### Monetary Policy

(Advanced Monetary Economics)

ECON 4325

1 Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016

# Outline

- Short summary of lecture 3, with interpretation of equilibrium dynamics
- Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model What is new?
	- **Introducing price rigidities**
- Deriving the model households
- $\blacksquare$  Deriving the model firms
- Start on market clearing

#### **About chapter 3:**

- For lecture 4: Galí chapter 3, pages 41-46 (2008) / pages  $52-59$  (2015)
- For lecture 6: Galí chapter 3, pages  $46-56$  (2008) / pages  $59-74$  (2015)
- Both books: Section 3.4.2 may be skipped.

We start by interpreting the equilibrium dynamics in the classical model derived at lecture 3 so:

# **MAKE SURE TO BRING YOUR LECTURE 3 SLIDES!**

 $\Lambda_t$  (= the stochastic discount factor ) and  $Z_t$  (=preference shifter) are added in the 2015 version, see lecture 3 for more details

#### Short summary of lecture 3 Households

- Households maximization problem. Maximize:  $E_t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k U(C_{t+k}, N_{t+k}; Z_{t+k})$ 
	- subject to  $P_{t+k}C_{t+k} + Q_{t+k}B_{t+k} \leq B_{t+k-1} + W_{t+k}N_{t+k} + D_{t+k}$  and  $\lim_{T \to \infty} E_t \left\{ \Lambda_{t,T} \frac{B_T}{P} \right\} \geq 0$
- We specified a separable utility function (for  $\sigma \neq 1$ ):  $U(C_t, N_t; Z_t) = \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma} 1}{1-\sigma} \frac{N_t^{1+\varrho}}{1+\varrho}\right)Z_t$
- Solving this problem gave us the following optimality conditions for HH behavior:
	- The intratemporal optimality condition:

The intertemporal (Euler) optimality condition:

$$
Q_{t} = \beta E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_{t}} \right\} = \beta E_{t} \left\{ \frac{C_{t+1}^{-\sigma}}{C_{t}^{-\sigma}} \frac{Z_{t+1}}{Z_{t}} \frac{P_{t}}{P_{t+1}} \right\} = \beta E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\partial U}{\partial U_{\partial C}}_{t} \Pi_{t+1}^{-1} \right\}
$$

*t*

 $t = \Omega$  –

 $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}}$ 

 $P_t$ <sup>-*r*</sup>  $C_t^{-\sigma}Z_t$ ,  $C_t^{-\sigma}$   $\partial V$ 

Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016 4

*C*

 $N_t$  $U'_{\sim}$ 

*t*

*t* 

 $\varphi$  7  $N\varphi$   $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $C_t^{-\sigma} Z_t \quad C_t^{-\sigma} \quad \partial U'_{\sigma}$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$   $\mathbf{L}_t$   $\mathbf{L}_t$ 

 $t$   $\mathcal{L}_t$   $\mathcal{L}$   $N_t$ 

 $W_t$   $\alpha$   $N_t^{\varphi} Z_t$   $N_t^{\varphi}$   $\sim$   $\alpha N_t$ 

*t* \_ /

 $1/7$ 

 $N_t^{\varphi} Z_t$   $N_t^{\varphi}$   $\sim$   $\sim$   $\partial N_t$ 

 $= \Omega_t = \frac{N_t^{\varphi} Z_t}{C^{-\sigma} Z} = \frac{N_t^{\varphi}}{C^{-\sigma}} = \frac{-\partial U}{\partial U}$  $\varphi$   $\sqrt{2N}$ 

*t*

 $\partial U /$ 

 $N_t^{\varphi}$   $\sim$   $\partial N_t$ 

 $C_t^{-\sigma}$   $\partial U'_{\geq C}$ 

 $\partial C_t$ 

### Short summary of lecture 3 **Firms and market clearing**

- The firm maximizes profits:  $\max_{Y_t,N_t}$   $[P_tY_t W_tN_t]$ , subject to:  $Y_t = A_tN_t^{1-\alpha}$
- Resulting in the optimality condition:

$$
MPL_t \equiv (1 - \alpha) \frac{Y_t}{N_t} = \Omega_t.
$$

All markets clear:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\nY_t &=& C_t, \\
N_t^s &=& N_t^d = N_t.\n\end{array}
$$

- **Then, we log-linearized the household and firm optimality conditions, and the aggregate** production relationship, in order to solve for equilibrium dynamics of real variables (around steady state).
- 5 endogenous variables and 5 equations gave us a unique solution for the equilibrium dynamics of output, consumption, employment, the real interest rate and the real wage.

