T is the number of time periods
- In order to compare the BIC (or AIC) for different *p*,...
- ....all autoregressions with different lag lengths p should be based on the same number of observations T!

The BIC and AIC both consist of two terms:

- 1st term  $ln\left[\frac{SSR(p)}{T}\right]$ : always decreasing in p
  - larger p, better fit
- 2nd term  $(p+1)\frac{ln(T)}{T}$  (BIC) or  $(p+1)\frac{2}{T}$  (AIC): always increasing in p.
  - This term is a "penalty" for estimating more parameters and thus increasing the RMSFE.

AIC estimates more lags (larger p) than the BIC for T > 7.4,

• the penalty term is smaller for AIC than BIC

In large samples the AIC overestimates *p*, it is inconsistent.

1 . regress d\_inflation L1.d\_inflation if tin(1962q1,2004q4), r

Linear regress	ion	Number of obs =		172	
		F( 1, 170)	=	6.08	
		Prob > F	=	0.0146	
		R-squared	=	0.0564	
		Root MSE	=	1.664	
	Robust				

d_inflation	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	₽> t	[95% Conf. In	iterval]
d_inflation L1.	2380471	.0965017	-2.47	0.015	4285431	047551
_cons	.0171008	.1268849	0.13	0.893	2333721	.2675736

- 2 . gen BIC\_l=ln(e(rss)/e(N))+e(rank)\*(ln(e(N))/e(N)) if tin(1962q1,2004q4)
  (21 missing values generated)
- 3 . gen AIC\_1=ln(e(rss)/e(N))+e(rank)\*(2/e(N)) if tin(1962q1,2004q4)
  (21 missing values generated)
- 4 . sum BIC\_1 AIC\_1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
BIC_1	172	1.066562	0	1.066562	1.066562
AIC_1	172	1.029963	0	1.029963	1.029963

	BIC			AIC	
. sum BIC*			. sum AIC*		
Variable	Obs	Mean	Variable	Obs	Mean
BIC_0	172	1.094665	AIC_0	172	1.076366
BIC_1	172	1.066562	AIC_1	172	1.029963
BIC_2	172	.9549263	AIC_2	172	.9000281
BIC_3	172	.9574141	AIC_3	172	.8842165
BIC_4	172	.9864399	AIC_4	172	.894943

- Optimal lag length according to BIC: p = 2
- Optimal lag length according to AIC: p = 3

# Autoregressive Distributed Lag Model (ADL(p,q))

- Economic theory often suggests other variables that could help to forecast the variable of interest.
- When we add other variables and their lags the result is an

autoregressive distributed lag model ADL(p,q)

 $Y_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} Y_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_{p} Y_{t-p} + \delta_{1} X_{t-1} + \dots + \delta_{q} X_{t-q} + u_{t}$ 

- p is the number of lags of the dependent variable
- q is the number of lags (distributed lags) of the additional predictor X

# Autoregressive Distributed Lag Model (ADL(p,q))

- When predicting future changes in inflation economic theory suggests that lagged values of the unemployment rate might be a good predictor
- Short-run Philips curve: negative short run relation between unemployment and inflation
- According to Bays Information Criteria we should include 2 lags of the dependent variable
- In addition we include 2 lags of the unemployment rate
- This gives an ADL(2,2) model

# Autoregressive Distributed Lag Model (ADL(p,q))

> regress d\_inflation L1.d\_inflation L2.d\_inflation L1.unemployment L2.unemployment if > tin(1962g1,2004g4), r

Number of obs =		172
F( 4, 167)	=	15.41
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.3514
Root MSE	=	1.3918

d_inflation	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. I	nterval]
d_inflation L1. L2.	4685035 4251441	.0771115 .0821394	-6.08 -5.18	0.000 0.000	6207425 5873096	3162645 2629787
unemployment_rate L1. L2. cons	-2.243865 2.044221 1.193032	.4020402 .3875693 .4344711	-5.58 5.27 2.75	0.000 0.000 0.007	-3.037602 1.279054 .3352683	-1.450129 2.809388 2.050796

1 . dis "Adjusted Rsquared = " \_result(8)
Adjusted Rsquared = .3358903

Linear regression

- Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> is higher and the RMSE is lower in ADL(2,2) than in AR(4)
- Forecast error =  $\triangle inf_{2005q1} \widehat{\triangle inf}_{2005q1|2004q4} = -1.14 (0.38) = -1.52$

### Granger "causality" test

- Do the included lags of unemployment have useful predictive content conditional on the included lags of the change in inflation?
- The claim that a variable has no predictive content corresponds to the null hypothesis that the coefficients on all lags of the variable are zero.
- The F-statistic of this test is called the Granger causality statistic.
- If the null hypothesis is rejected the variable *X* is said to *Granger-cause* the dependent variable *Y*.
- This does not mean that we have estimated the causal effect of X on Y!!
- It means that X is a useful predictor of Y (Granger predictability would be a better term).

