# Fixed versus floating exchange rates with imperfect capital mobility ECON4330 Lecture 9-2

Asbjørn Rødseth

University of Oslo 04/02/13

April 2, 2013

## Outline

- Policy regimes
- MFT-Model
- Effects of shocks
- Scope for policy

# Literature

Rødseth 3.1 and 6.1-6.4

# Policy regimes: Targets at different levels

- Welfare of population
- Price stability, low unemployment
- 3 Inflation rate 2.5, Price of dollar 7.15 kr
- Interest rate, quantity of money
  - Day to day targets: Interest rate, exchange rate, quantity of money, central bank credit
  - Only two can be set independently
  - If UIP, only one can be set independently

# Restrictions on policy

#### Central Bank balance sheet:

$$EF_g - B - M = EF_{g0} - B_0 - M_0$$

- Quantities: M (money), B (NOK bonds),  $F_g$  (USD bonds)
- Prices: i (NOK interest rate), E (NOK/USD exchange rate)
- i\* (USD interest rate) given, money no interest
- Assets are bought with assets
- Cannot decide both price and quantity in a market
- Perfect capital mobility means there is only one bond market

## Sterilization

- When CB buy foreign currency, it pays with money
- Equilibrium in the money market requires that demand equals supply:

$$\frac{M}{p}=m(i,Y)$$

- A purchase of foreign currency raises M and lowers i
- Sterilization means that CB finances the purchase by selling bonds
- The money paid is withdrawn
- Same result can be achieved by intervening in the forward market

# The MFT-model: Intro

- Standard Keynesian Open Economy model
- Home and foreign goods
- Goods prices change only gradually
- Small economy
- Portfolio approach to financial side
- Mundell Fleming Tobin

# Focus and simplifications

- Short-run variations in activity
- Importance of capital mobility
- Regimes where CB sets interest rate or exchange rate (or both)
- Foreigners do not hold domestic currency
- Dropped M, interpret B as total kroner assets

## MFT-model: The real side

$$Y = C(Y_p, W_p, \rho, \rho_*) + I(\rho, \rho_*) + G + X(R, Y, Y_*)$$
 (1)

$$Y_p = Y - \rho_* \frac{EF_*}{P} - T \tag{2}$$

$$W_p = \frac{B_0 + EF_{p0}}{P} \tag{3}$$

$$\rho = i - \dot{p}_e \tag{4}$$

$$R = \frac{EP_*}{P} \tag{5}$$

Output (Y) Consumption (C), Investment (I), Government purchases (G), net exports(X), Disposable income  $(Y_p)$ , net Taxes and Transfers T  $0 < C_Y < 1$ ,  $C_W > 0$ ,  $C_O < 0$ ,  $C_{O_x} < 0$ ,  $I_O < 0$ ,  $I_{O_x} < 0$ .

## Marshall-Lerner Condition

$$X = Z_* - RZ = Z_* - \frac{EP_*}{P}Z$$

Z,  $Z_*$ Import volumes. Import demand functions:

$$Z = Z(R, Y)$$
  $Z_R < 0$ ;  $Z_Y > 0$   
 $Z_* = Z_*(R, Y_*)$   $Z_{*R} > 0$ ;  $Z_{*Y} > 0$ 

 $X_Y < 0$ , and  $X_{Y_*} > 0$  follows  $X_R > 0$  Positive quantity effects, negative price effects  $X_R > 0$ ,  $X_Y < 0$  assumed - quantity effects dominates Marshall-Lerner: Sum of demand elasticities > 1

# MFT - Financial Side

$$\delta = i - i_* - \dot{e}_e(E) \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{B}{P} = W_p - f(\delta, W_p) \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{B}{P} = W_p - f(\delta, W_p)$$
 (7)
$$\frac{EF_p}{P} = f(\delta, W_p)$$
 (8)

$$F_g + F_\rho = -F_* (9)$$

 $\delta = \text{risk premium}, \ \dot{e}_e(E) = \text{expected rate of depreciation}$  $f_{\delta} < 0$ ,  $0 < f_{W} < 1$ ,  $e'_{\alpha} < 0$ .

## Determination

- Given from abroad:  $P_*$ ,  $i_*$ ,  $Y_*$ ,  $\rho_*$
- Predetermined: P,  $\dot{p}_e$ ,  $F_*$ ,  $B_0$ ,  $F_{p0}$
- Policy; Fiscal G, T, Monetary E,  $F_g$ , i, B
- Remaining: Y,  $Y_p$ , R,  $\delta$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $W_p$ ,  $F_p$  (7 in all)

Nine equations, nine endogenous variables  $\Rightarrow$  Two monetary policy variables can be chosen "freely"

# Regimes we shall look at

- Fixed exchange rate, *i* and *E* fixed, interventions used to keep *E* on target
- 2 Floating, i and  $F_g$  fixed, E floats
- **3** Fixed by interest rate:  $F_g$  and E fixed, i used instead of interventions

