governments
Variables Means (1)

Total expenditures as a share of income (%)

Current spending as a share of income (%)

Local government employees per capita (%)

Total revenues as a share of income (%)

Proportional income tax rate (%)

Economic outcomes

Total expenditures per capita

Current spending per capita

Total revenues per capita

Unemployment rate (%)

Assignment variable

Left vote share

Control variables Income

28,162	25,083	3,086***
38.92	34.89	4.00***
29,493	26,807	2,686***

Right-wing

governments

Means (2)

26,787

37.58

37.67

16.14

2.93

5.65

37.06

72,657

Difference in

Means (1)-(2) (3)

2,775\*\*\* 3.52\*\*\*

3.39\*\*\*

0.57\*\*\* 0.59\*\*\*

0.24\*\*\*

21.05\*\*\*

-203

TABLE 4. Descriptive statistics for left- and right-wing governments.

Left-wing

29,562

41.11

41.06

16.71

3.52

5.89

58.11

72,454

e expressed in 1991 prices (SEK).
\*Significant at 10%; \*\*significant at 5%; \*\*\*significant at 1%.

0.027\*\*\* 0.023\*\* 0.020\*\* Log (Total spending 0.024\*\* 0.021\*\* 0.024\*0.022\*\*per capita) (0.009)(0.009)(0.010)(0.010)(0.013)(0.0009)(0.010)Log (Total spending as 0.021\*\* 0.025\*\* 0.024\*\* 0.025\*\* 0.034\* 0.021\*\* 0.024\*\*\*

TABLE 7. Party effect: Fiscal policies. 3

(0.010)

0.027\*\*

(0.011)

0.028\*\*

(0.012)

0.019\*\*

(0.009)

0.020\*\*

(0.010)

0.012\*\*\*

(0.004)

Full Third

No

Note: Standard errors clustered at the local government's term in office level are within parentheses. Each entry is a separate regression. All regressions also include, but do not report, municipality specific effects, time effects, and an indicator for undefined majority governments. The full sample includes 5,913 observations and the  $\pm 2$  sample include

(0.011)

0.026\*\*

(0.011)

0.030\*\*\*

(0.012)

0.017\*

(0.009)

0.021\*\*

(0.010)

0.013\*\*\*

(0.004)

Full

Fourth

No

5

(0.018)

0.019

(0.013)

0.029

(0.018)

0.015

(0.013)

0.025

(0.018)

0.011

(0.008)

 $\pm 2$ 

None

No

6

(0.009)

0.025\*\*

(0.010)

0.026\*\*\*

(0.009)

0.017\*

(0.009)

0.018\*\*

(0.009)

0.013\*\*\*

(0.004)

Full

Fourth

Yes

7

(0.009)

0.027\*\*

(0.011)

0.029\*\*\*

(0.010)

0.014

(0.010)

0.017\*

(0.009)

0.014\*\*\*

(0.004)

Full

Fourth  $\times$  time

Yes

(0.010)(0.010)0.024\*\* 0.027\*\*\*

(0.010)

0.025\*\*

(0.011)

0.027\*\*\*

(0.009)

0.025\*\*

(0.010)

0.013\*\*\*

(0.004)

Full

Second

No

all observations that are in the range of [48, 52] of the left vote share and there are 828 such observations.

(0.010)

0.022\*

(0.011)

0.024\*\*\*

(0.009)

0.021\*\*

(0.010)

0.012\*\*\*

(0.004)

Full

First

No

\*Significant at 10%; \*\*significant at 5%; \*\*\*significant at 1%.

a share of income)

Log (Current spending

Log (Current spending

Log (Total revenues as

a share of income)

Log (Total revenues

as a share of income)

per capita)

per capita)

Log (Proportional

Left vote share

polynomial Controls

Sample

income tax rate)

	•			•		Ü	•
Log (Unemployment	-0.017	-0.032	-0.056*	-0.056*	-0.121	-0.048	-0.070**
rate)	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.089)	(0.031)	(0.033)
Log (Government	0.030**	0.033***	0.035***	0.036***	0.039***	0.032***	0.036***
employees per	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.016)	(0.011)	(0.012)
capita)							

TABLE 8. Party effect: Economic policies. 3

Sample Full Full Full Full  $\pm 2$ Full Full Left vote share First Second Third Fourth None Fourth Fourth  $\times$  time polynomial Controls No No No No Yes No Yes Note: Standard errors clustered at the local government's term in office level are within parentheses. Each entry is a separate regression. All regressions also include, but do not report, municipality specific effects, time effects, and an indicator for undefined majority governments. The full sample includes 5.913 observations for government employment

and 4520 for unemployment. The ±2 sample include all observations that are in the range of [48, 52] of the left vote share and there are 828 such observations for government employment and 603 for unemployment. \*Significant at 10%: \*\*significant at 5%: \*\*\*significant at 1%.

