

1 Notes Introduction

1.1 Motivation

- Dynamic macro. Logic of course:
 - Start with frictionless economies. Then introduce frictions
 - As in medicine, start with analysis of healthy individuals. Afterwards, turn to study sick patients.
- Central tool: competitive equilibrium
 - powerful and simple (need not think of what could have happened, as in game theory)
 - Specify environment:
 1. Physical environment (preferences, endowments, technology)
 2. Government (policies, taxes, laws)
 3. Markets (the key interaction between agents)
 - Solve for a competitive equilibrium: Given allocations, government policies, and prices:
 1. All agents and firms optimize
 2. All markets clear

1.2 A static model

- Physical environment
 - Preferences over consumption c and leisure l :

$$u(c, l)$$

where $\partial u / \partial c \equiv u_1 > 0$, $u_2 > 0$, u is twice differentiable and strictly concave. For simplicity (to avoid corner solutions), we assume

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} u_1 &= \infty \\ \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} u_l &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

There are N individuals, each have an equal amount of capital, k_0/N , which can be rented to firms. They also have one unit of leisure.

- Technology: $\exists M$ firms, each operating a technology

$$y = zf(k, n),$$

where $f_1 > 0$, $f_2 > 0$, f is strictly quasiconcave, and f is homogeneous of degree one, i.e., *constant return to scale*:

$$\lambda y = zf(\lambda k,)$$

for $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, *Inada conditions* hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} f_1 &= \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} f_2 = \infty \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_1 &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} f_2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

- Markets: firms rent capital and labor on competitive markets. Firms sell output at a competitive market for consumption goods.
- Optimization:
 - Prices: The consumption good is the numeraire. Wage is w and rental rate of capital is r .
 - Consumer's problem: Take prices as given. Solve

$$\max_{c, l, k_s} u(c, l)$$

subject to

$$c \leq w(1-l) + rk_s \quad (1)$$

$$0 \leq k_s \leq \frac{k_0}{N} \quad (2)$$

$$0 \leq l \leq 1 \quad (3)$$

$$c \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

Clearly, it is optimal to set $k_s = \frac{k_0}{N}$. Ignore case of $l = 1$ (since nothing would be produced). Properties of u ensure $c > 0$ and $l > 0$. Formulate problem as a Lagrangian problem:

$$\Lambda = u(c, l) + \mu \left(w + r \frac{k_0}{N} - wl - c \right)$$

Given properties of u , the optimum is unique and characterized by the FOC:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial c} &= u_1 - \mu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial l} &= u_2 - \mu w = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \mu} &= w + r \frac{k_0}{N} - wl - c = 0\end{aligned}$$

Substitute away c and μ and obtain

$$wu_1 \left(w + r \frac{k_0}{N} - wl, l \right) - u_2 \left(w + r \frac{k_0}{N} - wl, l \right) = 0,$$

or

$$w = \frac{u_2}{u_1}$$

Figure 1.1

– Firm’s problem: Take prices as given. Solve

$$\max_{k,n} \{zf(k,n) - rk - wn\}.$$

Optimal allocation is the *marginal product conditions*:

$$\begin{aligned} zf_1 &= r \\ zf_2 &= w \end{aligned}$$

Since f is homogeneous of degree one,

$$zf(k,n) = zf_1k + zf_2n,$$

which implies that the firm profits are zero! This implies

- * Don’t have to keep track of where profits go
- * If k^* and n^* are optimal choices, then

$$zf(k^*,n^*) - rk^* - wn^* = 0,$$

so the optimal scale of a firm is indeterminate. Don’t have to keep track of the number of firms (could set $M = 1$)

- Competitive equilibrium is an *allocation* $\{c,l,k,n\}$ and a set of *prices* $\{r,w\}$ such that

1. Consumers choose c and l optimally, given (r,w)
2. Representative firm chooses k and n optimally, given (r,w)
3. Markets clear

– Market clearing requires supply=demand in all markets:

$$\begin{aligned} N(1-l) &= n \\ y &= Nc \\ k_0 &= k \end{aligned}$$

Total value of excess demand across markets is

$$nc - y + w(n - N(1-l)) + r(k - k_0)$$

This expression is ZERO from the consumers’ budget constraint

- Walras’ law: need only two market-clearing conditions
- Drop condition $y = Nc$. Have five unknowns (l,n,k,w,r) and five equilibrium conditions (note: ignore the number of consumers and firms, N and M). Substitute to obtain one equation in one unknown l :

$$zf_2 \cdot u_1(zf(k_0, 1-l), l) - u_2(zf(k_0, 1-l), l) = 0,$$

and given l we solve for r, w, n, k, c .

- Pareto optimality

- Pareto optimality is an allocation such that no individual can be made better off without anyone else being made worse off
- Focus on equally weighted fictitious social planner allocation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max u(c, l) \\ & \text{subject to} \\ c &= zf(k_0, 1-l) \end{aligned}$$

Solution is

$$zf_2 \cdot u_1(zf(k_0, 1-l), l) - u_2(zf(k_0, 1-l), l) = 0,$$

i.e., the same as before! Figure 1.2

1. *First welfare theorem*: If there are no externalities and markets are complete, then a competitive equilibrium allocation is Pareto optimal
 2. *Second welfare theorem*: A Pareto optimal allocation can be supported as a competitive equilibrium given some transfers.
- Welfare theorems are useful for solving for competitive equilibria

- Example

$$\begin{aligned} u(c, l) &= \frac{c^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} + l \\ f(k, n) &= k^\alpha n^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Planner problem is

$$\max_l \left\{ \frac{\left[zk_0^\alpha (1-l)^{1-\alpha} \right]^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} + l \right\}$$

Solution is

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 1-l = \left[(1-\alpha) (zk_0^\alpha)^{1-\gamma} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\gamma}} \\ &\Rightarrow \\ c &= \left[(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha} (zk_0^\alpha) \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\gamma}} \\ w &= \left[(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha} (zk_0^\alpha) \right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

Note: c and w are increasing in z . But effect on l is ambiguous: $\partial l / \partial z < 0$ iff $\gamma < 1$.

- Government

- Assume a government must provide a quantity g of a public good, financed by lump-sum taxes τ . Budget must balance:

$$g = \tau$$

Preferences are $u(c, l) + v(g)$. Ignore v since g is exogenous.

- Assume that labor is the only factor of production:

$$y = zn$$

- Optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} & \max u(c, l) \\ & \text{subject to} \\ c &= w(1 - l) - \tau \end{aligned}$$

FOC is, as before,

$$-wu_1 + u_2 = 0$$

- Firm's problem is

$$\max_n \{n(z - w)\},$$

i.e., infinitely elastic labor demand at wage $w = z$.

- Competitive equilibrium conditions: same as before, plus government budget clearing.
- Use a planner problem to solve for the c.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{c, l} u(c, l) \\ & \text{subject to} \\ c + g &= z(1 - l) \end{aligned}$$

which implies a FOC

$$-zu_1(z(1 - l) - g, l) + u_2(z(1 - l) - g, l) = 0$$

- Figure 1.4. Note that the balanced budget multiplier is less than one:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial g} < 1$$