

## Lecture note 1

Reference: Davidson and MacKinnon Ch 2. In particular page 57-82.

### Projection matrices

The matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' \quad (1)$$

is often called the “residual maker”. That nickname is easy to understand, since:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}')\mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{M}$  plays a central role in many derivations. The following properties are worth noting (and showing for yourself) :

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}', \text{ symmetric matrix} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{M}^2 = \mathbf{M}, \text{ idempotent matrix} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}, \text{ regression of } X \text{ on } X \text{ gives perfect fit} \quad (4)$$

Another way of interpreting  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$  is that since  $\mathbf{M}$  produces the OLS residuals,  $\mathbf{M}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{X}$ .

$\mathbf{M}$  does not affect the residuals:

$$\mathbf{M}\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \quad (5)$$

Since  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , we also have:

$$\mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}. \quad (6)$$

Note that this gives:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (7)$$

which show that SSR is a quadratic form in the theoretical disturbances (See lecture note 2 for use of this).

A second important matrix in regression analysis is:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' \quad (8)$$

which is called the “prediction matrix”, since

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \quad (9)$$

$\mathbf{P}$  is also symmetric and idempotent.

In linear algebra,  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  are both known as projection matrices, Ch 2 in DM, page 57, in particular gives the geometric interpretation.

$\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  are orthogonal:

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{0} \quad (10)$$

Since

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P},$$

$\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  are also complementary projections

$$\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I} \quad (11)$$

which gives

$$(\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{P})\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{I}\mathbf{y}$$

and therefore:

$$\mathbf{y} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \quad (12)$$

The scalar product  $\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y}$  then becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} &= (\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{M})(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}'\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

Written out, this is:

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}_{"TSS"} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i^2}_{"ESS"} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2}_{SSR} \quad (13)$$

You may be more used to write this famous decomposition as:

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}_{TSS} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2}_{ESS} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2}_{SSR} \quad (14)$$

Question: Why is there no conflict between (13) and (14) as long as  $\mathbf{X}$  contains a vector of ones (an intercept)?

Alternative ways of writing  $SSR$  that sometimes come in handy:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = (\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{M}')\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'\mathbf{y} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} &= \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\left[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\right]\left[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\right]\mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

## Partitioned regression

We now let  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = [\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2]'$  where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$  has  $k_1$  parameters and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$  has  $k_2$  parameters.  $k_1 + k_2 = k$ . The corresponding partitioning of  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 \\ n \times k_1 & n \times k_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . The OLS estimated model with this notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{X}_1\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} &= \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We begin by writing out the normal equations:

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

in terms of the partitioning:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_2 \\ \mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

where we have used

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'_1 \\ \mathbf{X}'_2 \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{X}_1 \quad \mathbf{X}_2]$$

see page 20 and Exercise 1.9 in DM. The vector of OLS estimators can therefore be expressed as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \\ \mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

We need a result for the inverse of a partitioned matrix. There are several versions, but probably the most useful for us is:

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11 \cdot 2}^{-1} & -\mathbf{A}_{11 \cdot 2}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \\ -\mathbf{A}_{22 \cdot 1}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}_{22 \cdot 1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{11 \cdot 2} = \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21} \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{22 \cdot 1} = \mathbf{A}_{22} - \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12}. \quad (22)$$

With the aid of (20)-(22) it can be shown that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{y} \\ (\mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}_1$  and  $\mathbf{M}_2$  are the two residual-makers:

$$\mathbf{M}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_1 \quad (24)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_2 = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_2 (\mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_2. \quad (25)$$

As an application, start with:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \vdots & \mathbf{X}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\iota} & \vdots & \mathbf{X}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\iota} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} X_{12} & \dots & X_{1k} \\ X_{22} & \dots & X_{2k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_{n2} & \dots & X_{nk} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_1 &= \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\iota} (\boldsymbol{\iota}' \boldsymbol{\iota})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\iota}' \\ &= \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\iota} (\boldsymbol{\iota}' \boldsymbol{\iota})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\iota}' \end{aligned}$$