TABLE 9. Specification test of whether party control is as good as randomly assigned.

Dependent variable: Party control	
Income	1.55e-06
	(1.28e-06)
Population size	2.61e-06
	(2.76e-06)
Proportion of young, 0–15	-0.0046
	(0.0050)
Proportion of old, 65+	-0.0064
•	(0.0064)
F-test	1.22
<i>p</i> -value	(0.30)
Number of observations	5,913

Note: Standard errors clustered at the term in office are within parentheses. The regression also includes, but do not report, municipality specific effects, time effects, and an indicator for undefined majority governments and a linear control function.

TABLE 10. Specification test of whether covariates have an effect at the discontinuity.

	Income	Population size	Proportion of young, 0–15	Proportion of old, 65+
Party effect	506	402	-0.147	-0.112
•	(403)	(338)	(0.158)	(0.111)
Number of observations	5,913	5,913	5,913	5,913

Note: Standard errors clustered at the term in office are within parentheses. All regressions also include, but do not report, municipality specific effects, time effects, and an indicator for undefined majority governments and a polynomial in left vote share.

Total Number

Proportion of Female Pradhans

FRACTION OF WOMEN AMONG PRADHANS IN RESERVED

AND UNRESERVED GP			
	Reserved GP	Unreserved GP	
	(1)	(2)	

TABLE I

	Reserved GP	Unreserved GP	
	(1)	(2)	
West Bengal			

Total Number 54 107 Proportion of Female Pradhans 100% 6.5% Rajasthan

40

100%

60

1.7%

Dependent Variables

Female Literacy Rate

Bus Stop or Train Station

Tube Well Is Available

Handpump Is Available

Number of Primary Schools

Number of Middle Schools

F-Statistics: Difference Jointly Significant

West Bengal regressions, are in parentheses.

Number of High Schools

% Cultivated Land that Is Irrigated

Number of Public Health Facilities

Male Literacy Rate

Dirt Road

Metal Road

Wells

Tap Water

(p-value)

Total Population

Mean Reserved GP

(1)

.35

(.01)

.57

(.01)

.45

(.03)

92

(.02)

.18

(.03)

.31

(.04)

.06

(.01)

.05

(.03)

.84

(.04)

.44

(.07)

.05

(.03)

.95

(.07)

.05

(.01)

.09

(.01)

974

(60)

TABLE II VILLAGE CHARACTERISTICS IN RESERVED AND UNSERVED GP. 1991 CENSUS

Difference

(3)

.01

(.01)

(.01)

.02

(.04)

.01

(.02)

.03

(.03)

.05

(.04)

(.02)

(.07)

(.05)

(.08)

.01

(.03)

.04

(.08)

.00

(.01)

(.02)

.93

(.53)

-.01

-.02

-.02

-.04

-.02

-.01

-49

(75)

Mean Reserved GP

(4)

.05

(.01)

.28

(.02)

.05

(.01)

.40

(.08)

.31

(.07)

.40

(.08)

.29

(.08)

.02

(.02)

.90

(.05)

.93

(.04)

.12

(.05)

.93

(.09)

.43

(.08)

.14

(.06)

1249

(123)

Raiasthan

Mean, Unreserved GP

(5)

.05

(.01)

.26

(.02)

.07

(.01)

52

(.07)

.34

(.06)

.43

(.07)

. 19

(.06)

.03

(.02)

.97

(.02)

.91

(.04)

.09

(.04)

1.16

(.10)

.33

(.07)

.07

(.04)

1564

(157)

Difference

(6)

.00

(.01)

.03

(.03)

-.02

(.02)

(.10)

(.10)

(.10)

.10

(.10)

(.03)

(.05)

.01

(.06)

.03

(.06)

(.15)

.10

(.10)

.07

(.07)

(.11)

1.54

-.23

-.06

-.01

-.03

-.11

-.04

-315

(212)

West Bengal

Mean, Unreserved GP

(2)

.34

(.01)

.58

(.01)

.43

(.02)

.91

(.01)

.15

(.02)

.26

(.02)

.08

(.01)

.07

(.02)

.88

(.03)

.47

(.04)

.03

(.02)

.91

(.03)

.05

(.01)

.10

(.01)

Notes: 1. There are 2120 observations in the West Bengal regressions, and 100 in the Rajasthan regressions. 2. Standard errors, corrected for clustering at the GP level in the

1022

(46)

## Dependent Variables

Fraction of Women Among Participants

Have Men Filed a Complaint to the GP

Fraction of Women Among Participants

Have Men Filed a Complaint to the GP

Bengal regressions, using the Moulton (1986) formula.