**Equilibrium dynamics of real variables** 

$$
\omega_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t
$$

$$
c_{t} = E_{t} c_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ i_{t} - E_{t} \pi_{t+1} - \rho \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} (1 - \rho_{z}) z_{t}
$$

$$
y_t = a_t + (1 - \alpha) n_t,
$$

$$
\omega_t = y_t - n_t + \log(1 - \alpha)
$$

 $y_t = c_t$ 

#### The equations were: The solution told us that:

- **Dutput and the real wage always** increase with a positive shock to technology
- **Ambiguous effect on employment**
- **The development of the real interest rate** depends critically on output growth and hence on the evolution of technology



Interpretation of equilibrium dynamics

**Solutions and interpretation (no space to write? See next slide):** 

$$
c_{t}^{*} = y_{t}^{*}
$$
\n
$$
y_{t}^{*} = \frac{1+\varphi}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\alpha+\varphi} a_{t} + \frac{(1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha)}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\alpha+\varphi} = \psi_{ya} a_{t} + \xi_{y}
$$
\n(22)\n
$$
r_{t}^{*} = \rho + (1-\rho_{z})z_{t} + \sigma\psi_{ya} E_{t} \{\Delta a_{t+1}\}
$$
\n
$$
n_{t}^{*} = \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\alpha+\varphi} a_{t} + \frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\alpha+\varphi} = \psi_{na} a_{t} + \xi_{n}
$$
\n(25)\n
$$
\omega_{t}^{*} = \frac{\sigma+\varphi}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\alpha+\varphi} a_{t} + \frac{[\varphi+\sigma(1-\alpha)]\log(1-\alpha)}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\alpha+\varphi} = \psi_{aa} a_{t} + \xi_{a}
$$
\n(26)

## $5/7$

Interpretation of equilibrium dynamics

## $6/7$

Interpretation of equilibrium dynamics

#### **Short summary of lecture 3 Results**

#### Found "Classical Dichotomy"

- **Equilibrium dynamics of employment, output and the real interest** rate is determined independently of monetary policy in this model – *Neutrality of monetary policy*
- **Technology only driving force of all real variables**
- **Introduced monetary policy specification in order to say something** about equilibrium dynamics of nominal variables
- Did not result in an optimal monetary policy no rule seemed to be more desirable than another
- **The model could not explain the observed effect of monetary policy** on real variables

# Outline

- Short summary of lecture 3, with interpretation of equilibrium dynamics
- Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model What is new?
	- **Introducing price rigidities**
- Deriving the model households
- $\blacksquare$  Deriving the model firms
- **Start on market clearing**

# Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model  $1/3$

- Failure of the classical model Empirical evidence on:
	- persistent effects on real variables of monetary policy shocks
	- slow adjustment of the aggregate price level
	- Tightening of monetary policy
	- Hump-shaped decline in GDP
	- Flat response of the GDPdeflator for over 1 year, then it declines
		- Price rigidity
	- Liquidity effect



# Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model  $2/3$

- A large amount of heterogeneity in price durations across sectors/types of goods
- Largest degree of rigidity: Services
- Smallest degree of rigidity: Energy, unprocessed food.
- Median price stickiness: 8-11 months
- **If interested: Check out** staff memo about this at NB by Solveig Erlandsen



# Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model  $3/3$

#### **What is old news:**

- **DISGE modeling with:** 
	- Profit maximizing firms
	- **·** Utility maximizing households
- **Complete financial markets**
- Perfectly competitive labor markets (for now)



#### **What is news:**

- **Monopolistically competitive firms** 
	- **Firms set their own price**
	- **Prices are sticky**
- **Implication:** 
	- **Monetary Policy has real consequences in the short run!**



# Outline

- Short summary of lecture 3, with interpretation of equilibrium dynamics
- Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model What is new?
	- **Introducing price rigidities**
- Deriving the model households
- $\blacksquare$  Deriving the model firms
- **Start on market clearing**

#### $1/11$

- Maximize consumption and leisure given an intertemporal budget constraint.
	- New: A continuum of differentiated goods!
- Optimality conditions:
	- Intratemporal
		- Allocation between consumption and leisure (as before).
		- Allocation between different types of goods.
- Intertemporal:
	- The consumer Euler condition (as before).

#### Info about monetary holdings mentioned on this slide was added in the 2015 version

#### **Deriving the model** Households

$$
2/11
$$

• Maximize discounted expected utility: 
$$
E_t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k U(C_{t+k}, N_{t+k}; Z_{t+k})
$$

where: 
$$
C_t \equiv \left( \int_0^1 (C_t(i))^{1-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}
$$
 (1)

• Period budget constraint: 
$$
\int_0^1 P_t(i)C_t(i)di + Q_t B_t \leq B_{t-1} + W_t N_t + D_t
$$
 (2)

- Solvency constraint like before (lecture 3)
- **New info in the 2015 version:** "*Note that monetary holdings are not modeled explicitly, so one can think of the present framework as the cashless limit of an economy with money in the utility function, with the latter being additively separable."*



As before

As before

- **Household decision making:** 
	- **Must decide how much labor to supply**
	- **Must decide how to smooth consumption over time**
	- **Must decide how to allocate its consumption expenditures among different goods!**



 $\blacksquare$  The household must maximize the consumption index  $\mathit{C}_{\it t}$  for any given level of expenditures:

$$
\int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di
$$

The given expenditure level is denoted  $Z_t$  in the 2008 version of the book. Changed to  $X_t$  in the 2015 version, to avoid confusion with the preference shifter  $\overline{Z}_t$  (see slide 4).