Compare first 1 and 2, come to 3 later

## **FOREX MARKET**

#### Recall chapter 1:

- Equilibrium condition:  $F_g + F_p + F_* = 0$
- or after inserting demand function

$$F_g + (P/E)f(i - i_* - \dot{e}_e(E), (B_0 + EF_{p0})/P) + F_* = 0$$

#### Fixed:

- E, i exogenous,  $F_g$  endogenous
- Lower i means loss of reserves,  $F_g$  down
- ullet More capital mobility ( $|f_\delta'|$  high) means greater loss of reserves

#### Floating:

- $F_g$ , i exogenous, E endogenous
- Lower i means depreciation (E up)
- More capital mobility means stronger depreciation

In both cases are i and  $F_g$  unaffected by the goods market

# Aggregate demand: Fixed

Fixed rate IS-curve:

$$Y = C(Y - \rho_* \frac{EF_*}{P} - T, \frac{B_0 + EF_{p0}}{P}, i - \dot{p_e}, \rho_*) + I(i - \dot{p_e}, \rho_*) + G + X(\frac{EP_*}{P}, V_*)$$

Note the effects of a devaluation (E up) when  $F_* < 0$  and  $F_{p0} < 0$ :

- Interests payments on the foreign debt increase leading to reduced consumer demand
- Real wealth goes down leading to a further reduction in consumer demand
- Imports become more expensive leaving less to be spent on home goods
- Home goods become relatively cheaper shifting demand towards them

# Aggregate demand: Float

ISFX - IS with  $E(i,i_*,F_g)$  inserted The positive direct effect of a cut in i on Y is often (usually?) reinforced by the accompanying depreciation

- This is more likely
  - the lower the foreign currency debt
  - the higher the trade surplus
  - the closer substitutes home and foreign goods are
- An interest rate cut may fail to raise Y if
  - foreign currency debt is high
  - the trade deficit is large
  - substitution is weak between home and foreign goods
  - direct interest rate effects are weak

## Fixed versus flexible: Effects of shocks

## Assume 1)i given, 2) An increase in E raises aggregate demand

- Demand shocks (including fiscal policy)
  - have same effect on output
  - have no effect on exchange rate or forex-reserves
- Disturbances in the forex-market
  - fixed exchange rate insulates the goods market
  - floating rate means shocks are transmitted from forex to goods through the E
  - high capital mobility makes *E* and, hence, *Y*, more sensitive to shifts in exchange rate expectations.

## Fixed versus float - effect of interest rates

- Reduced Interest rate
  - stronger output effect when floating
  - necessitates use of forex-reserve when fixed
    - potential revenue loss if i differs too much from i<sub>\*</sub>
    - high capital mobility means lager interventions
- *i* can be used to target output or home goods inflation
- More capital mobility means lager interventions needed
- Interventions lose their effect when capital mobility perfect

# Fixed versus float: Policy opportunities

- In both cases i can be used to pursue any one of a large number of potential targets, e.g.m output, home goods inflation,  $M_2$
- A fixed exchange rate provides a "nominal anchor"
- A clean float by itself does not yield nominal stability. That then require that *i* is used for this somehow.
- A fixed exchange rate will be undermined over time if interest rate is set without concern for price stability
- More capital mobility means lager interventions needed to get a given output effect

# Managed exchange rates

- Fixed exchange rates with occasional devaluations / revaluations
- Floating exchange rates with occasional interventions

In principle one can achieve the same results

# Exchange rate fixed by interest rate

 $F_g$  and E exogenous, i used to keep E on target

$$F_g + (P/E)f(i - i_* - \dot{e}_e(E), (B_0 + EF_{p0})/P) + F_* = 0$$

- Disturbances in foreign exchange market transmitted to goods market through the interest rate.
- Works also with perfect capital mobility
- Prone to speculative attacks when countries are hit with asymmetric disturbances
- Strength of such attacks can increase tremendously when capital mobility is high

# Qualifications

- Trend inflation may have real consequences
  - seignorage, downward nominal rigidity in wages, zero lower bound on interest rates
- Centralized bargaining, strategic interactions with monetary policy
- Credibility issues, speculative attacks on rigid (fixed) rules
- Volatility of shocks may depend on exchange rate system

# Perfect capital mobility: The trilemma

UIP and money market equilibrium:

$$i=i_*+e_e(E), \qquad \frac{M}{P}=m(i,Y)$$

- the central bank can use only one out of i, E or M as an instrument
- sterilized interventions are without effect on the exchange rate
- fixed rate: i used to keep E fixed, cannot stabilize the domestic economy
- floating rate: fixed money supply: *i* cannot be used to stabilize the exchange rate

# The dilemma of fixed exchange rates

#### High confidence in fixed rate

- Little exchange rate risk
- $e_e = 0$  and  $\kappa$  large
- Must have  $i \approx i_*$
- If not, costs may be enormous

#### Low confidence in fixed rate

- More exchange rate risk
- Lower capital mobility
- Changing expectations
- High variability in interest rates
- Occasional breakdowns

# Responses to dilemma

- Mutual fixing
- Monetary union
- Currency boards
- Wide margins
- Restoration rules
- Exchange controls
- Floating rates with inflation target