MAE4112 Course Evaluation Autumn 2020

Summary of the Interim and Final Evaluation
Teaching mode: Online via Zoom, Teachers: Chia-Wen Chen and Ronny Scherer (CEMO)

General comments

- By and large, most students considered the pace and difficulty of the course were considered to be adequate; only few students considered it too slow.
- Students reported that the teaching methods and assignments were adequate.
- Recorded sessions with the option for self-paced learning were appreciated.
- The summary sessions and the exam preparation were appreciated.

Suggested improvements

- Students noted that a summary of the elements in the R output created by lme4 would be helpful to facilitate their understanding of it.
- Students suggested increasing the interaction between each other (e.g., via discussions) in Zoom.
- Students suggested adding more content on multilevel path models.
- Students further suggested adding more "real-life applications" and communicating the learning outcomes after each session.

Rapport fra «MAE4112 Multilevel Models: Final Course Evaluation H2020»

Innhentede svar pr. 14. desember 2020 13:33

- Leverte svar: **4**
- Påbegynte svar: **0**
- Antall invitasjoner sendt: **15**

Med fritekstsvar

This is the final evaluation of the course MAE4112 on Multilevel Models.

The goal of this evaluation is to identify the strengths but also the weaknesses of the course. Moreover, we would like to find out how everyone perceived his or her progress in the course.

Please respond as honestly as possible.

I think the pace of the course was: *

Svar	Antall	Prosent	
Too fast	1	**25 %**	
Just right	2	**50 %**	
Too slow	1	**25 %**	

I think the difficulty level of the course was: *

Svar	Antall	Prosent	
Too high	0	**0 %**	
Just right	3	**75 %**	
Too low	1	**25 %**	

I think the teaching in the course was: *

Svar	Antall	Prosent	
Largely unclear to me	0	**0 %**	
Just right	2	**50 %**	
Largely clear to me	2	**50 %**	

In case you think the teaching (methods) should have been adjusted, please provide your specific comments here.

- Would be great with a quick reference guide showing what exactly output in summary function in R means on the theoretical side. Should be easy to make, and would be wildly useful!
- -
- I think the methods were right, but maybe going a bit too fast? Especially with changed methods of zoom, I feel we had less chances for interaction and discussions

Which topics (if any) were missing in the course?

- -

Comments on the course assignments:

- Clear and good, with good feedback afterwards
- -
- Course assignments were good for preparing for the exam. Although I feel maybe it xould cover more of the path models.

Comments on the final (written) exam:

- Not yet taken the exam
- A bit difficult, definitely needed all 4 hours!

Comments on how to improve the course:

- A section with a real life application, whether as an assignment, or as a graded home exam, would be great
- I think the course is fine, the way it is

Any other comments?

- Excellent presentation and explanation of very complex models. I like how each lecture builds on the previous ones. That is extraordinary and very helpful. I also appreciate the summary session. The sessions that were recorded in advance (self-paced), are also handy, because one can pause the video, go back and forward, listen again - very good!
- -

Se nylige endringer i Nettskjema (v1039_0rc355)

Rapport fra «MAE4112 Multilevel Models: Interim Course Evaluation H2020»

Innhentede svar pr. 14. desember 2020 13:35

- Leverte svar: **6**
- Påbegynte svar: **0**
- Antall invitasjoner sendt: **13**

Med fritekstsvar

This is the interim evaluation of the course MAE4112 on Multilevel Models.

The goal of this evaluation is to identify the strengths but also the weaknesses of the course and its setup until now. Moreover, we would like to find out how everyone is perceiving his or her progress in the course.

Please respond as honestly as possible.

I think the pace of the course so far has been: *

Svar	Antall	Prosent	
Too fast	0	**0 %**	
Just right	5	**83,3 %**	
Too slow	1	**16,7 %**	

I think the difficulty level of the course so far has been: *

Svar	Antall	Prosent	
Too high	0	**0 %**	
Just right	5	**83,3 %**	
Too low	1	**16,7 %**	

I think the the teaching in the course so far has been: *

Svar	Antall	Prosent	
Largely unclear to me	0	**0 %**	
Just right	2	**33,3 %**	
Largely clear to me	4	**66,7 %**	

In case you think the teaching (methods) should be adjusted, please provide your specific suggestions and wishes here.

- The lectures are excellent and friendly! This is the best. Thank you so much for the well prepared lectures for Ronny & Chia-Wen
- -
- Excellent teaching all around - maybe it's not that the difficulty is too low, but that explanations are clear.

Which topics in the course (if any) do you want to recap in the upcoming sessions?

- RIRS with level 2 predictors
- -
- aggregated models & disaggregated models
- Look at more real-life examples - and try to draw out what we are supposed to have learned
- 1. random vs fixed effects - identify in the model. 2. contextual effect

Se nylige endringer i Nettskjema (v1039_0rc355)