**Example 2** Assuming a given expenditure level: 
$$
P_t(i)C_t(i)di \equiv X_t
$$
 (3)

$$
\int_{0}^{1} P_{t}(i) C_{t}(i) di \equiv X_{t} \qquad (3)
$$

 $4/11$ 

**The HH maximization problem is:** 

$$
Max_{C_t} \left\{ \int\limits_0^1 (C_t(i))^{1-\tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right\}^{\tfrac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}
$$

subject to: 
$$
\int_{0}^{1} P_{t}(i)C_{t}(i)di = X_{t}
$$

**The Lagrangian:** 

$$
L_t = \left\{\int_0^1 (C_t(i))^{1-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di\right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} - \lambda_t \left\{\int_0^1 P_t(i)C_t(i)di - X_t\right\}
$$

Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016 19

### $5/11$

First order condition:

$$
\frac{dL_t}{dC_t(i)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} \left\{ \int_0^1 (C_t(i))^{1-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} - 1} (1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}) (C_t(i))^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - \lambda_t P_t(i) = 0, \quad \forall i \in [0, 1]
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \left\{\int_{0}^{1} (C_{t}(i))^{1-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right\}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon-1}} (C_{t}(i))^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - \lambda_{t} P_{t}(i) = 0, \qquad \forall i \in [0,1]
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \left\{\int_{0}^{1} (C_{t}(i))^{1-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right\}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon-1}} (C_{t}(i))^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - \lambda_{t} P_{t}(i) = C_{t}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (C_{t}(i))^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - \lambda_{t} P_{t}(i) = 0, \forall i \in [0,1]
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow C_{t}(i) = C_{t} [\lambda_{t} P_{t}(i)]^{-\varepsilon}, \qquad \forall i \in [0,1]
$$

Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016 20

 $6/11$ 

• Then for any good j: 
$$
C_t(j) = C_t[\lambda_t P_t(j)]^{-\varepsilon}
$$

Solving for 
$$
\lambda_t
$$
:  

$$
[\lambda_t]^{\varepsilon} = \frac{C_t}{C_t(j)P_t(j)^{\varepsilon}} \Rightarrow \lambda_t = \left[\frac{C_t}{C_t(j)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}P_t(j)^{-1}
$$

inserting for  $\,\mathcal{X}_{\!t}\,$  in the first order condition for good i yields:

$$
\text{(4)} \qquad C_t(i) = C_t(j) \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t(j)} \right]^{-\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for} \quad \forall i, j \in [0,1] \quad \text{and} \quad i \neq j
$$

**Interpretation:** 

### 7/11

#### What do we have so far?

- HH optimal behavior regarding allocation between goods at given prices and for given level of expenditure  $X_t$ .
- Inserting for optimal consumption of good *i* (eq. 4) in the expression for consumption expenditure (eq. 3) yields:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} P_{t}(i) C_{t}(j) \left[ \frac{P_{t}(i)}{P_{t}(j)} \right]^{-\varepsilon} di = X_{t} \Rightarrow \frac{C_{t}(j)}{P_{t}(j)^{-\varepsilon}} = \frac{X_{t}}{\int_{0}^{1} P_{t}(i)^{1-\varepsilon} di} , \qquad \forall i \in [0,1]
$$

Inserting for  $\frac{C_f(y)}{D(x)-\varepsilon}$  back into the first order condition for good *i* (eq. (4)) yields:  $(5)$ When assuming that the aggregate price index  $P_t$  equals:  $(j)$  $P_t(j)^{-\varepsilon}$  $C_t(j)$ *t*  $(i) = \frac{X_t}{1}$  $(i)^{1-\varepsilon}$  di  $(i)^{-\varepsilon} = \frac{X_t}{R} \left| \frac{P_t(i)}{R} \right|$  $(i)^{-\varepsilon}$   $\forall i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall i$  $1-\varepsilon$   $\overrightarrow{di}$  $\frac{1}{1}$  $\overline{0}$  $\mathcal{E}$  and the set of  $\mathcal{E}$  $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{I}$  $P_t(i)^{-\varepsilon} = \frac{X_t}{P_t} \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right]^{-\varepsilon}, \qquad \forall i \in \mathbb{R}.$  $-\varepsilon$   $X_t$  |  $P_t$ (*i*  $-\varepsilon$   $\overline{d}$ :  $\vert$ ,  $\forall i \in$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\bigcap^{-\mathcal{E}}$  $\frac{I_t(t)}{D}$ ,  $\left[ \begin{array}{c} P_t \end{array} \right]$  ,  $=\frac{X_t}{1-P_t(i)^{-\varepsilon}}=\frac{X_t}{P_t(i)}\left[\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t(i)}\right]^{-\varepsilon},$  $\int_{0}^{1} P_t(i)^{1-\varepsilon} di$   $P_t \perp P_t$  $\frac{P_t(i)}{i}$  $P_t \perp P_t$  $\frac{t}{t}$   $\frac{P_t(i)}{I}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(i)$  =  $\int_t^{\infty}$   $(i)$   $\int^{\infty}$   $(i)$  $\frac{t}{\sqrt{t}}$   $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$  $P_t(i) = \frac{i}{\left|\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t(i)}\right|} = \frac{i}{\left|\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t(i)}\right|}$ ,  $V(t \in [0,1])$  $P_t(i)$ <sup> $\begin{cases} e^{-\varepsilon} & \forall i \in [0] \end{cases}$ </sup>  $\overline{P_t}$   $\overline{P_t}$  ,  $\overline{Q_t}$  $X_t \left[ P_t(i) \right]^{-\varepsilon} \qquad \qquad \forall$  $P_t(i)^{-\varepsilon} = \frac{X_t}{R} \left| \frac{P_t(i)}{R} \right|$  $P_t(i)^{1-\varepsilon}$  *di*  $C_t(i) = \frac{X_t}{1 - e^{-t}} P_t(i)^{-\varepsilon} = \frac{X_t}{R} \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{R} \right]^{-\varepsilon}, \qquad \forall i \in [0,1]$  $(i)^{\scriptscriptstyle 1-\varepsilon}$  di  $\Big|^{\scriptscriptstyle 1-\varepsilon}$  $\rfloor$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\lfloor$  $\lceil$  $\int\limits_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\varepsilon}\,di\,\bigg|^{1-\varepsilon}$ 1  $\mathbf{1}$ 1  $\overline{0}$  $P_{\iota}$  equals:  $\int P_{\iota}(i)^{1-\varepsilon} \, di$ 

