

Economic Dynamics and Uncertainty

Final Exam – Fall 2020

1. Dynamic Programming (12 points, each question 3 points)

We have discussed two different numeric methods to solve (infinite horizon) dynamic programming problems. The two methods differed in using discrete versus continuous function approximation.

- i) Why do we have to use approximation methods when solving dynamic programming problems numerically?
- ii) Explain the general difference between the two solution approaches.
- iii) State and explain all the steps in the solution algorithm where the difference matters (and *only* those steps where it matters!).
- iv) Assume you are using continuous function approximation using Chebychev polynomials.
 - a) How will you pick your interpolation nodes? Are they equidistant?
 - b) Explain the trade-off between using a low versus high order of the basis. Explain what might help you in your judgment whether the approximation is sufficiently good.

2. Asset Pricing (12 points, each question 3 points)

- i) Critically assess the following statement: “The Sharpe ratio can be interpreted as the premium above the market price of non-systemic risk.” Please explain your answer carefully.
- ii) Discuss the sign of the Sharpe ratio.
- iii) Assume an asset’s volatility is σ_{R_i} . In the CAPM model, would you expect the asset’s risk premium (excess return) to be proportional to σ_{R_i} ? If so, what can you say about the proportionality factor? If not, why not?
- iv) Discuss the relation between an asset’s Sharpe ratio, the volatility of the stochastic discount factor, and the risk-free return factor.

3. Consumption, investment, and Epstein-Zin-Weil preferences

(8 points, each question 4 points)

A special case of Epstein-Zin-Weil preferences is the case where the utility function representing aversion to intertemporal consumption change is logarithmic. The following investment problem is based on an agent exhibiting such preferences with a coefficient of Arrow-Pratt risk aversion $RRA = 1 - \alpha$. The investor’s choice problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_1, \omega} \quad & \log(c_1) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \log \left(\mathbb{E} c_2^\alpha \right) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & c_2 = [W - c_1] \omega^\top \tilde{\mathbf{R}} \\ & \text{and } \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1 . \end{aligned}$$

where W is his/her wealth, $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ is a vector of stochastic return factors and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ the vector comprising the portfolio shares.

- i) Derive the optimal level of first period consumption.
- ii) How does optimal consumption depend on the return factor? Please explain this finding using insights from our class.

4. Intertemporal Optimization (12 points, each question 4 points)

Assume a decision maker optimizes consumption given the following objective and constraints

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, B_t)$$

$$\text{subject to } K_{t+1} = F(c_t, K_t, D_t)$$

$$B_{t+1} = \alpha K_t$$

$$D_{t+1} = D_t + f(B_t).$$

- i) Write down the corresponding Bellman equation. Interpret the value function and give an intuition for Bellman's dynamic programming equation (or Bellman's principle of optimality).
- ii) Assume you were given a trial-solution. How would you verify whether the trial solution is correct? Write down the main steps.
- iii) How would the Bellman equation change in case the last dynamic equation changes from

$$D_{t+1} = D_t + f(B_t)$$

to the form

$$D_{t+1} = D_t + f(B_t, B_{t-1})?$$