## From Common to Private ownership

## Moene, K.

## September 2018

We want to show that  $\hat{w}$  is lower than  $\tilde{w}$ , namely that the wage under Commons Ownership is higher than the wage under Private Ownership.

Two Valleys called 1 and 2 with workers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  produce output  $F_1(n_1)$ and  $F_2(n_2)$ .

Where  $R_i$  is the rent in village i,  $n_i$  is the number of workers in village i and  $\beta$  is the share of rent that is intended for

Commons:

$$\frac{F_1(\widetilde{n}_1)}{\widetilde{n}_1} = \frac{F_2(\widetilde{n}_2)}{\widetilde{n}_2} = \widetilde{w} \quad and \quad \widetilde{n}_1 + \widetilde{n}_2 = L \tag{1}$$

In other words

$$F_1(\widetilde{n}_1) + F_2(\widetilde{n}_2) = (\widetilde{n}_1 + \widetilde{n}_2)\widetilde{w} = L\widetilde{w}$$
(2)

Privatization

$$R_1 = F_1(\hat{n}_1) - \hat{w}\hat{n}_1, R_2 = F_2(\hat{n}_2) - \hat{w}\hat{n}_2$$
(3)

$$F'(\hat{n}_1) = \hat{w}, F'(\hat{n}_2) = \hat{w} \tag{4}$$

assuming that wages are equal to marginal productivity of labor

$$\beta(R_1 + R_2) = \hat{w}\hat{n}_s, R_1 + R_2 = R \tag{5}$$

and  $\widehat{n}_s$  is the number of workers at non agricultural activities

Show that  $\widetilde{w} > \widehat{w}$  for all  $\beta < 1$ .

First, if  $\tilde{n}_i \leq \hat{n}_i$  for at least on i (that is if there exists one valley with less workers under commons than under privatization) then  $\tilde{w} = \frac{F_1(\tilde{n}_1)}{\tilde{n}_1} > F'(\hat{n}_1) = \hat{w}$  because of decreasing marginal productivity.

Second, the alternative is  $\tilde{n}_1 \geq \hat{n}_1$  and  $\tilde{n}_2 \geq \hat{n}_2$ .

Consider:

Commons  $F_1(\tilde{n}_1) + F_2(\tilde{n}_2) = \tilde{w}L \ge F_1(\hat{n}_1) + F_2(\hat{n}_2)$  Private, since  $\tilde{n}_i \ge \hat{n}_i$ 

Since rents plus wage earnings equal total production

$$= \hat{n}_1 \hat{w} + \hat{n}_2 \hat{w} + R > \hat{w} (\hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2) + \beta R$$

Strict inequality since  $\beta < 1$  from definition of  $\beta$ 

$$= \hat{w}(\hat{n}_{1} + \hat{n}_{2}) + \hat{n}_{s} = \hat{w}(\hat{n}_{1} + \hat{n}_{2} + \hat{n}_{s}) = \hat{w}L$$

So that  $\widetilde{w}L > \widehat{w}L$  which leads to  $\widetilde{w} > \widehat{w}$