

# ECON 4335 Economics of Banking, Fall 2017

## Problem Set 6: Solution

November 2, 2017

### Overborrowing, externalities, and systemic risk

Consider a small open economy with a tradable goods sector and a nontradable goods sector. Only tradable goods can be traded internationally; nontradable goods have to be consumed domestically. The economy is populated by a continuum of identical households of measure one, living for 2 periods  $t = 0, 1$ , with preferences given by  $u(c) = \ln c_0^T + \ln c_0^N + \ln c_1^T$ , in which  $c_0^T$ ,  $c_0^N$ ,  $c_1^T$  are consumption of tradable goods at  $t = 0$ , consumption of nontradable goods at  $t = 0$ , consumption of tradable goods at  $t = 1$ , respectively.

A representative household starts with initial asset  $b_0$  at  $t = 0$ , and ends after  $t = 1$  with zero asset, i.e.,  $b_2 = 0$ . Note that  $b_0$  can be positive or negative: when  $b_0 < 0$ , the household starts with initial debt. The timeline of events is as follows:

- At  $t = 0$ , the representative household receives both an endowment of tradable goods  $y_0^T$  and an endowment of nontradable goods  $y_0^N$  for consumption.  $y_0^T$  is a random variable that is drawn from a distribution with cumulative distribution function  $F(y)$ , while  $y_0^N$  is constant. After  $(y_0^T, y_0^N)$  is revealed, the household can also borrow from abroad by purchasing a one-period, non-state contingent foreign bond denominated in units of tradables that demands a fixed interest rate  $r$  — normalized to be 0, determined exogenously in the world market. Normalize the price of tradables to 1 and denote the price of nontradable goods by  $p_0^N$ . In addition, the household's debt is securitized such that its total debt cannot exceed a fraction  $0 < \kappa < 1$  of its total income from tradables and nontradables;
  - At  $t = 1$ , starting with total asset  $b_1$  the representative household only receives an endowment of tradable goods  $y_1^T$  for consumption.  $y_1^T$  is constant.
1. Specify the representative household's budget constraints, borrowing constraint, and life-time optimization problem.

The household's optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_0^T, c_0^N, b_1, c_1^T\}} \quad & u = \ln c_0^T + \ln c_0^N + \ln c_1^T, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & c_0^T + p_0^N c_0^N + b_1 = b_0 + y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N, \\ & c_1^T = b_1 + y_1^T, \\ & b_1 \geq -\kappa (y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N). \end{aligned}$$

2. Compute the first order conditions for the household's optimization problem:

- (a) Derive the first order conditions with respect to  $c_0^T$  and  $c_0^N$ , then determine  $p_0^N$ ;  
Set up the Lagrangian for the optimization problem as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \ln c_0^T + \ln c_0^N + \ln c_1^T \\ & + \lambda_0 (b_0 + y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N - c_0^T - p_0^N c_0^N - b_1) \\ & + \lambda_1 (b_1 + y_1^T - c_1^T) \\ & + \nu [b_1 + \kappa (y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N)], \end{aligned}$$

and the first order conditions with respect to  $c_0^T$  and  $c_0^N$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_0^T} &= \frac{1}{c_0^T} - \lambda_0 = 0; \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_0^N} &= \frac{1}{c_0^N} - \lambda_0 p_0^N = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combine to get

$$\frac{c_0^T}{c_0^N} = p_0^N.$$

As non-tradables are not used for bond transactions, they have to be consumed, i.e.,  $c_0^N = y_0^N$ , and  $\frac{c_0^T}{y_0^N} = p_0^N$ .

- (b) Derive the first order conditions with respect to  $c_1^T$  and  $b_1$ , then determine the Euler equation. Why is the borrowing constraint occasionally binding?

The first order conditions with respect to  $c_1^T$  and  $b_1$  are

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_1^T} = \frac{1}{c_1^T} - \lambda_1 = 0;$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_1} = -\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \nu = 0.$$

Combining the results from before to get  $\frac{1}{c_0^T} = \frac{1}{c_1^T} + \nu$ . The value of  $\nu$  is the shadow price of bonds, by Kuhn-Tucker condition,

$$\nu \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } b_1 > -\kappa(y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N) \\ \geq & \text{if } b_1 = -\kappa(y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if the borrowing constraint is not binding,  $\nu = 0$  and  $\frac{1}{c_0^T} = \frac{1}{c_1^T}$  or  $c_0^T = c_1^T$ ; if the borrowing constraint is binding,  $\nu \geq 0$  and  $\frac{1}{c_0^T} \geq \frac{1}{c_1^T}$  or  $c_0^T \leq c_1^T$ .

3. Determine  $c_0^T$ :

(a) Under what condition(s) is the borrowing constraint not binding? In this case, use the results from exercise 2(b) to determine  $c_0^T$ ;

If the borrowing constraint is not binding,  $c_0^T = c_1^T$ . Combining budget constraints  $c_0^T + p_0^N c_0^N + b_1 = b_0 + y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N$  and  $c_1^T = b_1 + y_1^T$  and using the fact that  $c_0^N = y_0^N$ , one can get  $c_0^T = \frac{b_0 + y_0^T + y_1^T}{2}$ . That the borrowing constraint is not binding means  $b_1 > -\kappa(y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N)$ , combining the budget constraints and the value of  $c_0^T$

$$b_1 = b_0 + y_0^T - c_0^T > -\kappa(y_0^T + p_0^N y_0^N) = -\kappa(y_0^T + c_0^T),$$

rearrange to get

$$b_0 > \frac{-(3\kappa + 1)y_0^T + (1 - \kappa)y_1^T}{1 + \kappa}.$$

That is, the borrowing constraint is not binding if the household does not start with too much debt.

(b) When the borrowing constraint is binding, compute  $c_0^T$ .

When the borrowing constraint is binding, combine the binding borrowing constraint with the budget constraints and get  $c_0^T = \frac{b_0 + (1 + \kappa)y_0^T}{1 - \kappa}$ . In this case,  $b_1 = b_0 + y_0^T - c_0^T = -\frac{\kappa b_0 + 2\kappa y_0^T}{1 - \kappa}$ .

4. Consider two situations at  $t = 0$ : the economy can be either in normal state  $y_0^T = \bar{y}$ , i.e., the household receives a mean value  $\bar{y}$ , or crisis state  $y_0^T = \bar{y} - 1$ , i.e.,  $y_0^T$  is below the mean. Suppose the household knows the true state before it borrows.

(a) If borrowing constraint is not binding in both states, how does  $c_0^T$  react to the crisis,

compared with  $c_0^T$  in the normal state?

Use the result from exercise 3(a),  $c_0^T(\bar{y}) - c_0^T(\bar{y} - 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

(b) If borrowing constraint is binding in both states, how does  $c_0^T$  react to the crisis, compared with  $c_0^T$  in the normal state?

Use the result from exercise 3(b),  $c_0^T(\bar{y}) - c_0^T(\bar{y} - 1) = \frac{1+\kappa}{1-\kappa} > 1 > \frac{1}{2}$ .

☞ Bianchi, J. (2011), Overborrowing and systemic externalities in the business cycle, *American Economic Review* 101, 3400-3426.