ECON 4270 Distributive Justice Lecture 9: Marxist exploitation. Deserts and responsibilities

Hilde Bojer www.folk.uio.no/hbojer hbojer@econ.uio.no

April 17, 2013

Karl Marx has no positive theory of justice in distribution In the communist society, there would be abundance, and the problem of just distribution would not arise From each according to ability, to each according to need.

Exploitation of the working class

3

Under capitalism, workers produce all value, but receive only part of it in return.

Capitalists earn profit without contributing to production.

Therefore: workers are exploited by the capitalist class and the landowners.

the injustice of exploitation seems to go without saying

Marx and the classical economists

4

Marx based his analysis on concepts taken from the classical economists:

- ► Three classes: landowners, capitalists, workers (proletariat) defined by their place in production
- ► Three corresponding kinds of income: land rent, profit, earnings (wage income)
- ► Labour theory of value
- Productive and unproductive labour

Labour theory of value

5

Marx's theory of exploitation is based on the labour theory of value, which he shared with Adam Smith and David Ricardo.

Well explained verbally in Kymlicka.

More formal analysis:

Valid for any number of products and inputs

Assume a corn economy: corn is produced using labour and corn (seed)

To produce 1 kg corn we need a kg seed corn and ℓ units of labour. Clearly, a < 1 (Why?)

Labour theory of value

6

Original formulation: the value of the corn consists of direct labour plus indirect labour:

the labour needed to produce the seed corn

The seed corn is produced by labour and seed corn etc etc

The technology , a kg and ℓ will have changed over the years therefore the value of the corn also changes the beginning is lost in time

and it is impossible to compute the present labour value of the corn Marx's solution: the value of the seed corn equals the value of the corn produced

Let the labour value of 1 kg corn = V. The (labour) value of 1 kg corn equals the number of work hours needed to produce it (ℓ) plus the value of the seed corn (aV)

Labour theory of value

8

Formally:

$$V = \ell + aV$$

solution

$$V = \frac{\ell}{1-a}$$

The labour value of corn depends on the productivity of labour and the productivity of seed corn

Exploitation

9

The economy produces X kg corn using aX kilo seed corn

and

 $\ell X = L$ hours of labour

The net product is X - aX = (1 - a)X

The value of the net product is:

$$V(1-a)X = \frac{\ell}{1-a}(1-a)X = \ell X = L$$

Exploitation

10

The total value of the net product equals the number of hours worked

The net product is divided between the workers and the capitalists The workers produce value L

Of this, the capitalists keep back profits of value m (German, Norwegian), s (English)

(m = mehrwert, merverdi, s = surplus value)

the workers receive L - s < L

They produce more value than they receive.

This is the Marxian theory of exploitation.

Exploitation

11 What does the theory imply? prove? One possible conclusion: no exploitation in the just society the workers receive the whole product But Marx protests strongly against this conclusion in Critique of the Gotha programme Part of the product must go to the non-working the old. children etc and to investment So what is the just distribution? ??

12

In Capital vol 3, Marx himself proved that prices in a competitive economy could not be proportional to labour values.

By that time, however, the labour theory of value had been discarded by economists for other reasons, to be replaced by the neoclassical theory of value.

Productive labour

13 An outdated concept in academic economics at least as understood by the classical economists Rent seeking Still alive as folklore and slogan Goes back to the French physiocrats (18th century) (who founded economic analysis before Smith) Quesnay: Tableau economique (1758) The only productive activity was agriculture Other sectors (manufacture, services) were in a sense parasitic on agriculture

Productive labour

14

The classical economists Smith (and Ricardo) inherited the distinction between productive and unproductive labour

Smith: Only the production of physical objects

produces value

Services are unproductive

Marx: Several different definitions

Productive labour

15

One definition: the same as Smith

Another definition:

productive labour is labour producing value for capitalists (private sector)

Only productive labour produces value

hence: all value is produced in the private sector

16
Non-productive labour:
public services
housework
child care
Marx and his followers concede that non-productive labour can be

useful

17
Still alive
as the concept that all value is produced by private firms
the public sector i parasitic on the private (and should be as small as possible?)

Talent and deserts

Talents are not deserved.

Result of genes and social background

cf Rawls

More commonly: we own our talent and deserve rewards for them

Self-ownership

But what is a talent?

An endowment that is recognised by the society you live in.

Example: talent for music Bach died poor Talent for art Talents of women?

Do not confuse Reward due to merit and reward as incentive (cf again Rawls)

Choice and responsibility

21

We should be take responsibility for our choices

Dworkin: endowment insensitive, ambition sensitive

Roemer: aim should be equality of circumstances for which we are not responsible

but differentiation when we are responsible

Argument for affirmative action

Problem: can we separate choice from circumstance?

The choices you make may (will) depend on circumstances

The result of choice for which you should be held responsible may depend on external circumstances

Choice and responsibility

22

Name to remember: Marc Fleurbaey

Example: Motorcyclist without helmet crashes

Is he entitled to free health care?

MF says yes

Example: Life style and health care

Some doctors demand that e.g. smokers should pay more for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

treatment than non-smokers

Healthy life style strongly related to class and education Healthy people live longer and hence cost society more

Life style influences risk, not certain outcome

Choice and responsibility

23

Example: having children

Some claim that child benefit is unfair, because having children in contemporary society is a free choice

Children have made no choice!

Child benefit relieves parents of only parts of their responsibility Societal arrangements determine the outcome of choices:

in traditional societies, having children is an investment in the future, securing your old age

In modern societies, having children is an expense.