## ECON4310 Exercise 1

Due 30/8 2010

This exercise uses the static competitive equilibrium model from Lecture 1 and Williamson's notes chapter 1.1. You are asked to look at the special case where the utility function of the representative consumer is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\ln c+\mu \ln \ell \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the production function of the representative firm is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=z k^{1-\alpha} n^{\alpha} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mu>0$, and $0<\alpha<1$ are constant parameters. For simplicity, the number of consumers and producers is set equal to one.

1. We first look at consumer behavior. the budget equation of the consumer is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=w(1-\ell)+y_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $y_{0}=r \bar{k}$ is the revenue from the consumer's initial endowment of capital, and $1-\ell$ is labor supply.

Derive the first-order condition for maximum utility. Use it to answer how the ratio between consumption and leisure $(c / \ell)$ is affected
(a) if the wage rate increases by ten per cent?
(b) if the unearned income $y_{0}$ increases by ten per cent?

Solve for consumption demand and labor supply as functions of $w$ and $y_{0}$.
2. Write down the marginal productivity conditions that characterize firm behavior in equilibrium. Show that they imply that the share of labor income in output, $w n / y$ is equal to $\alpha$.
3. In equilibrium the marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure has to be equal to the marginal rate of transformation. Show that this condition is the same as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu c}{\ell}=\alpha z\left(\frac{k}{1-\ell}\right)^{1-\alpha} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium must also be on the production function (2). Use the two equations together with the market-clearing conditions $c=y$ and $k=\bar{k}$ to solve for $c$ and $\ell$. Hint: Start by using (2) to eliminate $c$ from (4).
4. In question 4 you will discover that the equilibrium value of $\ell$ is independent of $z$ and $k$. Give an intuitive reason for this result. (Hint: Draw on the answer to question 1). Does the result fit the historical facts?
5. We now include government consumption, $g$, in the model. Government consumption enters the utility function additively $(U=u(c, \ell)+v(g))$. Suppose that the government sets $g$ equal to a share $\gamma$ of output. It is financed with a lump-sum tax on the individuals, $t=g=\gamma y$. This means that now $y_{0}=r \bar{k}-t$. Explain why in equilibrium the marginal rate of substitution will still be equal to the marginal rate of transformation as in (4). However, instead of $c=y$, we now have $c=(1-\gamma) y$. Show that this changes the equilibrium amount of leisure to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\frac{\mu(1-\gamma)}{\alpha+\mu(1-\gamma)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Will a larger government sector lead to more or less supply of labor? Why?