# Granger "causality" test

#### The Granger causality test in the ADL(2,2) model:

. regress d\_inflation L1.d\_inflation L2.d\_inflation L1.unemployment L2.unemployment if > tin(1962q1,2004q4), r noheader

d_inflation	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. In	nterval]
d_inflation L1. L2.	4685035 4251441	.0771115 .0821394	-6.08 -5.18	0.000 0.000	6207425 5873096	3162645 2629787
unemployment_rate L1. L2.	-2.243865 2.044221	.4020402 .3875693	-5.58 5.27	0.000 0.000	-3.037602 1.279054	-1.450129 2.809388
_cons	1.193032	.4344711	2.75	0.007	.3352683	2.050796

. test L1.unemployment=L2.unemployment=0

- Null hypothesis that coefficients on the 2 lags of unemployment are zero is rejected at a 1% level.
- Unemployment is a useful predictor for the change in the inflation rate.

#### Nonstationarity: trends

- A time series *Y<sub>t</sub>* is stationary if its probability distribution does not change over time
- · If a time series has a trend, it is nonstationary
- A trend is a persistent long-term movement of a variable over time.
- We consider two types of trends

Deterministic trend:  $Y_t = \beta_0 + \lambda t + u_1$ 

series is a nonrandom function of time

Stochastic trend:  $Y_t = \beta_0 + Y_{t-1} + u_1$ 

series is a random function of time

## Nonstationarity: trends



#### Random walk model

• Simplest model of a variable with a stochastic trend is the random walk

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + u_t$$
 where  $u_t$  is i.i.d.

- The value of the series tomorrow is its value today plus an unpredictable change.
- · An extension of the random walk model is the random walk with drift

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + Y_{t-1} + u_t$$
 where  $u_t$  is i.i.d.

- β<sub>0</sub> is the "drift" of the random walk, if β<sub>0</sub> is positive Y<sub>t</sub> increases on average.
- A random walk is nonstationary: the distribution is not constant over time
- The variance of a random walk increases over time:

$$Var(Y_t) = Var(Y_{t-t}) + Var(u_t)$$

# Stochastic trends, autoregressive models and a unit root

- The random walk model is a special case of an AR(1) model with  $\beta_1 = 1$
- If Y<sub>t</sub> follows and AR(1) with |β<sub>1</sub>| < 1 (and u<sub>t</sub> is stationary), Y<sub>t</sub> is stationary
- If Y<sub>t</sub> follows and AR(p) model

$$Y_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} Y_{t-1} + \beta_{2} Y_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_{p} Y_{t-p} + u_{t}$$

 $Y_t$  is stationary if its *roots z* are all greater than 1 in absolute value.

the roots are the values of z that satisfy

$$1 - \beta_1 z - \beta_2 z^2 - \ldots - \beta_p z^p = 0$$

In the special case of an AR(1): 1 − β<sub>1</sub>z = 0 gives z = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>β<sub>1</sub></sub> which is bigger than |1| if |β<sub>1</sub>| < 1</li>

# Detecting stochastic trends: Dickey-Fuller test in AR(1) model

- Trends can be detected by informal and formal methods
  - Informal: inspect the time series plot
  - Formal: Perform the Dickey-Fuller test to test for a stochastic trend.
- Dickey-Fuller test in an AR(1) model:

 $H_0: \ \beta_1 = 1$  vs  $H_1: \ \beta_1 < 1$  in  $Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + u_t$ 

 Test can be performed by subtracting Y<sub>t-1</sub> from both sides of the equation and estimate

$$\triangle Y_t = \beta_0 + \delta Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