#### $8/11$

#### What do we have now?

- HH optimal choice of consumption of good *i* for given prices and expenditure (whatever that is).
- Inserting for optimal consumption of good *i* (eq. 5) in the consumption index (eq. 1):

$$
C_{t} = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{X_{t}}{P_{t}} \left[ \frac{P_{t}(i)}{P_{t}} \right]^{-\varepsilon} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \end{cases} = \frac{X_{t}}{P_{t}^{1-\varepsilon}} \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{1} \left( [P_{t}(i)]^{1-\varepsilon} \right) di \end{cases}, \qquad \forall i \in [0,1]
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow P_{t}C_{t} = X_{t} P_{t}^{\varepsilon} \left( P_{t}^{1-\varepsilon} \right) \overline{\varepsilon-1} = X_{t} P_{t}^{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{P_{t}^{\varepsilon-1}} \right) \overline{\varepsilon-1} = X_{t} P_{t}^{\varepsilon} P_{t}^{-\varepsilon} = X_{t}, \end{cases}
$$

Inserting for the expenditure level from equation  $(3)$  yields:

$$
P_t C_t = \int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di, \quad \forall i \in [0,1]
$$
\n(6)

Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016 23

#### What does equation (6) indicate?

- When HHs behave optimally, then total consumption expenditures (RHS) can be written as the product of the price index and consumption index (LHS)
- Knowing that  $P_t C_t = X_t$  in optimum, we can insert for  $\frac{X_t}{P_t}$  in equation (5) to get the following set of demand equations:<br>
(7)  $C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon} C_t$ ,  $\forall i \in [0,1]$ set of demand equations: *P*<sub>t</sub>  $X_t$  is equation (5) to get the

$$
\text{(7)} \qquad C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon} C_t, \qquad \forall i \in [0,1]
$$

Knowing that  $|P_r C_i| = |P_r(i)C_r(i)di$ , is true when HH behaves optimally, we can do the following:  $(i)C_{t}(i)di,$ 1  $\bar{0}$  $P<sub>t</sub>C<sub>t</sub> = \int P<sub>t</sub>(i)C<sub>t</sub>(i)di$ 

#### $10/11$

■ We can rewrite the budget constraint as:

(8) 
$$
P_t C_t + Q_t B_t \leq B_{t-1} + W_t N_t + D_t
$$

**Let us use the separable utility function:** 

$$
\textbf{(9)} \quad U(C_t, N_t; Z_t) = \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}\right) Z_t
$$

**The remaining first-order conditions associated with the household problem are:** 

(10) 
$$
\frac{W_t}{P_t} = \Omega_t = \frac{N_t^{\varphi}}{C_t^{-\sigma}}
$$
  
\n(11)  $Q_t = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{C_t^{-\sigma}}{C_t^{-\sigma}} \frac{Z_{t+1}}{Z_t} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\}$ 

We also have:  $i_t \equiv -\log Q_t$ 

#### $11/11$

**The log-linear versions of the household optimality conditions:** 

$$
(12) \hspace{1cm} \omega_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t
$$

(12) 
$$
w_{t} = OC_{t} + \psi n_{t}
$$
\n(13) 
$$
c_{t} = E_{t} \{c_{t+1}\} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_{t} - E_{t} \{\pi_{t+1}\} - \rho) + \frac{1}{\sigma} (1 - \rho_{z}) z_{t}
$$
\ntogether with the set of optimal demand equations:\n(7) 
$$
C_{t} (i) = \left(\frac{P_{t} (i)}{P_{t}}\right)^{-\varepsilon} C_{t},
$$
\nare the equations we bring with us from the household-part of the model in order to solve for equilibrium behavior of real and nominal variables

together with the set of optimal demand equations:

$$
(7) \qquad C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon} C_t,
$$

are the equations we bring with us from the household-part of the model in order to solve for equilibrium behavior of real and nominal variables

# Outline

- Short summary of lecture 3, with interpretation of equilibrium dynamics
- Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model What is new?
	- **Introducing price rigidities**
- Deriving the model households
- $\blacksquare$  Deriving the model firms
- **Start on market clearing**

- **There is a continuum of monopolistically competitive firms, indexed by** 
	- $i \in [0, 1].$
- Each firm produces a differentiated good.
- Identical production technology:  $\bullet$

$$
Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i)^{1-\alpha}, \qquad (14)
$$

where  $Y_t(i)$  and  $N_t(i)$  are firm i's production and labor input, and  $\ln A_t \equiv a_t = \rho_a a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^a$ .