Have Women Filed a Complaint to

the GP in the Last 6 Months

in the Gram Samsad (in percentage)

Have Women Filed a Complaint to

the GP in the Last 6 Months

in the Last 6 Months

in the Last 6 Months

in the Gram Samsad (in percentage)

West Bengal

Observations

Observations

Rajasthan

IVI	ean, Reserved GP	
	(1)	

9.80

(1.33)

.20

(.04)

.94

(.06)

54

20.41

(2.42)

.64

(.07)

.95

(.03)

40

Notes: 1. Standard errors in parentheses. 2. Standard errors are corrected for clustering at the GP level in the West

Mean, Unreserved GP

(2)

6.88

(.79)

.11

(.03)

1.00

24.49

(3.05)

.62

(.06)

.88

(.04)

60

107

Difference

(3)

2.92

(1.44)

.09

(.05)

.06

(.06)

-4.08

(4.03)

02

(.10)

.073

(.058)

TABLE III

EFFECT OF WOMEN'S RESERVATION ON WOMEN'S POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

(1)

.84

.12

.00

.03

.01

.30

.30

.10

.11

.02

.07

.01

.09

128

153

Other Programs

Welfare Programs

**Drinking Water** 

Road Improvement

Irrigation and Ponds

Adult Education

Credit or Employment

Total Number of Issues

Breakdown of Public Works Issues

Number of Public Works Issues

Public Works

Child Care

Health

Housing

Electricity

Education

Public Works

Chi-square

p-value

Other

Women Reserved Unreserved (2)

.84

.09

.02

.04

.01

.31

.32

.11

.07

.04

.05

.00

.11

206

8.84

.64

246

All (3)

.84

.10

.01

.04

.01

.31

.31

.08

.04

.06

.00

.10

334

399

West Bengal

Men

(4)

.85

.04

.01

.02

.09

.17

.25

.05

.10

.20

.12

.01

.09

166

71.72

.00

subsample of 48 villages. 3. Chi-square values placed across two columns test the hypothesis that issues come from the same distribution in the two columns.

195

TABLE IV ISSUES RAISED BY WOMEN AND MEN IN THE LAST 6 MONTH

Average Difference

(6)

-.01

.06

.00

.02

.13

.06

.05

-.01

-.17

-.06

.00

.01

-.08

(5)

.84

.07

.01

.03

.05

.24

.28

.08

.09

.09

.00

.09

Notes: 1. Each cell lists the number of times an issue was mentioned, divided by the total number of issues in each panel. 2. The data for men in West Bengal comes from a

Rajasthan

All

(9)

.62

.19

.07

.07

.05

.54

.13

.03

.03

.02

.05

.00

.20

99

160

Men

(10)

.87

.03

.01

.04

.04

.43

.23

.04

.02

.04

.13

.00

.12

135

16.38

.09

155

Average Difference

(12)

-.26

.16

.06

.03

.01

.09

-.11

-.01

-.02

-.09

.00

.05

.01

(11)

.74

.04

.02

.03

.09

.49

.18

.04

.02

.03

.09

.00

.28

Women

(8)

.64

.14

.09

.08

.06

.48

.14

.04

.04

.02

.07

.21

56

7.48

.68

88

Reserved Unreserved

(7)

.60

.25

.04

.06

.06

.63

.09

.02

.02

.02

.02

.19

0

43

72

Dependent Variables

Number of Drinking Water Facilities

Newly Built or Repaired

Number of Panchayat Run

Education Centers

Condition of Roads (1 if in good

Number of Irrigation Facilities

Other Public Goods (ponds, biogas,

sanitation, community buildings)

1 if a Metal Road Was Built or Repaired

1 if at Least One Irrigation Pump Was Built

Test Statistics: Difference Jointly Significant

1 if There Is an Informal Education

Test Statistics: Difference Jointly Significant

Newly Built or Repaired

1 if a New Tubewell Was Built

Center in the GP

for the West Bengal regressions.