$\boldsymbol{\iota}' \boldsymbol{\iota} = n$  so

$$\mathbf{M}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\iota}' \equiv \mathbf{M}_\boldsymbol{\iota}$$

the so-called centering matrix. Show that it is:

$$\mathbf{M}_\boldsymbol{\iota} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & \dots & -\frac{1}{n} \\ -\frac{1}{n} & 1 - \frac{1}{n} & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & \dots & 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

By using the general formula for partitioned regression above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_2 &= (\mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y} \\ &= ([\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2]' [\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2])^{-1} [\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2]' \mathbf{y} \\ &= [(\mathbf{X}_2 - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_2)' (\mathbf{X}_2 - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_2)]^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_2 - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_2)' \mathbf{y}\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_2$  is the  $n \times (k-1)$  matrix with the variable means in the  $k-1$  columns.

In the exercise set to Seminar 1 you are invited to show the same result for  $\hat{\beta}_2$  more directly, without the use of the results from partitioned regression, by re-writing the regression model.

### Frisch-Waugh-Lovell (FWL) theorem

The expression for  $\hat{\beta}_2$  in (23) suggests that there is another simple method for finding  $\hat{\beta}_2$  that involves  $\mathbf{M}_1$ : We premultiply the model (17), first with  $\mathbf{M}_1$  and then with  $\mathbf{X}'_2$ :

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\varepsilon} \quad (27)$$

$$\mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\beta}_2 \quad (28)$$

where we have used  $\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_1 \hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}$  in (27) and  $\mathbf{X}'_2 \hat{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0}$  in (27), since the influence of the  $k_2$  second variables has already been regressed out. We can find  $\hat{\beta}_2$  from this normal equation:

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = (\mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y} \quad (29)$$

It can be shown that the covariance matrix is

$$\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_2) = \hat{\sigma}^2 (\mathbf{X}'_2 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1}.$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  denotes the unbiased estimator for the common variance of the disturbances:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}' \hat{\varepsilon}}{n - k}.$$

The corresponding expressions for  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = (\mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{y} \quad (30)$$

$$\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \hat{\sigma}^2 (\mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}.$$

Interpretation of (29): Use symmetry and idempotency of  $\mathbf{M}_1$ :

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = ((\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)' (\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2))^{-1} (\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)' \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y}$$

Here,  $\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y}$  is the residual vector  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{y|X_1}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2$  are the matrix with  $k_2$  residuals obtained from regressing each variable in  $\mathbf{X}_2$  on  $\mathbf{X}_1$ ,  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{X_2|X_1}$ . But this means that  $\hat{\beta}_2$  can be obtained by first running these two auxiliary regressions, saving the residuals in  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{y|X_1}$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{X_2|X_1}$  and then running a second regression with  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{y|X_1}$  as regressand, and with  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{X_2|X_1}$  as the matrix with regressors:

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \underbrace{(\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)' (\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)}_{\hat{\varepsilon}_{X_2|X_1} \hat{\varepsilon}_{X_2|X_1}} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \begin{array}{cc} \underbrace{(\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_1)' (\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y}_2)}_{\hat{\varepsilon}_{X_2|X_1} \hat{\varepsilon}_{y|X_1}} \end{array} \quad (31)$$

We have shown the Frisch-Waugh(-Lovell) theorem. Followers of ECON 4150 spring 2013 will have seen a scalar version of this. The 4150 lecture note is still on that course page.

In sum: If we want to estimate the partial effects on  $Y$  of changes in the variables in  $\mathbf{X}_2$  (i.e., controlled for the influence of  $\mathbf{X}_1$ ), we can do that in two ways. Either by estimating the full multivariate model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\varepsilon}$$

or, by following the above two-step procedure of obtaining residuals with  $\mathbf{X}_1$  as regressor and then obtaining  $\hat{\beta}_2$  from (31).

Other applications:

- Trend correction
- Seasonal adjustment by seasonal dummy variables (DM p 69)
- Leverage (or influential observations), DM p 76-77