We can now test

$$H_0: \ \delta = \beta_1 - 1 = 0 \quad vs \quad H_1: \ \delta < 0$$

# Does U.S. inflation have a stochastic trend?

- DF test for a unit root in U.S. inflation (*Note*: we test for a stochastic trend in *inflation<sub>t</sub>* and not in △*inflation<sub>t</sub>*)
- 1 . regress d\_inflation L1.inflation if tin(1962q1,2004q4), noheader

d_inflation	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. I	nterval]
inflation L1.	1643553	.0415311	-3.96	0.000	2463383	0823722
_cons	.7219345	.217599	3.32	0.001	.2923904	1.151479

 Under H<sub>0</sub>, Y<sub>t</sub> is nonstationary and the DF-statistic has a nonnormal distribution, we therefore use the following critical values

TABLE 14.5         Large-Sample Critical Values of the Augmented Dickey–Fuller Statistic						
Deterministic Regressors	10%	5%	1%			
Intercept only	-2.57	-2.86	-3.43			
Intercept and time trend	-3.12	-3.41	-3.96			

• DF = -3.96 this is more negative than -2.86 so we reject the null hypothesis of a stochastic trend at a 5% significance level.

# Detecting stochastic trends: Dickey-Fuller test in AR(p) model

- Often an AR(1) model does not capture all the serial correlation in Y<sub>t</sub> and we should include more lags & estimate an AR(p) model.
- We can test for a stochastic trend in an AR(p) model by augmenting the DF-regression by lags of △ Y<sub>t</sub>.
- The Augmented Dickey-Fuller test:

$$H_0: \delta = 0 \qquad vs \quad H_1: \delta < 0$$

in the regression

$$\triangle Y_t = \beta_0 + \delta Y_{t-1} + \gamma_1 \triangle Y_{t-1} + \gamma_2 \triangle Y_{t-2} + \dots + \gamma_p \triangle Y_{t-p} + u_t$$

 Note: The DF-statistic should be computed using homoskedasticity-only (nonrobust) standard errors (see footnote 3 in S&W CH14)

## Does U.S. inflation have a stochastic trend?

- DF test for a unit root in U.S. inflation using p = 4 lags (AR(4)-model)
- 1 . regress d\_inflation L1.inflation L1.d\_inflation L2.d\_inflation L3.d\_inflation L4.d\_in
  > flation if tin(1962q1,2004q4), noheader

d_inflation	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. In	nterval]
inflation L1.	1134169	.0422344	-2.69	0.008	1968029	030031
d_inflation						
L1.	1864426	.0805144	-2.32	0.022	3454068	0274783
L2.	2563879	.081463	-3.15	0.002	4172251	0955507
L3.	.1990491	.0793514	2.51	0.013	.0423811	.3557171
L4.	.0099994	.0779921	0.13	0.898	1439849	.1639837
_cons	.5068158	.2141807	2.37	0.019	.0839466	.9296851

• *DF* = -2.69 this is less negative than -2.86 so we do not reject the null hypothesis of a stochastic trend at a 5% significance level.

# Does U.S. inflation have a stochastic trend?

- Instead of testing the null hypothesis of a stochastic trend against the alternative hypothesis of no trend....
- ...the alternative hypothesis can be that Y<sub>t</sub> is stationary around a deterministic trend.
- The Dickey-Fuller regression then includes a deterministic trend

• And we have to use the critical values in the second row:

TABLE 14.5         Large-Sample Critical Values of the Augmented Dickey–Fuller Statistic						
Deterministic Regressors	10%	5%	1%			
Intercept only	-2.57	-2.86	-3.43			
Intercept and time trend	-3.12	-3.41	-3.96			

# Avoiding problems caused by stochastic trends

- Best way to deal with a trend is to transform the series such that it does not have a trend.
- If the series Y<sub>t</sub> has a stochastic trend, then the first difference of the series △ Y<sub>t</sub> does not have a stochastic trend.
- For example if Y<sub>t</sub> follows a random walk with drift

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + Y_{t-1} + u_t$$

the first difference is stationary

$$\triangle Y_t = \beta_0 + u_t$$

- On slide 37 we saw that we did not reject the null hypothesis of a stochastic trend in *inflation*<sub>t</sub>.
- This is the reason that in the beginning of the lecture we estimated AR's with △inflationt.



# Good luck with the exam! (Don't forget to bring the book and a calculator!)