# Problem Set 7 ECON 4310, Fall 2014

- 1. Do **not write with pencil**, please use a ball-pen instead.
- 2. Please answer in **English**. Solutions without traceable outlines, as well as those with unreadable outlines **do not earn points**.
- 3. Please start a **new page** for **every** short question and for every subquestion of the long questions.
- 4. Some more instructions here ...

Good Luck!

	Points	Max
Exercise A		XX
Exercise B		XX
•		:
Σ		180

$\sim$	1	
Gra	4 D.	
Ola	uc.	

# Exercise A: Short Questions (XX Points)

Please answer each of these questions by stating as a first answer (Yes/No) or (True/False) and then give a short but instructive explanation. You can write, calculate, or draw to explain your answer. You only get points if you have stated the correct (Yes/No) or (True/False) *and* provided a correct explanation to the question. We will not assign negative points for incorrect answers.

#### (XX Points) Exercise A.1: Precautionary savings

Consider the simple two-period real business cycle model discussed in the seminar and in the lecture. With an asset supply of zero,  $w_0 = E[w(s_1)]$ , and an optimal consumption profile,  $c_0 = w_0$ ,  $c_1(s_1) = w(s_1)$ , the stochastic consumption Euler equation in this model is given by

$$\beta(1+r_1) = \frac{u'(c_0)}{\mathbb{E}[u'(c_1(s_1))]} = \frac{u'(\mathbb{E}[w(s_1)])}{\mathbb{E}[u'(w(s_1))]}.$$

The stochastic process for the wage in the second period,  $w(s_1)$ , takes the form

$$w(s_1) = \begin{cases} w(s_G) = 1 + \sigma/2, & \text{with prob. } 1/2, \\ w(s_B) = 1 - \sigma/2, & \text{with prob. } 1/2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma \in (0,2)$  parametrizes the risk in this economy. Assume that the utility function is of the following form

$$u(c) = c - \frac{1}{4}c^2, \forall c \in [0, 2].$$

Does this utility function, u(c), imply precautionary savings?

Your Answer:	
Yes: □	No: □
Don't forget the	explanation!

#### (XX Points) Exercise A.2: Asset pricing

Let  $m_{t+1}$  and  $x_{t+1}$  be two random scalars. Then

$$E_t[m_{t+1}x_{t+1}] = E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}] + 2Cov_t[m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}],$$

where

$$Cov_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}) \equiv E_t [(m_{t+1} - E_t[m_{t+1}]) (x_{t+1} - E_t[x_{t+1}])].$$

Your	Answer
IVUI	THIS WELL

True:  $\square$  False:  $\square$ 

## Don't forget the explanation!

### (XX Points) Exercise A.X: Some more short questions follow ...

# Exercise B: Long Question (XX Points)

#### Consumption-based asset pricing

Consider a representative household of an economy that maximizes expected lifetime utility

$$U(c_t, c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})) = \frac{c_t^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} + \beta E_t \left[ \frac{c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} \right], \quad 0 < \beta < 1,$$

by choosing consumption  $c_t$  and  $c_{t+1}$  in both periods. The agent is endowed with the income  $e_t$  and  $e_{t+1}$  in the corresponding periods (let  $e_{t+1}$  be stochastic), and can shift resources across periods by borrowing or lending in a risk free bond,  $b_{t+1}$ , and in a risky asset,  $a_{t+1}$ . The risk free bond pays 1 unit of consumption for sure in the future period and has a price  $q_t$  in terms of today's consumption, while the risky asset's payoff is the realization of a random variable  $x_{t+1} \equiv p_{t+1} + d_{t+1}$  and is of price  $p_t$  in terms of today's consumption. The agent initially owns neither assets nor bonds. Expectations are with respect to the future state,  $s_{t+1} = (e_{t+1}, x_{t+1}) \in S$ , where the set S denotes the possible realizations.

- (B.1) (XX Points) Derive the agent's budget constraints for both periods (hint: the second period constraint is state-by-state).
- (B.2) (XX Points) Reduce consumption in the utility function using the agent's budget constraints and derive the optimality conditions with respect to bond holdings,  $b_{t+1}$ , and asset holdings,  $a_{t+1}$ , taking as given prices and returns. (hint: the expectation operator  $E_t$  is linear (think of it as a probability weighted sum), so you can just go ahead and take derivatives inside the expectation operator).
- (B.3) (XX Points) Use the optimality conditions to show that the price of the risky asset is a function of the price of the risk free bond,  $q_t$ , and the covariance of the stochastic discount factor,  $m_{t+1}$ , with the risky return on the asset,

$$p_t = \beta E_t[m_{t+1}] E_t[x_{t+1}] + \beta Cov_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}), \quad m_{t+1} \equiv \left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\theta}.$$

What factors drive the agent's valuation of the asset?

Exercise X:
Some More Long Questions ... (XX Points)