• Let us denote the marginal product of labor for firm *i* as  $MPN_t(i) \equiv (1 - \alpha) Y_t(i) / N_t(i).$ 

- We assume staggered price setting à la Calvo (1983): each firm faces a constant and exogenous probability,  $(1 - \theta)$ , of getting to reoptimize its price in any given period.
- Fraction  $(1 \theta)$  of firms change their price in any given period.
- On average firms change their price every  $\frac{1}{1-\theta}$  period.



What drives aggregate inflation when there is Calvo pricing? 1

- Aggregate price index:  $P_t = \left| \int P_t(i)^{1-\varepsilon} di \right|^{1-\varepsilon}$  $\epsilon$   $\sqrt{1-\epsilon}$  $^{-\varepsilon}$ di  $\Big\vert$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ Γ  $=\left|\int\limits_{0}^{1}P_{t}(i)^{1-\varepsilon}\,di\,\right|^{1}$  $\mathbf{1}$ 1  $\bar{0}$  $P_t = \int \int P_t(i)^{1-\varepsilon} di$
- $\blacksquare$  Firms not resetting prices in period  $t: \quad S(t)\!\subset\! \begin{bmatrix} 0{,}1 \end{bmatrix}$
- Firms resetting choose optimal price  $\left. P_{t}^{*} \right\rangle$  then:

$$
P_{t} = \left[ \int_{S(t)} P_{t-1}(i)^{1-\varepsilon} di + (1-\theta)(P_{t}^{*})^{1-\varepsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} = \left[ \theta(P_{t-1})^{1-\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)(P_{t}^{*})^{1-\varepsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}
$$

Solving for gross inflation  $\prod_{t=1}^{\infty}$  yields: ε  $\epsilon^{\varepsilon} = \theta + (1 - \theta)^{\varepsilon}$  $\overline{a}$  $\overline{a}$  $e^{-\varepsilon} = \theta + (1-\theta) \left| \frac{P_t}{P} \right|$  $\rfloor$  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\mathsf{L}}$  $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$  $\prod_{t}^{1-\varepsilon} = \theta + (1 -$ 1 1 \*  $i^{-\varepsilon} = \theta + (1-\theta)$ *t t*  $\sigma_t$  =  $\theta$  +  $(1-\theta)$   $\left| \frac{P_t}{P_t} \right|$ *P*  $-1$  $\prod_t =$ *t t*  $\frac{1}{t}$  –  $\frac{1}{P_t}$ *P*

Log-linear appr. around ss:  $\tau\tau_{_{t}} = (1\!-\!\theta)\left(p_{_{t}}^{*}\!-\!p_{_{t-1}}\right)$  $(15)$ 

Or equivalently:  $p_t = \theta p_{t-1} + (1-\theta) p_t^*$ 

Change in notation for the nominal cost function from  $\Psi_{t+k}$  (2008) to  $\varsigma_{t+k}$ (2015). Also, change in the maxproblem from  $Q_{t,t+k}$  (2008) to  $^{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}/_{P_{t+k}}$  (2015). The latter notation makes more sense, see next slide.

#### Deriving the model **Firms**

$$
/13
$$

Firms choose prices, output and labor input to maximize:

$$
\underset{P_t^*}{Max} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left( \frac{1}{P_{t+k}} \right) \left( P_t^* Y_{t+k|t} - S_{t+k} \left( Y_{t+k|t} \right) \right) \right\}
$$

subject to:

$$
Y_{t+k|t} = \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}}\right)^{-\varepsilon} Y_{t+k},\tag{16}
$$

$$
Y_{t+k|t} = A_{t+k} N_{t+k|t}^{1-\alpha} \tag{14}
$$

 $\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} P_{t+k} \left( i \right) & & \text{with} \end{array} \right]$  $\int \! P_{t+k+1}^*(i)$  with  $\left\{\n \begin{array}{l}\n F_{t+k+1}(t) \\
 \vdots \\
 F_{t+k+1}(t)\n \end{array}\n \right.$  $\left[ P_{t+k+1}^*(i) \right]$  with  $=\begin{cases} P_{t+k+1}(t) & \text{with} \ 0 & \text{with} \end{cases}$  $_{+k}(l)$  with  $_{+k+1}(i)$  with p  $\mu_{k+1}(i) = \begin{cases} i+\kappa+1\\ P_{t+k}(i) \end{cases}$  with provide the set of the se  $(i)$  with prol  $(i) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} P_{t+k+1} \\ P_{t+k+2} \end{matrix} \right\}$ \*  $(i)$  w  $\mathfrak{p}_1(\iota)$  with p  $P_{t+k}(i) = \begin{cases} P_{t+k}(i) & \text{with probability} \end{cases}$  $P_{t+k+1}(i) = \begin{cases} P^*_{t+k+1}(i) \qquad \text{ with probal} \ 0 \end{cases}$  $t_{t+k}(t)$  wi  $\mathcal{L}_{t+k+1}(t)$  w  $t_{t+k+1}(t) = \{$ with probability with probability  $(1 - \theta)$  $\theta$ For each firm *i*:

*c t*  $k \frac{U_{c,t+k}}{U}$  (the stoch)  $t, t+k \equiv \beta^{\prime\prime} \; \frac{2\pi k}{U_{c,t}}$  (the stochastic discount i  $U_{c,t+k}$  (the steshestic discount  $,t$  $\Lambda_{t,t+k} \equiv \beta^k \, \frac{U_{c,t+k}}{I^I} \quad$  (the stochastic discount factor ),  $\, \, \, \varsigma_{t+k} \,$  =(nominal) cost function

Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016 32

The change in the firm max-problem mentioned on the previous slide is an improvement. We will use this new version in the course. The additions in the 2015 version of the book related to this change is some additional explanatory text and an appendix. Both are given on this slide.

# **Deriving the model**

#### **Firms**

The explanatory text (on page  $56$ ):  $\vert$   $\vert$   $\vert$   $\vert$   $\vert$   $\vert$   $\vert$  The appendix (on page  $84$ ):

$$
\underset{P_t^*}{Max} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left( \frac{1}{P_{t+k}} \right) \left( P_t^* Y_{t+k|t} - \varsigma_{t+k} \left( Y_{t+k|t} \right) \right) \right\} \qquad \text{is given by} \qquad \qquad V_t(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_t \left( \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left( Y_{t+k} \right) \right)
$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$  where  $\Lambda_{t,t+k} \equiv \beta^k U_{c,t+k}/U_{c,t}$  is the stochastic discount factor,  $C_t(\cdot)$  is the (nominal) cost function, and  $Y_{t+kt}$  denotes output in period  $t + k$  for a firm that last reset its price in period t. Note that it is implicitly assumed that the firm always meets the demand for its good at the current price. That assumption, which is maintained throughout the analysis below, requires, in turn, that the average price markup is sufficiently large and/or that the shifts in demand resulting from a variety of shocks are not too large.

 $6/13$ 

3.3 FIRM'S OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

The value of a firm in period  $t$ , expressed in terms of current consumption is given by  $\sim$  is given by

 $V_t(I) = \sum_{k=0} L_t$  $V_t(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_t$ 

where  $D_t(i) \equiv P_t(i) Y_t(i) - C_t(Y_t(i))$ .

Note that for a firm resetting its price in period  $t$ ,

$$
E_t\{\Lambda_{t,t+k}(D_{t+k}(i)/P_{t+k})\} = \theta^k E_t\{\Lambda_{t,t+k}(D_{t+k|t}/P_{t+k})\}
$$

$$
+(1-\theta)\sum_{b=1}^k \theta^{k-b} E_t\{\Lambda_{t,t+k}(D_{t+k|t+b}/P_{t+k})\}
$$
(37)

where  $D_{t+k|t} \equiv P_t^* Y_{t+k|t} - C_{t+k}(Y_{t+k|t})$  denotes period  $t+k$  dividends conditional on the price having been last reset in period  $t$ . Note that the second term on the right-hand side of (37) is independent of  $P_t^*$  since

it involves states of nature for which the price has been reset at least once after period t.

Thus, the value of a firm resetting its price in period  $t$  is given by

$$
V_{t|t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \{ \Lambda_{t,t+k} (D_{t+k|t} / P_{t+k}) \} + \Upsilon_t
$$

where  $\Upsilon_t$  is a term independent of  $P_t^*$  and hence can be ignored when choosing the latter, as reflected in the objective function in the main text.

Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016 33

Change in notation for the nominal unit cost from  $\psi_{t+k}$  (2008) to $\Psi_{t+k}$  (2015).

#### **Deriving the model** Firms

$$
7/13
$$

The Lagrangian for firm *i*:

$$
L_{t} = E_{t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^{k} \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left( \frac{1}{P_{t+k}} \right) \left[ P_{t}^{*}(i) Y_{t+k|t}(i) - W_{t+k} N_{t+k|t}(i) \right]
$$
  

$$
- \zeta_{t+k|t}(i) \left( Y_{t+k|t}(i) - \left( \frac{P_{t}^{*}(i)}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\varepsilon} Y_{t+k} \right)
$$
  

$$
- \Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \left( Y_{t+k|t}(i) - A_{t+k} N_{t+k|t}(i)^{1-\alpha} \right)
$$

 $(i)$  is the shadow price on the production constraint, it gives the firm's nominal unit cost when prices were last set in period  $t$ :  $\Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \equiv \varsigma_{t+k}^{'}\big(Y_{t+k|t}(i)\big)$  $\Psi_{t+k|t}(i)$ 

is the shadow price on the demand constraint, it tells us the firm's nominal **unit profit** when prices were last set in period *<sup>t</sup>* ( )  $\zeta_{t+k|t}(i)$ 

First order condition with respect to hours:

$$
\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial N_t(i)} = -W_t + \Psi_t(i)MPN_t(i) = 0 \Rightarrow \Psi_t(i) = \frac{W_t}{MPN_t(i)} \quad (17)
$$

First order condition with respect to output:

$$
\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial Y_t(i)} = P_t^*(i) - \zeta_t(i) - \Psi_t(i) = 0 \Rightarrow \zeta_t(i) = P_t^*(i) - \Psi_t(i) \tag{18}
$$