(p-value)

A. Village Level

condition)

(p-value) B. GP Level

		IN/I

West Bengal Mean, Reserved GP Mean, Unreserved GP

(1)

23.83

(5.00)

.41

(.05)

.06

(.02)

(.79)

(.49)

1.00

.67

(.06)

.67

(.06)

.17

(.05)

1.66

3.01

TABLE V EFFECT OF WOMEN'S RESERVATION ON PUBLIC GOODS INVESTMENTS

(2)

14 74

(1.44)

.23

(.03)

.12

(.03)

3.39

(.8)

1.34

(.23)

.93

(.02)

.48

(.05)

.82

(.04)

.09

(.03)

Notes: 1. Standard errors in parentheses. 2. In West Bengal, there are 322 observations in the village level regressions, and 161 in the GP level regressions. There are 100 observations in the Rajasthan regressions. 3. Standard errors are corrected for clustering at the GP level in the village level regressions, using the Moulton (1986) formula,

Difference

(3)

9.09

(4.02)

.18

(.06)

(.04)

-.06

-.38

(1.26)

.32

(.48)

4.15 (.001)

.07

(.03)

.19

(.08)

(.07)

.07

(.05)

4.73 (.001)

-.16

Mean, Reserved GP

(4)

7.31

(.93)

.90

(.05)

.88

(.05)

.19

(.07)

Rajasthan

Mean, Unreserved GP

(5)

4.69

(.44)

.98

(.02)

.90

(.04)

.14

(.06)

Difference

(6)

2.62

(.95)

(.04)

-.08

-.02

(.06)

.05

(.09)2.88

(.02)

# (1)

Reserved for a Woman

Reserved \*D:

Reserved \* S:

Reserved  $*D_{\{ij\}}$  (village level)

Reserved  $*S_{iii}$ 

(village level) Pradhan is New

Pradhan is New  $*D_i$ 

Reservation in 2003

Reserved for SC/ST

 $D_{\{ij\}}$ 

 $S_{\{ij\}}$ 

Reservation in  $2003 * D_i$ 

Reserved for  $SC/ST * D_i$ 

Pradhan's Characteristics

Pradhan's Characteristics \* D:

TABLE VI
OLS REGRESSIONS: DETERMINANTS OF PURILIC GOOD PROVISION

(4)

.18

1.56

(.136)

(.629)

No

No

Yes

Yes

Notes: 1. The dependent variable is a standardized measure of investment in each good. There are six types of goods in West Bengal (drinking water, roads, informal education, formal education, others) and four types of goods in Rajasthan (drinking water, roads, formal education, others). 2. Standard errors (corrected for clustering at the GP level using Moulton (1986) in West Bengal) are in parentheses below the coefficients. 3. The regressions include a good-specific fixed effect. 4. The variables  $D_i$ ,  $S_i$ ,  $D_{\{ij\}}$ , and  $S_{\{ij\}}$  are defined in the text:  $D_i$  is the relative strength of women's preference for good i in the district;  $S_i$  is the average strength of preference in the district;  $D_{\{ij\}}$  is the difference of indicators for whether good i was mentioned by women and men in village j;  $S_{\{ij\}}$  is the sum of the indicators for whether good i was mentioned by women and men in village j. 5. Pradhan characteristics include all variables in Table VII. 6. There are \$23\$ village level observations in West Bengal, and 100 village level observations in Rajasthan.

(5)

.17

(.111)

(.554)

1.67

-.09

-.10

.03

-.19 (.326)

-.07

(.075)

.10

(.145)

No

No

No

No

(6)

.16

(.115)

4.40

(1.454)

No

No

No

No

Raiasthan

(8)

.04

(.16)

4.66

(1.6)

-.37

(.169)

.05

(.27)

Yes

Yes

No

No

(9)

.16

4.29

(1.491)

.00

(.18)

.03

(.315)

No

No

No

No

(.118)

(7)

-.29

(.19)

1.78

(.728)

No

No

No

No

West Bengal

(3)

.00

1.22

(.159)

(.799)

.03

(.047)

(.155)

Yes

Yes

No

No

-.01

(2)

(.123)

-.17

2.04

(.642)

No

No

No

No

.23

(.101)

(.501)

No

No

No

No

1.63