First order condition with respect to the price (for firms re-optimizing in period t):

$$
\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial P_t^*(i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} \left[ Y_{t+k|t}(i) - \zeta_{t+k|t}(i) \left( \varepsilon \left[ \frac{P_t^*(i)}{P_{t+k}} \right]^{-\varepsilon-1} \frac{Y_{t+k}}{P_{t+k}} \right) \right] \right\} = 0
$$

Knowing that:

$$
\zeta_{t+k|t}(i) \left( \varepsilon \left[ \frac{P_t^*(i)}{P_{t+k}} \right]^{-\varepsilon-1} \frac{Y_{t+k}}{P_{t+k}} \right) = \varepsilon \zeta_{t+k|t}(i) \left( \left[ \frac{P_t^*(i)}{P_{t+k}} \right]^{-\varepsilon} Y_{t+k} \right) \left( \left[ \frac{P_t^*(i)}{P_{t+k}} \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{P_{t+k}} \right) = \frac{\varepsilon \zeta_{t+k|t}(i) Y_{t+k|t}(i)}{P_t^*(i)}
$$
\nyields:

Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016 35

 $9/13$ 

$$
\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial P_t^*(i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} \left[ Y_{t+k|t}(i) - \frac{\varepsilon \zeta_{t+k|t}(i) Y_{t+k|t}(i)}{P_t^*(i)} \right] \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ 1 - \frac{\varepsilon \zeta_{t+k|t}(i)}{P_t^*(i)} \right] \right\} = 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial L_t}{\partial P_t^*(i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ P_t^*(i) - \varepsilon \zeta_{t+k|t}(i) \right] \right\} = 0
$$

Inserting for  $\zeta_{t+k|t}(i)$  from the FOC for output:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ P_t^*(i) - \varepsilon \left( P_t^*(i) - \Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \right) \right] \right\} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ P_t^*(i) (1-\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \right] \right\} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ P_t^*(i) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\frac{(\varepsilon-1)}{M}} \Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \right] \right\} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ P_t^*(i) - \mathbf{M} \Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \right] \right\} = 0 \tag{19}
$$

### 10/13

Firm's optimal behavior for choosing a price in period t hence satisfies:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ P_t^*(i) - \mathbf{M} \Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \right] \right\} = 0 \tag{19}
$$

 $\blacksquare$  If prices were fully flexible, then  $(\theta = 0)$  , every firm reset prices every period and the FOC reduces to:

$$
P_t^*(i) = \mathbf{M}\Psi_t(i)
$$

- With sticky nominal prices: The price is set as a mark-up over a weighted average of current and future expected marginal costs.
	- The future gets a lower weight, both due to discounting and the probability of a new price.
	- **Periods with high demand get a high weight.**

The log-linearization of equation (20) is presented a little differently in the two versions of the book, however, the resulting main equation is the same, only with some difference in notation. We will use a merge of the two versions, as presented on slides 38 and 39, because that provides the most information.

$$
\mathbf{11/13}
$$

 We now know how firms will set *prices* in every period, but we want to know more about what drives *inflation* in a model with price rigidities. Hence, we rewrite equation (19):

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^{k} E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ \frac{P_{t}^{*}(i)}{P_{t-1}} - \frac{P_{t+k}}{P_{t+k} P_{t-1}} \mathbf{M} \Psi_{t+k|t}(i) \right] \right\} =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^{k} E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{t,t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ \frac{P_{t}^{*}(i)}{P_{t-1}} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} C_{t+k|t}(i) \Pi_{t-1,t+k} \right] \right\} = 0
$$
\n(20)

where  $\prod_{t=1,t+k} = \frac{t+\kappa}{2}$  and  $MC_{t+k|t}(i) = \frac{1}{2}$  (real marginal cost for a firm *i* which last set prices in period *t*) 1 1,  $\overline{a}$  $\prod_{t-1,t+k} = \frac{F_{t+1}}{B}$ *t*  $t + k$  $t^{-1,t+k}$   $\overline{P}_t$ *P*  $t+k$  which las  $t + k|t(l)$  (real real  $t+k|t|$   $(t) = \frac{t+k}{t+k}$  (real marginal cost for  $P_{t+k}$  which last set prices *i*)  $MC_{t+k|t}(i) = \frac{1+t+k|t^{(k)}}{n}$  (real ma  $+k$  which last s  $+k|t(1)|$  $+k|_{t}(l) = \frac{1}{l}$  $\Psi_{t+k|t}(i)$  $=\frac{1+t+k|t(t)}{t}$  (real margin  $(i)$  $(i) = \frac{\Upsilon_{t+k|t}(l)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (i)}$  $|_t (l) =$ 

■ Assuming zero inflation in steady state, log-linearizing eq. 20 around steady state (NB: a hand-

$$
\sum_{k=0} \theta^k E_t \left\{ \frac{P_{t+k}}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+k|t}(i) \left[ \frac{P_{t}(t)}{P_{t-1}} - MMC_{t+k|t}(i) \prod_{t-1,t+k} \right] \right\} = 0
$$
 (20)  
Here  $\prod_{t-1,t+k} = \frac{P_{t+k}}{P_{t-1}}$  and  $MC_{t+k|t}(i) = \frac{\Psi_{t+k|t}(i)}{P_{t+k}}$  (real marginal cost for a firm *i*  
Assuming zero inflation in steady state, log-linearizing eq. 20 around steady state (NB: a hand-  
out on how to go from eq.(20) to eq.(21) is given at the lecture) yields:  
 $p_t^* - p_{t-1} = (1 - \beta \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta \theta) E_t \{ \hat{m}c_{t+k} + (p_{t+k} - p_{t-1}) \}$  (21)  
The subscript (i) is dropped, as  $P_t^*(i)$  will be the same for all firms that get to change prices in  
period *t*.

 $\blacksquare$  The subscript (i) is dropped, as  $\ P^*_t(i) \ \text{ will be the same for all firms that get to change prices in }$ Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016

Some additional interpretation of equation (22) is provided in the (2015)-version of the book, see quote on the slide for full interpretation.

$$
p_t^* - p_{t-1} = (1 - \beta \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta \theta) E_t \{ \hat{m} c_{t+k} + (p_{t+k} - p_{t-1}) \}
$$
 (21)

- **Equation (21) tells us what drives inflation and is our point of departure in order to construct the** New Keynesian Phillips curve (which we will do at lecture 6).
- **Rearranging terms, equation (21) becomes:**

$$
p_t^* = \mu + (1 - \beta \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta \theta) E_t \{ mc_{t+k|t} + p_{t+k} \} = \mu + (1 - \beta \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta \theta) E_t \{ \psi_{t+k|t} \}
$$
(22)  
where  $\psi_{t+k|t} = \log(\Psi_{t+k|t})$ , i.e. the log of the nominal unit cost.

**"** To the extent that prices are sticky  $(\theta > 0)$ , firms set prices in a forward-looking way. The chosen *price corresponds to their desired mark-up over a weighted average of their current and expected*  (nominal) marginal costs, proportional to the probability of the price remaining at each horizon,  $\theta^k$ , times the cumulative discount factor,  $\beta^{k}$  "

- In order to solve for equilibrium we bring with us the following two equations from the firm's problem:
- **The production function:**

$$
Y_{t}\left(i\right) = A_{t} N_{t}\left(i\right)^{1-\alpha},\qquad \qquad (14)
$$

**The log-linear optimal price-setting condition:** 

$$
p_t^* - p_{t-1} = (1 - \beta \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta \theta) E_t \{ \hat{m} c_{t+k} + (p_{t+k} - p_{t-1}) \}
$$
 (21)

# Outline

- Short summary of lecture 3, with interpretation of equilibrium dynamics
- Introduction to a basic New Keynesian model What is new?
	- **Introducing price rigidities**
- Deriving the model households
- $\blacksquare$  Deriving the model firms
- **Start on market clearing**

# **Deriving the model**

#### **Market Clearing**

**Market clearing implies:** 

$$
Y_{t} = C_{t}
$$
\n
$$
N_{t} = \int_{0}^{1} N_{t} (i) di = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{Y_{t}(i)}{A_{t}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} di
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{Y_{t}}{A_{t}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{P_{t}(i)}{P_{t}}\right)^{\frac{c}{1-\alpha}} di
$$
\nNote the following:

\n\n- Firms set prices and production is demand determined (Keynesian assumption). For each type of goods  $Y_{t}(i) = C_{t}(i)$ .
\n- Demand for labor is given by the production function.
\n
\n

**IFM** In this simple model: consumption is the only source of demand for goods

# **Deriving the model**

#### **Market Clearing**

**f** Taking logs of equation (23) yields:  $(1-\alpha)n_{t} = y_{t} - a_{t} + d_{t}$ 

where  $\left< d_{t} \right>$  measures price dispersion across firms.

 $\bullet$   $d_{t}$  will be equal to zero up to a first order approximation in the neighborhood of steady state, hence we assume the following approximate relation between aggregate output, employment and technology:

Rearranging terms:

$$
y_t = a_t + (1 - \alpha)n_t
$$

 which can be thought of as determining aggregate employment, given aggregate output and technology.

$$
n_t = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \left( y_t - a_t \right) \tag{24}
$$

**NB**: Finding  $d_t$  is not required.

# **Next three weeks**

- **Next week:** Guest lecture by chief economist Kjetil Olsen
- **On 26 February**: Winter break
- **On 4 March**: Equilibrium in the NKM
	- **Finding the NK Phillips curve**
	- **Finding the Dynamic IS equation**
	- Closing the model by **introducing monetary policy**  We'll see that monetary policy *has*  effect on real variables in the short run
	- Learning mathematical method nr. 2 (nr. 1 was log-linearization around steady state): *Method of undetermined coefficients*
- About chapter 3:
	- For lecture 4: Galí chapter 3, pages 41-46 (2008) / pages  $52-59$  (2015)
	- For lecture 6: Galí chapter 3, pages  $46-56$  (2008) / pages  $59-74$  (2015)
	- Both books: Section 3.4.2 may be skipped.
- Seminar 2: The exercise is on the web



## Monetary Policy

(Advanced Monetary Economics)

ECON 4325

45 Nina Larsson Midthjell - Lecture 4 - 12 February 2016